#### **United States** Securities and Exchange Commission

Washington, D.C. 20549

# Form LackSI

[X] Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2003

[ ] Transition Report Under Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_

Commission file number: 1-13463

# **Endeavour International Corporation**

(Name of small business issuer as specified in its charter)

Nevada

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

88-0448389

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1001 Fannin, Suite 1700, Houston, Texas 77002

(Address of principal executive offices)

(713) 307-8700

(Issuer's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

#### Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act:

Common Stock, \$0.001 par value per share

Check whether the issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes X No

Check if there is no disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item 405 of Regulation S-B contained in this form, and no disclosure will be contained, to the best of registrants knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-KSB or any amendment to this form 10-KSB. 🔀

The issuer's revenues for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, were \$27,305.

The aggregate market value of the voting common equity held by non-affiliates (persons other than officers, directors or holders of more than 5% of the outstanding stock) of the issuer based on the closing sale price of the registrant's common stock as reported on the OTC Bulletin Board on March 29, 2004 was \$184,503,000.

As of March 29, 2004, 69,127,070 shares of the issuer's common stock were outstanding.

**DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE:** 

None.

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Formats (check one)

Yes \_\_\_ No <u>X</u>

**PROCESSED** 

# **Table of Contents**

Forward-Looking StatementsPart I	1 1
Item 1. Description of Business	1
Business Development	`` ∷•…1
Item 1. Description of Business  Business Development  Subsequent Events – The Offering, Merger and Restructuring	\ 1
The Offering.	, 2
The Merger	
Corporate Restructuring	
Retained Properties	
-	
Business Strategy	
Competition	
Regulation	8
Environmental	9
Operational Hazards and Insurance	10
Employees	
Risk Factors	
Item 2. Description of Property	
Item 3. Legal Proceedings	25
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	
Part II	
Item 5. Market for Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters	
Item 6. Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation	
Item 7. Financial Statements	32
Item 8. Changes In and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial	
Disclosure.	
Item 8a. Controls and Procedures.	
Part III	33
Item 9. Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters and Control Persons; Compliance with Section 16(A) of the Exchange Act	22
Item 10. Executive Compensation	
Item 11. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management	
Item 12. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	
Item 13. Exhibits and Reports On Form 8-K	
Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.	
Index to Financial Statements	F-1



# Forward-Looking Statements

The information contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-KSB and in other public statements by the Company and Company officers or directors includes or may contain certain forward-looking statements. The words "may," "will," "expect," "anticipate," "believe," "continue," "estimate," "project," "intend," and similar expressions used in this Report are intended to identify forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the U.S. Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date made. We undertake no obligation to publicly release the result of any revision of these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date they are made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. You should also know that such statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Many of these risks and uncertainties are set forth under the caption "Risk Factors" in Item 1 of this report. Should any of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should any of our assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may differ materially from those included within the forward-looking statements.

# Part I

# Item 1. Description of Business

### **Business Development**

Endeavour International Corporation, formerly known as Continental Southern Resources, Inc. and Expression Graphics, Inc., is a Nevada corporation formed on January 13, 2000. Our principal executive offices are located at 1001 Fannin, Suite 1700, Houston, TX 77002, and our telephone number is (713) 307-8700. Unless the context otherwise requires, references to the "Company", "Endeavour", "we", "us" or "our", mean Endeavour International Corporation or any of our consolidated subsidiaries.

From the date of our formation through February 2002, we were engaged in the business of marketing and selling graphics media products, such as symbols, logos, pictures, signs and business advertisements, over the Internet. In February, 2002, we experienced a change in management and began to implement a new business plan. We are presently engaged in the business of acquiring, exploring, and developing natural gas and oil properties.

# Subsequent Events - The Offering, Merger and Restructuring

On February 29, 2004, we announced that we had completed a series of mutually interdependent transactions that will significantly expand our scope and objectives under the leadership of a new

management team. The expanded organization was renamed "Endeavour International Corporation."

# The Offering

In an offering of common stock (the "Offering") that closed February 26, 2004, we issued 25 million shares of common stock at \$2.00 per share in a private placement. The estimated net proceeds of the Offering were \$46,000,000, after deduction of placement agent commissions of \$2,500,000, financial advisory fees of \$1,250,000 and offering expenses, estimated to be \$250,000. In addition, warrants to purchase 700,000 shares of common stock at \$2.00 per share were issued to the placement agent. The net proceeds were used for the purchase of approximately 14.1 million shares of common stock and 103,500.07 shares of our Series B Preferred Stock for \$5.3 million and for \$1.5 million for repayment of the principal amount of certain outstanding convertible notes, with the remainder of the net proceeds to be used for general corporate purposes, including potential acquisitions.

### The Merger

Concurrent with the closing of the Offering, we acquired NSNV, Inc. ("NSNV"), through a merger (the "Merger") of NSNV into a newly created Delaware corporate subsidiary of the Company. The newly created subsidiary was the survivor of the Merger and is a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company that was renamed Endeavour Operating Corporation. NSNV was a private company owned by William L. Transier, John N. Seitz and PGS Exploration (UK) Limited ("PGS"), a United Kingdom corporation that is a provider of geophysical services. The former shareholders of NSNV received an aggregate of 12.5 million of our common stock in the Merger, representing approximately 18.9% of our outstanding common stock immediately after the closing of the Merger.

The Merger is intended to provide us with the following competitive advantages: (i) a preeminent seismic and geological database of the North Sea region and (ii) a proven and experienced management team comprised of Messrs. Transier and Seitz and certain other former executives of Ocean Energy, Inc. ("Ocean Energy") and Anadarko Petroleum Corporation ("Anadarko"). The strategy of the new management team is to acquire, explore, and develop primarily international natural gas and oil properties, with an initial focus on the North Sea region.

On December 16, 2003, NSNV and PGS Exploration (UK) Limited ("PGS"), a United Kingdom corporation that is a provider of geophysical services, entered into an agreement where, in exchange for certain consideration including, among other things, cash and 18.5% of the outstanding stock of NSNV, PGS granted NSNV a license to use 79,200 square kilometers of 3-D seismic and related data in the North Sea region, including the *B Mega Merge* and *North Sea Digital Atlas* databases. Under the agreement, NSNV is required to purchase products and services from PGS or certain of its affiliates that have an aggregate invoice value over three years of at least \$4.5 million, in exchange for certain consideration including, among other

things, cash and 18.5% of the outstanding stock of NSNV and a three-year product and service commitment,. PGS has also agreed to provide NSNV with product discounts and certain consultancy services during the term of the agreement.

Upon consummation of the Merger, our sole officer, Stephen P. Harrington, and directors Humbert Powell, Thomas Michael Curran and Gary Krupp resigned and William L. Transier and John N. Seitz became the Co-Chief Executive Officers of the Company, pursuant to three-year employment agreements, and two of our four then incumbent directors. In addition to Messrs. Transier and Seitz, we have hired several additional former executives of Ocean Energy and Anadarko.

### **Corporate Restructuring**

Simultaneous with the consummation of the Merger and the Offering, we restructured various financial and stockholder related items (the "Restructuring"). Specifically, we completed the following:

- Repaid \$1,500,000 principal amount of our outstanding convertible notes;
- Issued 1,026,624 shares of our common stock upon conversion of the \$1,550,000 principal balance and accrued interest due under certain convertible notes at a conversion price of \$1.75;
- Issued 375,000 shares of our common stock upon conversion of the \$600,000 principal balance and accrued interest due under a convertible note at a conversion price of \$1.60;
- Issued 2,808,824 shares of our common stock upon conversion of all of the outstanding Series C Preferred Stock, at a conversion price of \$1.70 per share;
- Purchased all outstanding shares of Series A Preferred Stock and 20,212.86 shares of Series B Preferred Stock in exchange for certain of our non-core assets (see "Sale of Non-Core Assets" below); and
- Purchased 14.1 million shares of common stock and 103,500.07 shares of Series B
  Preferred Stock from RAM Trading, Ltd. for \$5,330,948 in cash (see "Purchase of
  Securities from Ram Trading Ltd." below).

#### Sale of Non-Core Assets

As part of the Restructuring, we sold the following non-core assets to the holders of the Series A Preferred Stock and certain holders of the Series B Preferred Stock:

- 100% of our ownership interest in BWP Gas, LLC ("BWP");
- 864,560 shares of restricted common stock of BPK Resources, Inc.;
- 400,000 shares of common stock of Trimedia Group, Inc.;
- Note receivable due from CSR Hackberry, LLC with a principal balance of \$25,000;

- Note receivable due from Snipes, LLC in the principal amount of \$122,500;
- Subscription receivable due from FEQ Investments, Inc. in the principal amount of \$175,000;
- Subscription receivable due from GWR Trust in the principal amount of \$250,000;
   and
- Note receivable due from BPK Resources, Inc. with a principal balance of \$670,000:

After completing the Merger, we sold our entire limited partnership interest in Knox Miss. Partners, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership that owns a 50% interest in certain oil and gas leases located in Mississippi, as well as our related interest in Knox Miss., LLC, the 1% sole general partner of Knox Miss. Partners, L.P., for \$5.0 million. The \$5.0 million was payable \$500,000 in cash and by the issuance of a \$4.5 million short-term note that is secured by a pledge of the limited partnership interest.

#### Purchase of Securities from RAM Trading, Ltd.

On December 16, 2003, RAM Trading Ltd. ("RAM") entered into an agreement with Lancer Offshore, Inc. and Lancer Partners, L.P. to purchase 14,097,672 shares of common stock and 103,500.07 shares of our Series B Preferred Stock (collectively, the "Lancer Shares") for \$5,280,948. Concurrent with the execution of the foregoing agreement, we entered into an agreement with RAM to purchase the Lancer Shares for \$5,330,948, subject to RAM completing the purchase of the Lancer Shares. Both the RAM purchase of the Lancer Shares and our purchase of the Lancer Shares from RAM were consummated on February 26, 2004.

### **Retained Properties**

We retained interests in the following oil and gas properties:

- 95% interest in PHT Partners, L.P., which has a minority interest in APICO, LLC, a limited liability company whose primary business is certain concessions in the Phu Horm Gas Field Project located in the Kingdom of Thailand; and
- 24% limited partnership interest in Louisiana Shelf Partners, L.P. ("LSP"), a Delaware limited partnership that owns leasehold interests in Cameron Parish, Louisiana, and certain seismic data related thereto in offshore continental shelf properties.

# **Business Strategy**

# North Sea Opportunity

The North Sea has been a major producer of hydrocarbons for 30 years and offers significant potential for production growth through the application of new seismic technologies and

innovative geologic and engineering concepts. There is increasing recognition in the energy industry that the North Sea offers the same financial and development opportunities that the Gulf of Mexico offered in the 1980s. The major integrated energy companies are restructuring their portfolios and refocusing their resources into other parts of the world, providing an opportunity for smaller independent companies to profitably exploit remaining potential in the North Sea.

While the United Kingdom ("UK") sector of the North Sea has peaked in terms of daily production, there are many areas where the application of new exploration and production techniques are increasing production rates and extending producing field lives and existing reserves. Norway is far less mature and offers greater reserve potential. Both sectors contain a large installed infrastructure base that includes wells, platforms, facilities, pipelines, shore bases, and a highly experienced technical workforce. Other than the Atlantic Margin and the deeper portions of the Norwegian Sea, the North Sea is primarily a shallow water environment with most existing production located in less than 300 feet of water and accessible using proven conventional technology. The UK currently has an attractive financial environment for oil and gas production, and it has indicated a willingness to consider measures to further induce producers to explore and develop. Indicators are favorable that Norway will, in the face of the expected decline of indigenous production and increasing worldwide competition for European and North American gas markets, likely adopt fiscal and regulatory terms more favorable than those that currently exist.

#### North Sea Strategy

Our strategy is to take advantage of the shift of the majors away from the North Sea, the significant contraction of the independent sector, and the opportunities these changes create for new independent companies. We intend to leverage vertical alliances with companies that offer capabilities or technologies that will enhance our future exploratory and developmental efforts. Our most significant agreement to date is the acquisition of license rights to an extensive 3-D seismic dataset offered by PGS, the UK subsidiary of a Norwegian-based, technologically focused oilfield service company providing seismic and reservoir services. To the best of our knowledge, no other firm currently has access to comparable seismic data of this size and quality in the North Sea.

We have the right to use the recently compiled *B Mega Merge* dataset that covers approximately 79,200 square kilometers of the United Kingdom Continental Shelf and the Norwegian Continental Shelf. PGS overcame major technological challenges to bring together, for the first time, open-file, brokeraged and PGS multi-client 3D seismic data into one format. Also, included in the agreement is access to the *North Sea Digital Atlas*, a dataset consisting of a multitude of regional maps on key horizons, interpreted from 110,000 kilometers of 2D data tied to over 1,200 wells.

We believe the use of the *B Mega Merge* and the *North Sea Digital Atlas*, coupled with over 20 man-years of associated interpretation from PGS, will enable proprietary mapping for purposes of identifying development and exploration opportunities not yet exploited by the energy industry in the North Sea. We believe this data gives us a period of competitive advantage over

current operators and other niche independent exploration and production companies entering the North Sea.

Our efforts to establish a leadership role in the area will be supported by the analysis of key geophysical data. We will use advanced technologies to better understand and manage risk and improve the probability of early, profitable results.

We believe that this combination of the most comprehensive seismic data available to the industry in this region with advanced geologic concepts will result in the discovery and/or exploitation of new energy resources in the North Sea. Such opportunities were recently demonstrated by the industry's discovery of Buzzard, a significant new oil field in the UK sector with reportedly more than 400 million barrels of oil recoverable from a stratigraphic trap. The industry previously considered this form of trapping mechanism to be less significant to the North Sea, with only 8% of the province's existing reserves exhibiting this characteristic, in comparison to 33% globally.

#### Norway Opportunity

The exploration potential of Norway is also of particular interest to us. Norway is immature from a historical drilling activity and well density perspective. While the UK offered initiatives and incentives to encourage exploration and production activities, historic regulatory and fiscal policies in Norway controlled the pace and scope of exploration activities and prevented drilling and development from all but the very largest of fields. The dynamics of world oil and gas supply and demand, global competition, and the increasing need to support the government's social policies have caused the Norwegian government to reconsider its current regulations and fiscal regime. As a result, Norway has begun to take proactive steps to promote more exploration and development and retain its position as a premier supplier of oil and, particularly, natural gas to the UK and Europe. Without such changes, the nation risks being unable to meet growing energy demand and losing markets to competitors such as Russia, the Middle East and Africa. The recent signing of a framework agreement with the UK to encourage, among other things, the construction of additional natural gas pipeline infrastructure between the two countries exemplifies Norway's commitment to expanding its energy industry. We intend to position ourselves to capture the opportunities that these new policies may provide.

#### Acquisition Strategy

Our acquisition strategy will focus on asset management processes of other companies and corporate opportunities that are identified and prioritized by utilizing our extensive regional datasets. The overriding objective will be to gain a level of production and cash flow that will support a strong exploration effort and provide investment funding for exploitation opportunities we identify in acquired assets.

Through the use of the *North Sea Digital Atlas*, existing extensive regional and local maps and proprietary interpretation of the *B Mega Merge*, we intend to identify specific targets for investment. These will primarily include:

- the acquisition of oil and gas producing properties,
- the rights to explore, evaluate and exploit "fallow blocks" in the UK sector ("fallow" refers to blocks on which initial exploratory terms or follow-up appraisal requirements have expired, thereby initiating the UK's recently established mandatory relinquishment process), and
- the rights to leaseholds available in future licensing rounds in both the UK and Norway with a particular focus on "promote" license rounds. (The UK government introduced "promote" licenses in 2003 to increase oil and gas activity in the North Sea by reducing the initial leasing fees, and eliminating work commitment and licensee operational requirements).

We intend to develop an asset portfolio balanced between exploration and exploitation, gas and oil, leverage and equity, with the ultimate objective of funding continued growth and building long-term value for our stockholders. Our value creation capabilities will rely heavily on the extensive industry contact base of our executive team and the development of innovative transaction models. The most desirable targets for investment are natural gas projects with long life production profiles and oil-producing assets that provide near term cash flow, as well as upside from further drilling. Low risk exploration with significant option value in upside potential should provide expanded growth opportunities. Corporate acquisitions with quality assets that are undervalued will be pursued.

# Technical Strategy

Our technical strategy is founded on a philosophy that regional petroleum systems analyses improve competitive advantage, reduce exploration risk and optimize value creation. This process consists of understanding source rock maturation, hydrocarbon migration pathways, trap and seal integrity, and reservoir distribution to the fullest extent possible. In contrast, most technical activity in basins with existing production is focused exclusively on the identification of structural traps. This system has been successfully employed by our new management team in their past experiences to identify and commercialize reserves in basins worldwide.

Technical teams are initially focusing their efforts in the regions of the North Sea that offer large reserve potential, proven play concepts and undeveloped oil and gas resources. A number of opportunities are currently available due to asset divestitures, mandated relinquishment of fallow acreage (including unexploited discoveries) and introduction of promote licenses. We are assembling a London-based team of experienced technical and commercial professionals for the specific purpose of evaluating and developing opportunities in the region. Senior management and a small staff located at corporate headquarters in Houston provide strategic direction and guidance and oversee administrative, legal and financial support. Information technology support is outsourced and the workstation environment is server- and or/high-end personal computer- based.

### Competition

The petroleum and natural gas industry is highly competitive. Numerous independent oil and gas companies, oil and gas syndicates and major oil and gas companies actively seek out and bid for oil and gas properties as well as for the services of third party providers, such as drilling companies, upon which we rely. A substantial number of our competitors have longer operating histories in this region and substantially greater financial and personnel resources than we do. Many of these companies not only explore for, produce and market petroleum and natural gas, but also carry out refining operations and market the resultant products on a worldwide basis. Such larger or vertically integrated competitors may be in a position to outbid us for particular prospect rights.

The petroleum and natural gas producers also compete with other suppliers of energy and fuel to industrial, commercial and individual customers. Competitive conditions may be substantially affected by various forms of energy legislation and/or regulation considered from time to time by the governments and/or agencies thereof and other factors out of our control including, international political conditions, overall levels of supply and demand for oil and gas, and the markets for synthetic fuels and alternative energy sources.

### Regulation

The exploration, production and sale of oil and gas are extensively regulated at both the national and local levels. Applicable legislation is under constant review for amendment or expansion. These efforts frequently result in an increase in the regulatory burden on companies in our industry and consequently an increase in the cost of doing business and decrease in profitability. Numerous governmental departments and agencies are authorized to, and have issued rules and regulations imposing additional burdens on the oil and gas industry that often are costly to comply with and carry substantial penalties for failure to comply. Production operations are affected by changing tax and other laws relating to the petroleum industry, by constantly changing administrative regulations and possible interruptions or termination by government authorities.

Oil and gas mineral rights may be held by individuals or corporations and by governments having jurisdiction over the area in which such mineral rights are located. As a general rule, parties holding such mineral rights grant licenses or leases to third parties to facilitate the exploration and development of these mineral rights. The terms of the leases and licenses are generally established to require timely development. Notwithstanding the ownership of mineral rights, the government of the jurisdiction in which mineral rights are located generally retains authority over the manner of development of those rights.

Local regulatory authorities have established rules and regulations requiring permits for drilling operations, drilling bonds and reports concerning operations. The areas in which we operate have statutes and regulations governing various environmental and conservation matters,

including the unitization or pooling of oil and gas properties and establishment of maximum rates of production from oil and gas wells. Many authorities may also restrict production to the market demand for oil and gas. Such statutes and regulations may limit the rate at which oil and gas could otherwise be produced from our properties.

In addition to royalties paid, a production or severance tax may be imposed with respect to production and sale of crude oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids within their respective jurisdictions. For the most part, production taxes are applied as a percentage of production or sales.

#### **Environmental**

Our operations are also subject to a variety of constantly changing federal, local and international laws and regulations governing the discharge of materials into the environment or otherwise relating to environmental protection. Significant fines and penalties may be imposed for the failure to comply with environmental laws and regulations. Some environmental laws provide for joint and several strict liability for remediation of releases of hazardous substances, rendering a person liable for environmental damage without regard to negligence or fault on the part of such person. In addition, we may be subject to claims alleging personal injury or property damage as a result of alleged exposure to hazardous substances, such as oil and gas related products or for other reasons.

Some environmental protection laws and regulations may expose us to liability arising out of the conduct of operations or conditions caused by others, or for acts which were in compliance with all applicable laws at the time the acts were performed. Changes in the environmental laws and regulations, or claims for damages to persons, property, natural resources or the environment, could result in substantial costs and liabilities to us. These laws and regulations may substantially increase the cost of exploring for, developing, producing or processing oil and gas and may prevent or delay the commencement or continuation of a given project and thus generally could have a material adverse effect upon our capital expenditures, earnings, or competitive position. We believe that we are in substantial compliance with current applicable environmental laws and regulations, and the cost of compliance with such laws and regulations has not been material and is not expected to be material during the next fiscal year. Nevertheless, changes in existing environmental laws and regulations or in the interpretations thereof could have a significant impact on us and the oil and gas industry in general.

Water discharge regulations and waste discharge permitting requirements have been adopted or are expected in the future to prohibit the discharge of produced water and sand and some other substances related to the oil and gas industry. Although the costs to comply with such mandates under applicable laws may be significant, the entire industry will experience similar costs, and we do not believe that these costs will have a material adverse impact on our financial condition and operations.

### **Operational Hazards and Insurance**

Our operations are subject to particular hazards incident to the drilling and production of oil and gas, such as blowouts, cratering, explosions, uncontrollable flows of oil, gas or well fluids, fires and pollution and other environmental risks. These hazards can cause personal injury or death, damage or destruction of property and equipment, pollution or environmental damage and suspension of operation.

In the projects that we own a non-operating interest directly or own an equity interest in a limited partnership which owns a non-operating interest, the operator for the prospect maintains insurance of various types to cover our operations with policy limits and retention liability customary in the industry. We believe the coverage and types of insurance are adequate. The occurrence of a significant adverse event, the risks of which are not fully covered by insurance, could have a material adverse effect on our ownership interests and thereby financial condition and results of operations.

#### **Employees**

As of March 29, 2004, we have 13 full-time employees. We utilize the services of various consultants who provide us, among other things, technical support and accounting services.

#### Risk Factors

The following material risk factors, among others, may affect the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

We have had operating losses and limited revenues to date and do not expect to be profitable in the foreseeable future.

We have been operating at a loss each year since our inception, and we expect to continue to incur substantial losses for the foreseeable future. Net loss applicable to common stockholders for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 was \$37.2 million and \$8.7 million, respectively. We also have had limited revenues to date. Revenues for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 were \$27,305 and \$16,142, respectively. Further, we may not be able to generate significant revenues in the future. In addition, we expect to incur substantial operating expenses in connection with our gas and oil exploration activities. As a result, we expect to continue to experience negative cash flow for the foreseeable future and cannot predict when, or if, we might become profitable.

If we are unable to generate additional financing, we will not be able to adequately fund our existing development and exploration projects, acquire additional oil and gas interests, or maintain our rights in such projects.

We may not have an adequate amount of financial resources to adequately fund our development and exploration projects on a long-term basis. We believe, however, that we have sufficient funding to continue to execute our business plan for at least 24 months. Since we currently are not a majority owner or operator of any of our projects, we cannot control the timing or amount of expenditures associated with a particular project. In the past, we have relied on the sale of our debt and equity securities to fund the acquisition, exploration and development of our petroleum properties. To continue funding these projects and to have the ability to fund additional projects, we will need to raise additional capital. We cannot assure you that additional funding will be available to us for exploration and development of our projects or to fulfill our obligations under any agreements. We also cannot assure you that we will be able to generate sufficient operating cash flow or obtain adequate financing in the future or that the terms of any such financing will be favorable. Failure to generate such additional operating cash flow or obtain such additional financing could result in delay, postponement or cancellation of further exploration and development of our projects or the loss of our interest in such properties.

# Acquiring interests in properties for oil and natural gas exploration is speculative in nature and may not ever result in operating revenues or profits.

We cannot assure you that we will discover oil and gas in commercial quantities in any of our current properties or properties we acquire in the future. Our success depends upon our ability to acquire working and revenue interests in properties upon which gas and oil reserves are ultimately discovered. We expect to ultimately derive the cash flow necessary to fund our operations from the oil and gas produced from our producing properties and/or the sale of our properties. As of the date hereof, none of our properties have generated significant operating revenues.

# We cannot assure you that we will be able to acquire leasehold interests or enter into licensing arrangements on terms that will permit us to exploit the North Sea seismic data.

One of our primary assets is certain seismic data from the North Sea. We do not own any leasehold or other interests in any of the properties to which the seismic data relates. In order to exploit such data, we will either have to acquire interests in such properties or license the use of the data to persons who have such rights. We cannot assure you that we will be able to acquire such rights, if at all, on economic terms that will permit us to realize earnings or that we will be able to successfully license such data.

In the event we are unable to identify additional gas and oil prospects in which we can acquire an interest at an affordable price, we may not be able to sustain our growth rate and ability to spread risk.

One element of our strategy is to continue to grow and spread risk through selected acquisitions of leasehold or other ownership interests in gas and oil prospects. If we are unable to execute this aspect of our strategy in a timely manner, we may not be able to manage our risks and our operations may be adversely affected. We cannot assure you that:

- we will be able to identify desirable gas and oil prospects and acquire leasehold or other ownership interests in such prospects at a desirable price;
- any of our completed, currently planned, or future acquisitions of ownership interests in gas and oil prospects will include prospects that contain proven gas or oil reserves;
- we will have the ability to develop prospects which contain proven gas or oil reserves to the point of production;
- we will have the financial ability to consummate additional acquisitions of ownership
  interests in gas and oil prospects or to develop the prospects which we acquire to the
  point of production; or
- that we will be able to consummate such additional acquisitions on terms favorable to us.

# Market fluctuations in the prices of oil and gas could adversely affect the price at which we can sell gas or oil discovered on our leased properties.

Market fluctuations in the prices of oil and gas can adversely affect the price that we can sell gas and oil discovered on our leased properties. In recent decades, there have been periods of both worldwide over-production and underproduction of hydrocarbons and periods of both increased and relaxed energy conservation efforts. These conditions have resulted in periods of excess supply of, and reduced demand for, crude oil on a worldwide basis and for natural gas on a domestic basis. These periods have been followed by periods of short supply of, and increased demand for, crude oil and, to a lesser extent, natural gas. The excess or short supply of natural gas and crude oil has placed pressures on prices and has resulted in dramatic price fluctuations, even during relatively short periods of seasonal market demand. We cannot predict with any degree of certainty future oil and natural gas prices. Changes in oil and natural gas prices significantly affect our revenues, operating results, profitability and the value of our oil and gas reserves. We do not currently engage in any hedging program to mitigate our exposure to fluctuation in oil and gas prices.

# The oil and gas exploration industry is extremely competitive, which may adversely affect our profitability.

The oil and gas industry is intensely competitive and we compete with other companies that have longer operating histories and greater financial and other resources than we do. Many of these companies not only explore for and produce crude oil and natural gas but also carry on refining operations and market petroleum and other products on a worldwide basis. These competitors can sustain longer periods of reduced prices of gas and oil and may also be in a better position to outbid us to purchase particular interests in oil and gas properties. See also "Description of Business – Competition" above.

Our ability to produce sufficient quantities of oil and gas from our properties may be adversely affected by a number of factors outside of our control. If we are unable to produce oil and/or gas from our properties in commercial quantities, our operations will be severely affected.

Our business of exploring for and producing oil and gas involves a substantial risk of investment loss. Drilling oil and gas wells involves the risk that the wells may be unproductive or that the wells, although productive, do not produce oil and/or gas in economic quantities. Other hazards, such as unusual or unexpected geological formations, pressures, fires, blowouts, loss of circulation of drilling fluids, or other conditions may substantially delay or prevent completion of any well. This could result in a total loss of our investment in a particular property. Adverse weather conditions can also hinder drilling operations. A productive well may become uneconomic if water or other deleterious substances are encountered, which impair or prevent the production of oil and/or gas from the well. In addition, production from any well may be unmarketable if it is impregnated with water or other deleterious substances. As with any petroleum property, there can be no assurance that oil and gas will be produced from the properties in which we have interests. In addition, the marketability of oil and gas which may be acquired or discovered, is affected by numerous factors beyond our control. These factors include the proximity and capacity of oil and gas pipelines and processing equipment, market fluctuations of prices, taxes, royalties, land tenure, allowable production and environmental protection. We cannot predict how these factors will adversely affect our business.

# We intend to operate in foreign countries and will be subject to political, economic and other uncertainties.

Through our subsidiary PHT Partners, L.P. we currently have working interests in the Kingdom of Thailand. We also intend to commence significant operations in the North Sea and we may expand international operations to other countries or regions in the future. International operations are subject to political, economic and other uncertainties, including:

- the risk of war, acts of terrorism, revolution, border disputes, expropriation, renegotiation or modification of existing contracts, import, export and transportation regulations and tariffs;
- taxation policies, including royalty and tax increases and retroactive tax claims;
- exchange controls, currency fluctuations and other uncertainties arising out of foreign government sovereignty over our international operations;
- laws and policies of the U.S. affecting foreign trade, taxation and investment; and
- the possibility of having to be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of foreign courts in connection with legal disputes and the possible inability to subject foreign persons to the jurisdiction of courts in the United States.

Foreign countries have occasionally asserted rights to land, including oil and gas properties, through border disputes. If a country claims superior rights to oil and gas leases or concessions granted to us by another country, our interests could be lost or decreased in value. Various regions of the world have a history of political and economic instability. This instability could result in new governments or the adoption of new policies that might assume a substantially more hostile attitude toward foreign investment. In an extreme case, such a change could result in termination of contract rights and expropriation of foreign—owned assets. This could adversely affect our interests. We will seek to manage these risks by, among other things, concentrating international exploration efforts in areas where the Company believes that the existing government is favorably disposed towards United States exploration and production companies.

We do not always retain legal counsel to examine title. We depend on the judgment of oil and gas lease brokers and the operators of wells to ensure that there are no material title deficiencies in the properties we lease. Title deficiencies could render a lease worthless which would have a material adverse effect on our business and operations.

We purchase working and revenue interests in oil and gas leasehold interests. The existence of a material title deficiency can render a lease worthless and can result in a large expense to our business. It is our practice in acquiring oil and gas leases or undivided interests in oil and gas leases not to undergo the expense of retaining lawyers to examine the title to the mineral interest to be placed under lease or already placed under lease. Rather, we rely upon the judgment of oil and gas lease brokers or landmen who perform the field work in examining records in the appropriate governmental office before attempting to place under lease a specific mineral interest. This is customary practice in the oil and gas industry. Prior to the drilling of an oil and gas well, however, it is the normal practice in the oil and gas industry for the person or company acting as the operator of the well to obtain a preliminary title review of the spacing unit within which the proposed oil and gas well is to be drilled to ensure there are no obvious deficiencies in title to the well. We do not anticipate that we, or the person or company acting as operator of the wells located on the properties which we intend to lease, will obtain counsel to examine title to such spacing unit until the well is about to be drilled. As a result of such examinations, certain curative work may have to be performed to correct deficiencies in the marketability of the title,

and such curative work entails expense. The work might include obtaining affidavits of heirship or causing an estate to be administered. Occasionally, the examination made by the title lawyers reveals that the oil and gas leases are worthless, having been purchased in error from a person who is not the owner of the mineral interest desired. In such instances, the amount paid for such oil and gas lease or leases is generally lost. If we were to lose the amount paid for any such oil and gas lease, such loss would have a material adverse effect on our business. Since we do not intend to retain title lawyers in connection with our acquisitions, the risk of such losses in our operations is increased.

If the operator of a prospect in which we participate does not maintain or fails to obtain adequate insurance, our interest in such prospect could be materially and adversely affected.

Oil and gas operations are subject to particular hazards incident to the drilling and production of oil and gas, such as blowouts, cratering, explosions, uncontrollable flows of oil, gas or well fluids, fires and pollution and other environmental risks. These hazards can cause personal injury and loss of life, severe damage to and destruction of property and equipment, pollution or environmental damage and suspension of operation.

We are not currently an operator of oil and gas properties. In the projects in which we own a non-operating interest directly or own an equity interest in a limited partnership which in turn owns a non-operating interest, the operator for the prospect maintains insurance of various types to cover our operations with policy limits and retention liability customary in the industry. We believe the coverage and types of insurance are adequate. The occurrence of a significant adverse event that is not fully covered by insurance could result in the loss of our total investment in a particular prospect which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. See also "Description of Business – Operational Hazards and Insurance" above.

### The cost of decommissioning is uncertain.

As a result of our business strategy, we may incur obligations to decommission certain structures in the North Sea. To date there is little experience of removing oil and gas structures in the North Sea. Fewer than 10% of the 400 structures have been removed and these were small steel structures and sub sea installations in the shallower waters of the Southern North Sea. In addition, certain groups have been set up to study issues relating to decommissioning and how the costs will be borne. Because the experience is limited, it is difficult to predict the costs of any future decommissions for which we might become obligated.

Our failure to comply with environmental regulations could result in significant fines and/or penalties and our cost of compliance with such regulations could result in large expenses, either of which would adversely affect our operations.

Our operations are subject to a variety of federal, state, local, and international laws and regulations governing the discharge of materials into the environment or otherwise relating to environmental protection. Significant fines and penalties may be imposed for the failure to comply with environmental laws and regulations. Some environmental laws provide for joint and several strict liability for remediation of releases of hazardous substances, rendering a person liable for environmental damage without regard to negligence or fault on the part of such person. In addition, we may be subject to claims alleging personal injury or property damage as a result of alleged exposure to hazardous substances such as oil and gas related products.

Some environmental protection laws and regulations may expose us to liability arising out of the conduct of operations or conditions caused by others, or for acts which were in compliance with all applicable laws at the time the acts were performed. Changes in the environmental laws and regulations, or claims for damages to persons, property, natural resources or the environment, could result in substantial costs and liabilities to us. Therefore, we may incur significant environmental compliance costs in the future. See also "Description of Business — Environmental" above.

Our failure to comply with various levels of governmental regulations to which we are subject could result in significant fines and/or penalties and our cost of compliance with such regulations could result in large expenses, either of which would adversely affect our operations.

Oil and gas exploration, development and production are subject to various types of regulation by local, state and federal agencies. Legislation affecting the oil and gas industry is under constant review for amendment and expansion. Also, numerous departments and agencies, both federal and state, are authorized by statute to issue and have issued rules and regulations binding on the oil and gas industry and its individual members, some of which carry substantial penalties for failure to comply. The regulatory burden on the oil and gas industry increases our cost of doing business and, consequently, adversely affects its profitability. See also "Description of Business – Regulation" above.

# We are dependent on our executive officers and need to attract and retain additional qualified personnel.

Our future success depends in large part on the service of William L. Transier and John N. Seitz, both of whom have substantial experience in the oil and gas industry. If either were to resign it could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition. While we have employment agreements with each of Mr. Transier and Mr. Seitz, there can be no assurance that such agreements will be enforceable in all circumstances, that we will have the

resources to enforce such agreements or that we will have the ability to retain their services due to resignation or otherwise. Further, we do not intend to maintain key-person life insurance on either Mr. Transier or Mr. Seitz. Our future success also depends upon our ability to attract, assimilate and retain highly qualified technical and other management personnel. There can be no assurance that we will continue to attract, assimilate and retain key personnel, and the failure to do so will have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

# You may experience dilution of your ownership interests due to the future issuance of additional shares of our common stock.

We may in the future issue our previously authorized and unissued securities which will result in the dilution of the ownership interests of our present stockholders. We are currently authorized to issue 150,000,000 shares of common stock and 11,500,000 shares of preferred stock with such designations, preferences and rights as determined by our board of directors. As March 29, 2004, we have issued 69,127,070 shares of common stock, and outstanding options and warrants to purchase an additional 6,622,500 shares of our common stock. We also have additional shares available for grant under the Company's recently adopted Incentive Plan, which plan will be presented for stockholder approval at our 2004 annual meeting of stockholders. Issuance of these shares of common stock may substantially dilute the ownership interests of our existing stockholders. The potential issuance of such additional shares of common stock may create downward pressure on the trading price of our common stock that in turn will require us to issue additional shares to raise funds through sales of our securities. We may also issue additional shares of our stock in connection with the hiring of personnel, future acquisitions, future private placements of our securities for capital raising purposes, or for other business purposes. This would further dilute the interests of our existing stockholders.

### We do not intend to pay dividends in the foreseeable future.

We have never declared or paid a dividend on our common stock. We intend to retain earnings, if any, for use in the operation and expansion of our business and therefore do not anticipate paying any dividends in the foreseeable future.

# Applicable SEC rules governing the trading of "penny stocks" limit the liquidity of our common stock which may affect the trading price of our common stock.

Our common stock currently trades on the OTC Bulletin Board. Since our common stock continues to trade below \$5.00 per share, our common stock is considered a penny stock and is subject to SEC rules and regulations that impose limitations upon the manner in which our shares can be publicly traded. These regulations require the delivery, prior to any transaction involving a penny stock, of a disclosure schedule explaining the penny stock market and the associated risks. Under these regulations, certain brokers who recommend such securities to persons other than established customers or certain accredited investors must make a special written suitability

determination for the purchaser and receive the purchaser's written agreement to a transaction prior to sale. These regulations have the effect of limiting the trading activity of our common stock and reducing the liquidity of an investment in our common stock.

### The trading price of our common stock may be volatile.

The trading price of our shares has from time to time fluctuated widely and in the future may be subject to similar fluctuations. The trading price may be affected by a number of factors, including the risk factors set forth herein, as well as our operating results, financial condition, announcements or drilling activities, general conditions in the oil and gas exploration and development industry, and other events or factors. In addition, we agreed to file a registration statement to permit the public resale of the shares sold in our 25 million share private placement that was consummated in February 2004 and certain other of our outstanding securities. The influx of such a substantial number of shares into the public market could have a significant negative effect on the trading price of our common stock. In recent years, broad stock market indices, in general, and smaller capitalization companies, in particular, have experienced substantial price fluctuations. In a volatile market, we may experience wide fluctuations in the market price of our common stock. These fluctuations may have an extremely negative effect on the market price of our common stock.

#### There is no significant market for our common stock.

Our common stock is not eligible for trading on any national or regional securities exchange or the Nasdaq Stock Market. Our common stock is trading on the OTC Bulletin Board. This market tends to be substantially more illiquid than national securities exchanges or the Nasdaq Stock Market. There is not an active trading market for our common stock. We are not certain that an active trading market in our common stock will develop, or if such a market develops, that it will be sustained.

If we are unable to drill and complete producing wells on certain of our leasehold acreage, we will lose such leasehold rights which will result in the loss of our entire investment in such lease.

Our ability to retain certain of our leasehold acreage depends on our ability to drill and complete wells as producers. Leases that are held past the primary term of such lease are held "by operations". In order to maintain rights in leases held by operations, a leaseholder must drill and complete wells which are capable of production. We cannot assure you that we or the other participants in the projects will have the financial ability to fund these potential commitments. If the other owners of the working interests do not fulfill their share of such expenses, we may be precluded from continuing the drilling or be required to provide funding in excess of our proportionate share to protect our interest.

# Our operations are extremely dependent on other companies and other service providers over which we have no control.

While we have exploration and development personnel, we may also rely upon the services of geologists, geophysicists, chemists, engineers and other scientists to assist in the exploration and analysis of our prospects to determine a method in which the prospects may be developed in a cost-effective manner. In addition, we rely upon the owners and operators of oil rigs and drilling equipment to drill and develop our prospects to production. Although we have developed relationships with a number of third party service providers, we cannot assure that we will be able to continue to rely on such persons. If any of these relationships with third party service providers are terminated or are unavailable on terms that are favorable to us, then we will not be able to execute our business plan.

### Item 2. Description of Property

#### Thailand - Khorat Plateau

The Company operates in the Kingdom of Thailand through PHT Partners, L.P., a limited partnership with PHT Holding GP, LLC, as general partner. PHT Partners, L.P. acquired 883 of the 4,100 outstanding membership interests in APICO, LLC ("APICO"). APICO's primary business is to acquire property interests in Thailand and other rights in any concessions, to participate in exploring these concessions, and to develop and operate oil and gas wells.

APICO has a 40% interest in the Phu Horm Gas Field Project operated by Amerada Hess (Thailand) Limited. During 2003, the Phu Horm 3 well was redrilled and the 45-day test of the well established that the well was capable of production in excess of 30,000 Mcf per day. The Phu Horm 4 and Phu Horm 5 wells are scheduled to be drilled in 2004.

In September, 2003, one of the partners in APICO, NuCoastal Thailand Limited, was granted the status of concessionaire under Thai law and awarded Onshore Exploration Block Nos. L15/43 and L27/43, covering an area of 7,649 square kilometers. APICO has a 100% interest in these blocks.

One of the partners in the Phu Horm Gas Field Project, ExxonMobil, has elected to back-in for a 10% interest in the Phu Horm Gas Field Project and pay their share of costs previously incurred. APICO' interest in the project will be reduced to 35%.

#### PHT Partners, L.P.

We were initially the sole limited partner and currently have a 94.9% limited partnership interest in PHT Partners, L.P. We have made capital contributions to PHT Partners, L.P. in the aggregate amount of \$1,721,607. Distribution of any profits of PHT are allocated 99% to the limited partners and 1% to the general partner until all capital has been returned to the partners. Thereafter, such distributions are allocated 80% to the limited partners and 20% to the general partners. PHT Partners, L.P. are subject to capital calls in connection with its investment in APICO. If PHT Partners, L.P. fail to meet any such capital call, we may lose all or part of our interest in APICO.

### Mississippi

On March 23, 2002, the Company formed Knox Miss. Partners, L.P. ("Knox Miss"), a Delaware limited partnership, to acquire interests in gas, oil and mineral leases of properties located in Mississippi. Knox Miss acquired certain seismic data and 50% working interests in leases covering approximately 34,800 net mineral acres within the Livingston Transform Area, Longview and Osborn prospects which cover several counties in Mississippi. During 2003, Knox Miss continued leasing activity in the Black Warrior Basin under our agreement with an industry participant to explore and develop an area of mutual interest in the Black Warrior Basin of Mississippi, targeting the Deep Knox and Pennsylvania formations.

#### Knox Miss. Partners, L.P.

As of December 31, 2003, the Company had contributed an aggregate of \$5,544,500 and the general partner contributed a total of \$27,325 to the limited partnership. Knox Miss. LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, which is the general partner of Knox Miss. Partners, L.P., was formed in March 2002. Under the Knox Miss., LLC's operating agreement, the Company was designated as the managing member of Knox Miss., LLC and given the authority to make all decisions on behalf of Knox Miss., LLC. Under the Knox Miss. Partners, L.P. partnership agreement, the Company, as the sole limited partner, is entitled to receive 99% of all cash generated by Knox Miss. Partners, L.P. from its operations or received from the disposition of its assets until such time as the Company as the sole limited partner has recovered its capital contributions to the partnership. Subsequent to recovering its capital contribution, the Company as the sole limited partner is entitled to receive 75% of all cash generated by Knox Miss. Partners, L.P. from its operations or received from the disposition of its assets.

During 2002, Knox Miss entered into exploration agreements with SK Exploration, Inc., SKH Energy Partners II, LP and Clayton Williams Energy, Inc. ("Clayton") to jointly cooperate and participate in the exploration and development of oil, gas and leases in the Livingston Transform Area, Longview and Osborn prospects. Knox Miss acquired certain seismic data and 50% working interests in leases covering approximately 34,800 net mineral acres within these prospects for an aggregate purchase price of \$4,214,950. Through December 31, 2003, Knox Miss has acquired additional mineral leases for \$1,218,867 and incurred \$848,139 of exploration expenses. Knox Miss is currently in legal proceedings in relation to these leases (See "Legal Proceedings"). Upon any joint sale by the parties of any ownership interests in the Livingston Transform Area prospect, Knox Miss will be entitled to receive the first \$850,000 of the proceeds.

In February 2004, we sold our 99% limited partnership interest in Knox Miss. Partners, L.P. and our interest in Knox Miss., LLC for \$5,000,000, consisting of \$500,000 in cash and a short-term note receivable of \$4,500,000 secured by a pledge of the limited partnership interest.

#### Cameron Parish, Louisiana

On December 31, 2002, we purchased a 24% limited partnership interest in Louisiana Shelf Partners, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership ("Louisiana Shelf"), for which LS Gas, LLC serves as the general partner. As of December 31, 2003, Louisiana Shelf has acquired various geological and geophysical data and interests in oil, gas and mineral leases located in Cameron Parish, Louisiana for an aggregate purchase price of \$4,740,039. Louisiana Shelf owns a leasehold interest in 1,130 acres in East Cameron Block 4, Cameron Parish, Louisiana and certain seismic data related thereto in offshore oil fields. Louisiana Coastal, Inc. serves as the operator of this project. Louisiana Shelf also owns three (3) leases in State Waters, in East Cameron Blocks 4, 18, and 22.

In August, 2003, Louisiana Shelf commenced drilling on the exploration project located on Louisiana State Lease No. 17742, 17743, 17744 and 17666 in Cameron Parish offshore Louisiana. The initial test well on Lease No. 17743 and 17744 was determined to be a dry hole. As a result, all the drilling costs incurred on this well in the amount of \$3,366,597 were written off during the third and fourth quarters of 2003.

Management has decided not to pursue additional exploration within State Lease No. 17743 and 17744. Consequently, these leases will be allowed to lapse in March 2004. We have recorded impairment charges of \$991,138 in the fourth quarter of 2003 consisting of all the lease acquisition costs related to these leases.

On October 8, 2003, Louisiana Shelf entered into a limited partnership agreement with PHT Gas, LLC and formed PHT Vicksburg Partners, LP ("PHT Vicksburg") of which Louisiana Shelf is a limited partner with an approximate 15% interest and PHT Gas, LLC is the general partner. As of December 31, 2003, Louisiana Shelf contributed \$112,800 to PHT Vicksburg. Pursuant to the partnership agreement, Louisiana Shelf and other PHT Vicksburg members will be called upon from time to time for additional contributions to meet the reasonable capital requirements of PHT Vicksburg. In October 2003 and subsequently in January 2004, PHT Vicksburg acquired various oil, gas and mineral leases located in the East Coastal Filed Prospect in Starr and Hidalgo counties, Texas for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$518,000.

#### Louisiana Shelf Partners, L.P.

The Company contributed \$240,000 to Louisiana Shelf in 2002 and \$2,032,500 in 2003. Distribution of any profits of Louisiana Shelf are allocated 99% to the limited partners and 1% to the general partner until all capital has been returned to the partners. Thereafter, such distributions are allocated 60% to the limited partners and 40% to the general partner. We are subject to capital calls to meet the reasonable capital requirements of Louisiana Shelf.

#### Oklahoma

On May 20, 2003, we entered into a Membership Purchase Agreement with BWP Gas, LLC ("BWP") whereby the Company acquired all of the Class B membership interest of BWP from HBA Gas, Inc. ("HBA") (the "BWP Acquisition"). BWP's sole asset at the time of the BWP Acquisition was a 4.5% working interest in the Mary #2-34Well ("Mary Well") in the Potato Hills Deep Prospect ("Potato Hills") located in Latimer and Pushmataha Counties, Oklahoma.

After the BWP Acquisition, BWP invested \$276,277 to acquire a 5% working interest in Indian Prospect located in Roger Mills County, Oklahoma, with GHK Company, LLC ("GHK") as the operator. The Company has not received assignment of these leases from GHK as of this date.

During 2003, two wells were drilled on the prospects. One of the wells began producing in 2003, while the other well was still in progress at December 31, 2003.

As part of the Restructuring, we sold all of our interests in BWP in February 2004.

#### BWP Gas, LLC

The Company became the sole Class B member and the managing member with a 99% interest in BWP. Oklahoma Hills Gas, LLC ("Oklahoma Hills") is the Class A member with a 1% interest. HBA is the managing member of Oklahoma Hills and Ernest Bartlett is the president of HBA and also the president of FEQ Investments, Inc. FEQ Investments, Inc. was a principal holder of our Series A and Series B Preferred stock as well as interests in certain entities which serve as the general partner of certain oil and gas limited partnerships in which the Company has interests. The total purchase price of the BWP Acquisition amounted to \$11,318,500, consisting of 3,300,000 shares of our common stock, warrants to purchase an additional 1,650,000 common shares at an exercise price of \$2.00 per share expiring in May 2006 and a contribution of \$2,500,000 to BWP in order for BWP to complete its funding commitment under the exploration agreement. Subsequent to the BWP Acquisition, the Company contributed an additional \$974,000 to BWP.

In February 2003, BWP entered into an exploration agreement ("Exploration Agreement") with GHK Company, LLC ("GHK") and its affiliate, which was amended in May 2003, to jointly cooperate and participate in the exploration and development of certain oil and gas leases in the Potato Hills area. Under the Exploration Agreement, BWP also has the right to participate in a 4.5% interest of all additional wells drilled in Potato Hills and the right to purchase up to a 10% interest in all wells identified by GHK in the future. In the event that the total costs for the drilling of the Mary No. 2-34 Well as reflected in the AFE exceed one hundred and ten percent (110%) of the budgeted amount, BWP is obligated to pay its proportionate share of such costs. BWP's working interest in Potato Hills is subject to various terms and specifications including restrictions, overriding royalty interests, specific drilling depths, future wells, specific locations, and other parties with priority in recovering their costs in certain net profits in the interests. We have legal proceedings with GHK relating to performance of the parties under the Exploration Agreement. See "Legal Proceedings."

BWP's share of drilling cost in the Potato Hills Prospect was \$60,637 as of December 31, 2003. BWP's share of drilling cost in the India Prospect was \$403,372 as of December 31, 2003.

We recognized a non-cash charge on producing properties during the years 2003 and 2002 of \$10,117,867 and \$0, respectively, for those properties whose carrying values exceeded the undiscounted future net cash flows.

#### EGI Louisiana, Inc. - Hell Hole Bayou Prospect

From February through August 2002, we acquired various working interests in the leases underlying the North Hell Hole Prospect (the "Prospect"). The purpose of the Prospect was to explore, develop and produce certain oil and gas interests it possesses in a contracted area known as Hell Hole Bayou located in Vermillion Parish, Louisiana. The Company acquired these interests from various leaseholders, including Touchstone Resources USA, Inc. ("Touchstone USA"). The Company's various interests were subject to various terms and specifications including restrictions, overriding royalty interests, specific drilling depths, future wells, specific locations, and other parties with priority in recovering their costs in certain net profits in the interests. As of December 31, 2003, the Company owned an aggregate working interest of 37.9% and an additional 10% back-in interest.

In December 2002, our outside engineer and operator determined that the initial hole was dry. Drilling on a sidetrack project in 2003 was a dry hole also. Two leases required the Company to commence new exploration within 90 days of the determination of the dry hole. Management decided not to pursue additional exploration within these lease areas. Consequently, these leases were allowed to lapse. Management also decided not to pursue additional exploration within the remaining three leases. Consequently, these three leases were also allowed to lapse. Impairments aggregating \$15,019,588 were recorded during 2002 and 2003, which comprised all drilling costs incurred to date plus 100% of the lease acquisition costs for the entire Hell Hole Prospect.

### Planned Exploration and Development Expenditures

We anticipate exploration and development expenditures in 2004 to be \$11.6 million. These expenditures include \$4.5 million in Phu Horm, \$6.2 million for seismic expenses and exploration and development related general and administrative expenses primarily in the North Sea and the remainder for corporate capital expenditures. We may increase or decrease our planned activities for 2004, depending upon drilling results, potential acquisition candidates, product prices, the availability of capital resources, and other factors affecting the economic viability of such activities.

#### Reserves

At December 31, 2003, we had proved reserves of 52 million cubic feet of gas, all related to the Oklahoma properties of BWP. As of March 29, 2004, we have no proved reserves as BWP was sold as part of the Restructuring. Although the Phu Horm 3 well tested in excess of 30,000 Mcf/day, the well has not yet been classified as a commercial well by the Thai government and is not included in proved reserves.

### **Exploration and Development Activities**

Our oil and gas exploratory activities are as follows for the year ended December 31, 2003. A well is considered productive for purposes of the following table if it justifies the installation of permanent equipment for the production of oil or gas. The term "gross wells" means the total number of wells in which we own an interest, while the term "net wells" means the sum of the fractional working interests we own in gross wells. The information should not be considered indicative of future performance, nor should it be assumed that there is necessarily any correlation between the number of productive wells drilled, quantities of reserves found or economic value. Wells classified as "in progress" at year-end represent wells where drilling activity is ongoing, wells awaiting installation of permanent equipment and wells awaiting the drilling of additional delineation wells.

• • .	Productive Wells		Dry Holes		In Progress Wells	
	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net
Thailand	-	-	~		1	0.09
Louisiana	_	-	2	0.50	<del>-</del> '	· · <u>-</u>
Oklahoma	1_	0.05			1	0.05
Total United States	1	0.05	2	0.50	1	0.05
Total	1	0.05	2	0.50	2	0.14

We do not own any drilling rigs, and all of our drilling activities are conducted by independent drilling contractors.

#### Productive Well Summary

At December 31, 2003, we had one productive well which was included in the BWP sale as part of the Restructuring. Therefore, we have no productive wells as of March 29, 2004, although the Phu Horm 3 tested in excess of 30,000 Mcf/day.

#### **Undeveloped Acreage**

The following table sets forth certain information regarding our undeveloped leasehold acreage as of December 31, 2003 in the areas indicated. This table excludes options to acquire leases and acreage in which our interest is limited to royalty, overriding royalty and similar interests.

	Endeavour	• .	
•	Entity Owning	. ,	
Area	the Interests	Gross	Net
Thailand – Khorat Plateau	PHT	1,969,564	201,290
Louisiana – Cameron Parish	Louisiana Shelf	3,590	898
Oklahoma	BWP	28,408	1,420
Mississippi – Black Warrior Basin	Knox Miss	104,211	38,377
Total United States		136,209	40,695
Total		2,105,773	241,985

The undeveloped leasehold in the Cameron Parish, Louisiana area expires in December 2005 and March 2006 in the absence of production, assuming the rentals are paid timely. As referred to above, our management has decided not to pursue any additional exploration in two of these Cameron Parish leases. The undeveloped leasehold in the Black Warrior Basin, Mississippi, generally expires in 2013 if all options to extend the leases are exercised. All leasehold acres in which Knox Miss Partners, L.P. is entitled to an assignment are included.

The properties in Oklahoma and Mississippi were sold in February 2003 as part of the sale of our interests in Knox Miss. Partners, L.P. and BWP Gas, LLC.

# Item 3. Legal Proceedings

On or about March 4, 2004, the GHK Company, LLC, GHK/Potato Hills Limited Partnership, and Brian F. Egolf (collectively, "Plaintiffs") commenced an action against Endeavour International Corporation ("Endeavour"), f/k/a Continental Southern Resources, Inc. ("CSOR"), (collectively, "defendants") as well as BWP Gas, L.L.C. ("BWP") and HBA Gas, Inc. ("HBA") in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. In the petition, Plaintiffs allege that CSOR intended to acquire a majority of the membership interests in BWP and that HBA in turn entered into an agreement to assign Plaintiff 2.5 million common shares of CSOR stock upon compliance by Plaintiffs with certain contractual obligations including but not limited to completion and initial commercial production of the Mary #2-34 well, along with the presentation of a development plan and the commencement of the next exploration or development well in the Potato Hills Deep Prospect. Plaintiffs further allege in their petition that BWP, HBA and Endeavour are alter egos of each other and jointly and severally liable to Plaintiffs for failing to deliver Plaintiffs the CSOR common stock. Plaintiffs seek delivery of the stock as well as a temporary restraining order, a primary and permanent injunction (i) enjoining all dilutions of Plaintiff rights pertaining to CSOR stock; (ii) enjoining Endeavour from all future stock issuances and transfers of assets not in the ordinary course of business and (iii) prohibiting the alienation or encumbrance of the

CSOR stock that is allegedly in Plaintiff's possession. Management intends to litigate vigorously and believes it has good and valid defenses. However, the action has just begun and counsel is unable to opine on the outcome of the litigation.

### Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

By unanimous written consent dated December 12, 2003, the holders of all 4,090,713 then outstanding shares of our Series A Preferred Stock approved amendments to the Certificate of Designation of Series A Preferred Stock. The amendments consisted of deleting the defined term "Permitted Repurchases" and all references to "Permitted Repurchases" in the Certificate of Designation and deleting the prohibition against repurchasing or redeeming any shares of preferred stock. The forgoing amendments were necessary to permit us to purchase the shares of series B preferred stock which was a condition to the complete the Merger and the Restructuring.

# Part II

# Item 5. Market for Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters

Our common stock currently trades on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol "EVOR". Prior to February 27, 2004, our common stock traded on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol "CSOR". Prior to December 10, 2001, there was no public trading market for our common stock. On December 10, 2001, the common stock became eligible for quotation in the "Pink Sheets." On February 27, 2002, the common stock became eligible for quotation on the OTC Bulletin Board. The following table sets forth the range of high and low bid prices per share of our common stock for each of the calendar quarters identified below as reported by the OTC Bulletin Board. These quotations represent inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, markdown or commission, and may not represent actual transactions.

	2003		20	002
,	High	Low	High	Low
First Quarter	\$ 5.25	\$ 3.30	\$ 3.75	\$ 2.90
Second Quarter	3.47	2.63	6.25	3.00
Third Quarter	2.90	1.95	6.40	4.90
Fourth Quarter	2.29	2.10	5.50	4.05

The last reported price of our common stock on the OTC Bulletin Board on March 29, 2004 was \$4.06 per share.

#### Holders

As of March 29, 2004, the number of holders of record of our common stock was 426. We believe that there are a number of additional beneficial owners of our common stock who hold such shares in street name.

#### **Dividends**

We have not paid any cash dividends to date, and have no intention of paying any cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. Our Series B Preferred Stock is subject to a cumulative 8% dividend. Unless the full amount of the foregoing dividends is paid in full, we cannot declare or pay any dividend on our common stock. As of March 29, 2004, no shares of our Series A Preferred Stock or Series C preferred Stock were outstanding. The declaration and payment of dividends is subject to the discretion of our Board of Directors and to certain limitations imposed under Nevada corporate laws. The timing, amount and form of dividends, if any, will depend on, among other things, our results of operations, financial condition, cash requirements and other factors deemed relevant by our Board of Directors.

#### **Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities**

In all of the following sales of unregistered securities beginning October 1, 2003 through February 29, 2004, the shares or options were issued in a private placement transaction exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, pursuant to Section 4(2) thereof or Rule 506 of Regulation D promulgated thereunder without payment of underwriting discounts or commissions to any person.

In November 2003, we issued 2,190 shares of common stock as compensation for legal fees of \$4,600 to Joseph Fioravanti, a member of our Board of Directors at that time. The legal fees related to various professional services rendered to the Company.

In February 2004, we completed a private placement of 125,000 shares of our common stock for \$250,000.

In February 2004, we completed a private placement of 25,000,000 shares of our common stock for \$46,000,000, after deduction of placement agent commissions of \$2,500,000, financial advisory fees of \$1,250,000 and offering expenses, estimated to be \$250,000. In addition, warrants to purchase 700,000 shares of our common stock at \$2.00 per share were issued to the placement agent. The net proceeds were used for the purchase of approximately 14.1 million shares of common stock and 103,500.07 shares of our Series B Preferred Stock for \$5.3 million and for repayment of the principal amount of certain outstanding convertible notes for \$1.5 million, with the remainder of the net proceeds to be used for general corporate purposes, including potential acquisitions.

In February 2004, we granted 175,000 shares of our common stock as advisory fees for the Restructuring.

As consideration for services rendered in connection with the purchase of the shares of common stock and Series B Preferred stock from RAM, we issued 300,000 shares of our common stock to an unrelated party.

In February and March 2004, we issued 3,109,625 shares of our common stock to employees and directors. The shares vest at various dates through January 1, 2007.

# Item 6. Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation

This Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation and other parts of this report contain forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. All forward-looking statements included in this report are based on information available to the Company on the date hereof, and we assume no obligation to update any such forward-looking statements. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of a number of factors, including those set forth in the section captioned "RISK FACTORS" in Item 1 and elsewhere in this report. The following should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements of our and the notes thereto included elsewhere herein.

#### Overview

On February 27, 2004, the Company completed a series of mutually interdependent transactions that will significantly expand the scope and objectives of the company under the leadership of a new management team. The strategy of the new management team is to acquire, explore, and develop primarily international natural gas and oil properties, with an initial focus on the North Sea region. Our strategy in the coming months in the North Sea is to take advantage of the shift of the major exploration and production companies away from this region, the significant contraction of the independent sector, and the opportunities these changes create for new independent companies. We will leverage vertical alliances with companies that offer capabilities or technologies that will enhance our future exploratory and developmental efforts.

We have directly and, through our subsidiaries, indirectly acquired leasehold interests in prospects in Louisiana and Thailand and significant seismic data covering 79,200 square kilometers in the North Sea. With the assistance of various third parties, we plan to explore and develop these prospects and sell on the open market any gas or oil that we discover. We may rely on third parties to assist and advise us regarding the identification and leasing of properties on favorable terms. The Kingdom of Thailand is expected to award production area status to the Phu Horm prospect during 2004. The operator of the Phu Horm prospect expects to drill two wells during 2004 and anticipates production in 2005.

We may also rely upon various third parties who will be responsible for drilling wells, delivering any gas or oil reserves that are discovered through pipelines to the ultimate purchasers and assisting us in the negotiation of all sales contracts with such purchasing parties. We will play an active role in evaluating prospects, and to provide financial and other management functions with respect to the operations at each of our properties. We also may subcontract the

performance of the physical operations at our properties, therefore we do not anticipate incurring substantial expenses related to the purchase of plant, machinery or equipment in connection with the exploration and development of our properties. Similarly, we do not anticipate any substantial increase in the number of persons that we employ.

#### **Business Strategy**

We intend to develop an asset portfolio balanced between exploration and exploitation, gas and oil, with an initial focus in the North Sea. Our immediate objective will be to gain, as soon as possible, a level of production and cash flow that will support a strong exploration effort and provide investment funding for exploitation opportunities we identify in acquired assets. The most desirable targets for investment in the North Sea are natural gas projects with long life production profiles and oil-producing assets that provide near term cash flow, as well as upside from further drilling. Technical teams will initially focus their efforts in the regions of the North Sea that offer large reserve potential, proven play concepts and undeveloped oil and gas resources.

#### **Results of Operations**

Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2003 As Compared To Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2002

#### Revenues

We generated \$27,305 of revenue during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003 as compared to \$16,142 of revenue during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002. Revenues during 2003 were due to the initial production from our Oklahoma properties. These Oklahoma properties were sold in February 2004 as part of the Restructuring. Revenues during 2002 consisted of oil and gas revenues from our interest in CSR-Waha Partners, L.P. We sold our interest in CSR-Waha Partners, L.P. in January 2003.

#### **Operating Expenses**

Operating expenses increased to \$920,494 during 2003 from \$258,560 in 2002 as production began from our Oklahoma properties and we increased activity on the Mississippi properties, partially offset by the sale of our interest in CSR-Waha Partners, L.P. in January 2003. Unproved property impairment expenses were \$21,502,321 and \$3,658,136 during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively. The increase in oil and gas properties impairment expenses was due to the impairment of Oklahoma properties of \$10,117,867 in 2003, and the unsuccessful drilling results in Louisiana with impairments of \$11,384,453 in 2003, while 2002's impairment related to unsuccessful drilling on the Hell Hole prospect.

#### **Bad Debt Expense**

Bad debt expense increased to \$1,800,000 in 2003 from \$550,601 in 2002. All of the bad debt expense for 2003 and 2002 was related to our investment in Touchstone Resources, Ltd. ("Touchstone"), a Canadian Exchange listed company and the parent company of Touchstone USA, Inc. As of December 31, 2003, we had recorded bad debt reserves for the full balance of our investment in Touchstone.

#### General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses increased by \$997,191 to \$2,132,451 during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003 as compared to \$1,135,260 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002. The increase was primarily due to an in increase in compensation expenses related to professional fees and noncash directors' fees.

### Other (Income) and Expense

Other (income) and expense was \$4,971,331 during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003 as compared to \$2,714,481 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002. The increase was primarily due to a \$1,122,953 partnership investment loss associated with the Company's investment in Louisiana Shelf Partners, L.P. and \$1.7 million in losses on the sale of marketable securities. These amounts were partially offset by \$1.2 million gain on the sale of CSR-Waha Partners, L.P in 2003.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

Net cash used in operating activities during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003 was \$4,093,490 compared to \$1,442,613 during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002. The primary use of cash in operating activities was to fund our operating activities. Net cash used in investing activities for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003 was \$6,559,897 compared to net cash used in investing activities of \$23,416,685 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002 and consisted primarily of \$8,095,876, partially offset by receipts on note receivable of \$1,468,500 in 2003, and \$18,474,381 for the purchase of oil and gas interests and acquisition and investments in limited partnerships in 2003 and 2002, respectively, and \$4,304,036 for the purchase of marketable securities in 2002. Net cash provided by financing activities during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003 was \$10,380,299 compared to \$25,149,748 during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002. Net cash provided by financing activities in 2003 consisted primarily of the proceeds from borrowings and sales of our common and preferred stock. Net cash provided by financing activities in 2002 consisted primarily of the issuances of promissory notes and sales of our common stock and preferred stock which were partially offset by costs relating to such financings.

Working capital decreased by approximately \$4.8 million during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003 to a deficit of \$6,051,278 as compared to \$1,214,580 as of December 31, 2002. This

decrease is primarily due to the issuance of our convertible notes and deferred equity option, and an increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses to \$5,235,725 as compared to \$2,719,860 at December 31, 2002.

In May 2003, we issued to the former Class B member of BWP and its designees (consisting of creditors and consultants of BWP) 3,300,000 shares of common stock and 1,650,000 warrants at an exercise price of \$2.00 per share expiring in three years as consideration for its purchase of the 100% of the Class B Membership in BWP.

Between May and July 2003, we sold 477,500 shares of Series C Convertible Preferred Stock in a private placement for \$10.00 per share. We were required to register the resale of all shares of the common stock issuable upon conversion of the Series C Preferred Stock, within 90 days of the date of termination of the offering. We recorded \$307,200 in offering costs related to this offering.

During 2003, we entered into two securities purchase agreements with RAM during 2003. In connection with these agreements we have issued 950,000 shares of our common stock to RAM.

In April 2002, we entered into a loan agreement to borrow \$1,500,000 from Gemini Growth Fund, LP ("Gemini"), a Delaware limited partnership. Gemini subsequently changed its name to Trident Growth Fund, L.P. ("Trident"). The note is a 12% secured convertible promissory note. The note is secured by substantially all of the assets of the Company. The note was to originally mature on October 21, 2003, however on July 29, 2003, the loan was amended to extend the maturity date to July 31, 2004 and increase the principal amount to \$2,100,000. As consideration for Trident's increasing of the original loan to \$2,100,000,we granted Trident warrants to purchase 150,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$1.60 per share. The warrants are exercisable immediately and will expire on July 23, 2008. In February 2004, \$1,500,000 of principal was repaid on the note and \$600,000 of principal along with accrued interest was converted into our common stock as part of the Restructuring.

The foregoing constitutes our principal sources of financing during the past twelve months. We do not currently maintain a line of credit or term loan with any commercial bank or other financial institution. Our capital needs have been, and continue to be principally met through proceeds from the sale of our equity and debt securities. We have used these funds to acquire leasehold interests.

#### Anticipated Capital Expenditures

For 2004, we anticipate exploration and development expenditures will be \$11.6 million. These expenditures include \$4.5 million in Thailand, \$6.2 million for seismic expenses and exploration and development related general and administrative expenses primarily in the North Sea and the remainder for corporate capital expenditures. We may increase or decrease our planned activities for 2004, depending upon drilling results, potential acquisition candidates, product prices, the availability of capital resources, and other factors affecting the economic viability of such activities. We will also incur additional general and administrative expenses over the next twelve months. After completion of the Offering with \$50 million of gross proceeds, we believe

that we will have sufficient funding for at least 24 months to continue to execute our business plan.

In the event that we locate additional prospects for acquisition, receive authorizations for expenditures from the operators at our prospects in excess of budgeted amounts, or experience cost overruns at our prospects, we may be required to raise funds through additional offerings of our securities or borrowings in order to have the funds necessary to complete these acquisitions and continue our operations. If we are unable to obtain additional funds when they are required or if the funds cannot be obtained on terms favorable to us, then we may be required to delay, scale back or eliminate some or all of our well development programs or may even be required to relinquish our interest in certain properties. If one or more of the other owners of leasehold interests in our prospects fail to pay their equitable portion of development or operation costs, then we may need to pay additional funds to protect our ownership interests in our leasehold interests and for general corporate purposes.

#### Item 7. Financial Statements

See "Financial Statements" beginning on page F-1.

# Item 8. Changes In and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

Not applicable.

### Item 8a. Controls and Procedures.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our co-executive officers ("Co-CEOs") and our Chief Accounting Officer, we evaluated of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the fiscal period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation our Co-CEOs and our Chief Accounting Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information we are required to disclose in our reports filed or submitted under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms. There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting during our most recently completed fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

# **Part III**

# Item 9. Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters and Control Persons; Compliance with Section 16(A) of the Exchange Act

The following sets forth certain information about each director and executive officer of the Company.

Name	<u>Age</u>	Positions Held
William L. Transier	49	Co-Chief Executive Officer and Director
John N. Seitz	52	Co-Chief Executive Officer and Director
John B. Connally III	57	Director
Nancy K. Quinn	50	Director
Michael D. Cochran	62	Executive Vice President Exploration
Bruce H. Stover	55	Executive Vice President Operations and Business
		Development
H. Don Teague	. 61	Executive Vice President, Administration, General
		Counsel and Secretary
Robert L. Thompson	-57	Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer and
	·	Corporate Planning
Ronald A Bain	. 57	Vice President Geosciences
•		

In March 2004, Mr. Joseph Fioravanti resigned from our Board of Directors and Ms. Nancy Quinn was appointed to our Board of Directors.

The following is a brief summary of the business experience of each of the above-named individuals:

William L. Transier became Co-Chief Executive Officer and a Director of the Company upon consummation of the Merger. From 1999 to 2003, Mr. Transier was executive vice president and chief financial officer for Ocean Energy, Inc. prior to its merger with Devon Energy Corporation. In this role, he was responsible for the functions associated with corporate treasury, accounting, investor relations, corporate communications, human resources, administration, tax, corporate planning, internal audit, corporate M&A, business development and marketing and trading. He was named to that position in March 1999 following the merger of Ocean Energy and Seagull Energy Corporation ("Seagull Energy"). Mr. Transier was part of the executive management team that spearheaded the most recent merger that ultimately contributed to the creation of the largest independent U.S. oil and gas exploration and production company, Devon Energy Corporation.

From September 1998 to March 1999, Mr. Transier was executive vice president and Chief Financial Officer for Seagull Energy, and was part of the leadership team that successfully completed the merger with Ocean Energy. He originally joined Seagull Energy in May 1996 as senior vice president and chief financial officer. Mr. Transier began his career in the audit

department of KPMG LLP, an international audit and business strategy consulting firm. In 1986, he became a partner in the firm.

Mr. Transier graduated from the University of Texas with a Bachelor of Business Administration and honors in accounting. He received his Masters in Business Administration from Regis University and attended the International Program at Wharton Business School. He also studied law at the University of Houston Law Center. Mr. Transier is a director of Reliant Resources, Inc., and Cal Dive International, Inc.

John N. Seitz became Co-Chief Executive Officer and a Director of the Company upon consummation of the Merger. From January 2002 to March 2003, Mr. Seitz was Chief Executive Officer, Chief Operating Officer and President of Anadarko Petroleum Corporation. Mr. Seitz was named to those positions in 2002 following a progression of increasingly responsible executive positions from Vice President-Exploration from 1997 to 1999 to President and Chief Operating Officer from 1999 to 2003. Under Mr. Seitz's leadership, Andarko successfully and economically grew its reserves and production base from its independence in 1986 through 2002 through a combination of consistent organic growth and selective acquisitions, making Anadarko one of the largest independent exploration and production companies in the world. This growth was spearheaded by Anadarko's significant exploration discoveries in Algeria, Alaska, the East Texas Bossier Play, and the sub-salt play in the Gulf of Mexico. During the ten-year period commencing in 1992, Anadarko grew its reserves per share by an average of 11% per year. Mr. Seitz began his career with Anadarko in 1977 as a senior geologist and progressed to the position of Manager – Exploration in 1986 at the time of Anadarko's spin-off from Panhandle Eastern. Before that, Mr. Seitz was a geologist with Amoco Production Company, beginning his career there in 1975.

Mr. Seitz received his Bachelor of Science degree in Geology from the University of Pittsburgh in 1974 and a Master of Science degree in Geology from Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute in 1975. He has also received advanced studies in business from the University of Houston and Wharton School of Business. Mr. Seitz is an AIPG Certified Professional Geologist and is a licensed professional geoscientist with the State of Texas. He serves as a trustee for the American Geological Institute Foundation and is a director of Input / Output Inc. and Elk Petroleum, Inc.

John B. Connally III has served as a Director of the Company since our 2002 annual meeting of shareholders. Mr. Connally is currently Chief Executive Officer of Pure Energy Group, Inc., a private oil and gas exploration and production company with extensive mineral interests principally in the state of New Mexico. Mr. Connally is also the President, Chief Executive Officer and a Director of BPK Resources, Inc., a gas and oil exploration company whose shares traded on the OTC Bulletin Board. Mr. Connally has over 30 years of experience in the oil and gas and oilfield services industry. In 1999, he became a principal in Graver Manufacturing Co., a private company that manufactures pressure vessels for the petrochemical and refining industry. In 1990, Mr. Connally was a member of the founding Board of Directors of Nuevo Energy Company, an exploration and production company listed on the New York Stock Exchange, where he served until 1996. Mr. Connally, formerly a partner with the law firm of Baker & Botts in Houston, Texas, specialized in corporate finance transactions for oil and gas and oil field

service companies. Since leaving Baker & Botts in 1983, Mr. Connally has been an investor in the oil and gas, real estate, and oil field services industries. Mr. Connally received a Bachelor of Arts degree from the University of Texas at Austin and a Juris Doctor from the University of Texas School of Law.

Nancy K. Quinn became a Director of the Company in March 2004. Ms. Quinn is a Principal of Hanover Capital, a privately owned advisory firm which provides financial and strategic services primarily to clients in the energy, utility and natural resources industries. Ms. Quinn has over 20 years experience in the financial sector, particularly with clients in the energy and natural resources industries. Ms. Quinn was a co-founder of Hanover Capital in 1996 and has been a Principal with the company since that time. From 1996 until 2000, Ms Quinn was an Executive Director of The Beacon Group, LP., and Hanover Capital was a Limited Partner. The Beacon Group, now a part of JP Morgan Chase, was a merchant banking and financial advisory firm headquartered in New York. Prior to founding Hanover Capital, Ms. Quinn was the Co-Head of the Natural Resources Investment Banking at Kidder, Peabody & Co., an investment banking firm headquartered in New York. At Kidder, Ms Quinn was also Head of Southwest Regional banking and was based in Houston, Texas for approximately five years.

Ms. Quinn began her investment banking career at Kidder, Peabody in 1982. When Kidder, Peabody was sold to PaineWebber Incorporated, now owned by UBS, in 1994, Ms Quinn became the Co-Head of the Energy and Natural Resources Group. Ms Quinn was a Director of DeepTech International, a diversified energy company traded on the OTC market, from 1995 to 1998. Ms. Quinn was a Director of Louis Dreyfus Natural Gas Co., an exploration and production company traded on the New York Stock Exchange, from 1999 to 2001.

Ms. Quinn received a Bachelor of Fine Arts degree from Louisiana State University and a Masters in Business Administration from Louisiana State University.

**H. Don Teague** became Executive Vice President, Administration, General Counsel and Secretary in March 2004. Most recently, Mr. Teague has been an independent consultant. Prior thereto he was Executive Vice President and General Counsel of ICG Communications, Inc., from 1997 to 2000, and Senior Vice President and General Counsel of Falcon Seaboard, Inc., from 1994 to 1997. Mr. Teague was a partner at Vinson & Elkins L.L.P. from 1974 to 1994. He divided his time between the Houston and London offices of that firm, serving as the administrative head of the London office of Vinson & Elkins for several years.

Mr. Teague received a B.B.A. and an LL. B. from The University of Texas and a LL.M. from Harvard University.

Michael D. Cochran became Executive Vice President Exploration of the Company upon consummation of the Merger. From 2001 to 2003, Dr. Cochran was Senior Vice President, Strategy and Planning, for Anadarko. Named to the position in 2001, he was responsible for portfolio management, business models, economic analyses, business intelligence, and planning. From 1997 to 2001, he served as Vice President, World-Wide Exploration, where he was responsible for both U.S. and international exploration and development activities for Anadarko.

In addition, he led the integration of the Union Pacific Resources and Anadarko exploration and development organizations.

Dr. Cochran began his career with Gulf Oil Company ("Gulf Oil") in 1968, and served in many capacities in both research and exploration in U.S. and international arenas. Dr. Cochran was Manager, Exploration – Central Exploration Group, during the merger of Gulf Oil with Chevron Corporation. He joined Geosource, Inc. in 1984 as Director, Technology; his responsibilities included implementing and marketing new technologies. He joined Anadarko in 1987 as Chief Geophysicist and, subsequently held managerial positions in various exploration areas. Dr. Cochran was Manager, International Exploration for Anadarko during its initial discoveries in Algeria.

Dr. Cochran holds a Bachelor of Science degree from Tulane University and a Master of Science degree in Geology from Bowling Green State University. He earned a Ph.D. in Geophysics from Rice University in 1969.

Bruce H. Stover became Executive Vice President Operations and Business Development of the Company upon consummation of the Merger. From 1997 to 2003, Mr. Stover was Senior Vice President, Worldwide Business Development for Anadarko. In this role, Mr. Stover had responsibility for evaluating and securing new business opportunities for Anadarko, domestic and international, and was also responsible for mergers and acquisitions. Mr. Stover joined Anadarko in 1980 as Chief Engineer. In 1989, he was named President and General Manager for Anadarko Algeria Corporation where he led the company's start-up operations in Algeria. In 1993, he was named Vice President, Acquisitions and in 1997 that position evolved into Vice President, Worldwide Business Development. Prior to joining Anadarko, Mr. Stover was employed with Amoco Production Company from 1972 to 1979.

Mr. Stover is a graduate of the University of Oklahoma where he earned a Bachelor of Science degree in Petroleum Engineering in 1971.

Robert L. Thompson became Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer and Corporate Planning in March 2004. He was most recently Vice President and Controller of Ocean Energy. Mr. Thompson joined Ocean Energy in 2001 and was responsible for its accounting functions and a member of its Management Committee until the company merged with Devon Energy Corporation. Prior to Ocean Energy, he worked with Cambridge Energy Research Associates as a senior consultant on finance and economics. The majority of his career was spent with Dallasheadquartered independent oil and gas exploration and production company Oryx Energy Company and its predecessors. His positions there included Director - Financial Analysis, Director - Business Planning and Acquisitions, and Controller and Vice President - Planning. Oryx Energy merged with Kerr-McGee in 1999, with Mr. Thompson providing financial analysis and due diligence regarding that transaction.

Mr. Thompson received a Bachelor of Science in Management and a Masters in Business Administration from Drexel University in Philadelphia. He also attended the Senior Executive Program at Massachusetts Institute of Technology and is a certified public accountant.

Ronald A. Bain became Vice President Geosciences of the Company upon consummation of the Merger. From 2001 to 2003, Dr. Bain was founder and president of ConRon Consulting, Inc. In this role, he provided advisory services in the areas of strategic geotechnologies and decision support for a wide range of client companies. Prior to his retirement from Anadarko in 2001, Dr. Bain held numerous management positions in technology and exploration. He joined Anadarko in 1983 as Division Exploration Manager, Gulf of Mexico. Dr. Bain held successive positions including Chief Geophysicist, Manager of Geoscience, General Manager (Anadarko China Company – Beijing), Manager of Exploration (Far East, South America and North Sea) and Manager of Exploration Technology and Planning. At retirement, he held the position of Manager, International Exploration. Immediately prior to joining Anadarko in 1983, he worked two years for Sohio Petroleum as Division Geophysicist, Gulf of Mexico. Dr. Bain entered the industry in 1974 as a research geophysicist with Gulf Research & Development Co. and held increasingly responsible positions in exploration with Gulf Oil in Oklahoma City and New Orleans.

Dr. Bain holds Bachelor of Science and Doctor of Philosophy degrees in physics from the University of Texas at Austin and a Master of Science degree in physics from the University of Pittsburgh.

#### Director and Officer Tenure

All directors hold office until the next annual meeting of stockholders and the election and qualification of their successors. Officers are elected annually by the Board of Directors and serve at the discretion of the Board.

#### **Audit Committee Financial Expert**

The Board of Directors has determined that Nancy K. Quinn, a member of the audit committee, is independent and an audit committee financial expert as such terms are defined by the SEC.

#### Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), requires the Company's officers and directors and persons who own more than ten percent (10%) of the Company's Common Stock to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of the Company's Common Stock. Such officers, directors and ten percent (10%) stockholders are also required by applicable SEC rules to furnish the Company with copies of all forms filed with the SEC pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act. Based solely on our review of the copies of such forms we received, we believe that during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to the Company's officers, directors and 10% stockholders were satisfied in a timely fashion.

#### **Code of Ethics**

On March 24, 2004, we adopted a code of ethics applicable to all employees, including our Co-Chief Executive Officers and Principal Accounting Officer. The code of ethics is filed as an exhibit to this Form 10-KSB.

#### Item 10. Executive Compensation

The following table provides certain summary information concerning compensation paid to or accrued by our former chief executive officer (the "named executive officer") during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002. We had no other officers that qualified for disclosure for fiscal years 2003 and 2003 and we did not pay any compensation to any of our officers during 2001.

#### **Summary Compensation Table**

Name And Principal Position	Fiscal Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Securities Underlying Options (#)
Stephen P. Harrington, President (1)	2003	150,000	- <b>-</b>	, etc. — <del>T</del>
Fresident (1)	2002	112,500	. <u> </u>	100,000

(1) Mr. Harrington served as our President from February 18, 2002 through February 26, 2004.

#### Option Grants in Year Ended December 31, 2002 (Individual Grants)

The following table sets forth for the named executive officer information regarding stock options granted to such officer during the 2003 fiscal year.

#### **Individual Grants**

		% of Total		
	Number of	Options		
,	Securities	Granted to		. *.
	Underlying	Employees	Exercise or	
	Options	in Fiscal	Base Price	9
Name	Granted (#)	Year	(\$/share)	Expiration Date
Stephen P. Harrington	100,000	100%	\$2.30(1)	August, 2008

(1) The options included above were originally granted in 2002 at an exercise price of \$5.00 per share. During 2003, these options were repriced to \$2.30 per share.

### Aggregated Option Exercises in the 2003 Fiscal Year and Fiscal Year-End Option Values

The following table sets forth for the named executive officer, information regarding stock options exercised by such officer during the 2003 fiscal year, together with the number and value of stock options held at 2003 fiscal year-end, each on an aggregated basis.

			Number of	
			Securities	•
			Underlying	
			Unexercised	
			Options at	Value of Unexercised In-
	Number of		Fiscal Year-	The-Money Options at
	Shares	i	End (#)	Fiscal Year-End (\$)
	Acquired on	Value Realized	Exercisable/	Exercisable/
Name	Exercise (#)	(\$)	Unexercisable	Unexercisable (1)
Stephen P. Harrington	NA	NA	100,000 (2)	(2)

- (1) The last sales price of our common stock on December 31, 2003 as reported on the OTC Bulletin Board was \$2.18 per share.
- (2) All outstanding options held by Mr. Harrington were exercisable but out-of-the-money at December 31, 2003.

In February 2004, Mr. Transier and Mr. Seitz became our Co-Chief Executive Officers. Pursuant to their three-year employment agreements, Mr. Transier and Mr. Seitz will receive no cash salary during 2004.

#### **Directors Compensation**

In November 2002, the Company issued options to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock to each Director of the Company. On August 8, 2003, the prior Board of Directors modified the terms of the 500,000 options granted to its directors in 2002 by reducing the option exercise price from \$5.00 to \$2.30 per share and extending the option expiration date from November 4, 2004 to August 4, 2008. During August 2003, the Company paid legal fees in cash and shares of common stock of \$20,800 to Joseph Fioravanti, who was a director of the Company at that time, and issued 100,000 shares of common stock and granted options to purchase 200,000 shares of common stock as director compensation. The options vest immediately at an exercise price of \$3.00 per share and will expire on August 3, 2008. In 2003, the directors received no additional compensation for serving on the Board of Directors, other than reimbursement of reasonable expenses incurred in attending meetings.

In March 2004, we approved a new compensation plan for directors whereby directors receive annual compensation of 5,000 shares of restricted common stock, 5,000 options to purchase our common stock, and \$20,000 in cash. All stock and options grants will be at the current market price of our common stock. The directors will also receive \$1,000 per committee meeting attended and \$2,500 per committee meeting attend as chairman of the committee. We also issued a one time grant to non-employee directors of 20,000 shares of restricted common stock and 20,000 options to purchase our common stock at \$2.00 per share.

#### Item 11. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

#### **Common Stock**

The following table sets forth, as of March 29, 2004, information with respect to the securities holdings of all persons which the Company, pursuant to filings with the SEC, has reason to believe may be deemed the beneficial owners of more than five percent (5%) of our outstanding common stock. The table also sets forth, as of such date, the beneficial ownership of our common stock by the named executive officer, current executive officers and all directors, individually and as a group.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner William L. Transier 1001 Fannin, Suite 1700 Houston, TX 77002	Amount and Nature of Beneficial  Ownership (1)  6,093,750	Percentage of Class (1) 8.8%
John N. Seitz 1001 Fannin, Suite 1700 Houston, TX 77002	6,093,750	8.8%
Michael P. Marcus 1505 Rockcliff Road Austin, TX 78746	4,652,313 (4)	6.7%
RAM Trading, Ltd. 2100 Enterprise Avenue Geneva, Illinois 60134	4,134,361	6.0
Stephen P. Harrington 111 Presidential Boulevard Suite 158A Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004	2,059,542 (3)	3.0%
Bruce H. Stover 1001 Fannin, Suite 1700 Houston, TX 77002	837,500	*

	in the second se	Amount and Nature of Beneficial	Percentage
Name and Address of Beneficial Owner		Ownership (1)	of Class (1)
Michael D. Cochran		687,500	*
1001 Fannin, Suite 1700	•		
Houston, TX 77002			
Ronald A. Bain		556 250	*
1001 Fannin, Suite 1700		556,250	•
Houston, TX 77002		•	
ilousion, III / / ooz			÷
H. Don Teague		325,000	*
1001 Fannin, Suite 1700			
Houston, TX 77002	4.		
D. 1 7		1.50.000	
Robert L. Thompson		150,000	*
1001 Fannin, Suite 1700 Houston, TX 77002			
110uston, 1X //002			•
John B. Connally III		120,000 (2)	*
1745 Bolsover			•
Houston, TX 77005			
			•
Nancy K. Quinn	•	32,500	*
Six Georgica Close Road			
P.O. Box 2884			
East Hampton, NY 11937			
All directors and executive officers as a g persons)	group (10	16,955,792 (5)	24.5%

<sup>\*</sup>Less than 1%

<sup>(1)</sup> The securities "beneficially owned" by an individual are determined in accordance with the definition of "beneficial ownership" set forth in the regulations promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and, accordingly, may include securities owned by or for, among others, the spouse and/or minor children of an individual and any other relative who has the same home as such individual, as well as, other securities as to which the individual has or shares voting or investment power or which each person has the right to acquire within 60 days through the exercise of options or otherwise. Beneficial ownership may be disclaimed as to certain of the securities. This table has been prepared based on 69,127,070 shares of common stock outstanding as of March 29, 2004.

<sup>(2)</sup> Consists of shares issuable upon exercise of options.

- (3) Includes 100,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options. Also includes 1,909,542 shares owned of record by SPH Investments, Inc., of which Mr. Harrington is the sole officer and director.
- (4) Includes 282,500 shares issuable upon exercise of warrants.
- (5) Includes 200,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options.

#### **Series B Preferred Stock**

The holders of our Series B Preferred Stock are entitled to vote with the holders of our common stock on all matters for which our stockholders are entitled to vote. Each share of Series B Preferred Stock is entitled to one vote per share

The following table sets forth, as of March 29, 2004, information with respect to the securities holdings of all persons which the Company has reason to believe may be deemed the beneficial owners of more than five percent (5%) of our outstanding shares of Series B Preferred Stock. As of such date, the named executive officer and directors did not beneficially own any shares of our Series B Preferred Stock.

	Amount and	
	Nature of	,
	Beneficial	Percentage of
Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Ownership(1)	Class(1)
Michael Lauer		
7 Dwight Lane	10.714	100.00/
Greenwich, CT 06831	19,714	100.0%

(1) The securities "beneficially owned" by an individual are determined in accordance with the definition of "beneficial ownership" set forth in the regulations promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and, accordingly, may include securities owned by or for, among others, the spouse and/or minor children of an individual and any other relative who has the same home as such individual, as well as, other securities as to which the individual has or shares voting or investment power or which each person has the right to acquire within 60 days through the exercise of options or otherwise. Beneficial ownership may be disclaimed as to certain of the securities. This table has been prepared based on 19,714 shares of Series B Preferred Stock outstanding as of March 29, 2004.

The following table sets forth, as of December 31, 2003, information with respect to securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans.

#### **Equity Compensation Plan Information**

Number of

			Number of
	Number of	Weighted-	securities
	securities to be	average	remaining
	issued upon	exercise	available for
	exercise of	price of	future issuance
	outstanding	outstanding	under equity
	options,	options,	compensation
	warrants and	warrants and	plans (excluding
	rights	rights	securities
			reflected in
			column (a))
	(a)	(b)	(c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	0	NA	NA
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	700,000	\$2.50	0
Total	700,000	\$2.50	, 0

The options identified above, were issued to our directors on November 5, 2002 and August 4, 2003. The options have terms of 5 years from the date of grant, are immediately exercisable, are not transferable, and terminate one (1) year after termination due to death.

In the event of a Change In Control, we are required to deliver written notice to each optionee fifteen (15) days prior to the occurrence of a Change in Control, during which time all options may be exercised. Thereafter, all options that are neither assumed or substituted in connection with such transaction, automatically expire, unless otherwise determined by the Board. Under the terms of the options, a "Change In Control" is defined to include (i) a sale or transfer of substantially all of our assets; (ii) the dissolution or liquidation of the Company; (iii) a merger, reorganization or consolidation in which we are acquired by another person or entity (other than a holding company formed by the Company); or (iv) the sale in a single transaction or series of related transactions of stock representing more than 50% of the voting power of all outstanding shares of the Company.

#### Item 12. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

#### **Options Granted to Executive Officers and Directors**

On November 5, 2002, we granted non-qualified stock options to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$5.00 per share to all of our directors. The options were immediately exercisable in full and terminate on the earlier of November 4, 2004 or 90 days after

cessation of service with the Company. On August 8, 2003, we modified the terms of the 500,000 options granted to its directors in 2002 by reducing the option exercise price from \$5.00 to \$2.30 per share and extending the option expiration date from November 4, 2004 to August 4, 2008.

On August 4, 2003, we issued 100,000 shares of common stock and granted options to purchase 200,000 shares of common stock to a newly appointed director as director compensation. The options vest immediately at an exercise price of \$3.00 per share and will expire on August 3, 2008.

In February 2004, we modified the terms of the 700,000 options held by our directors in 2002 to remove the termination of the options 90 days after the cessation of service as a director.

#### Waiver of Default from Trident Growth Fund, L.P.

The Company failed to comply with three financial covenants contained in the \$1,500,000 Convertible Note (the "Note") issued to Trident Growth Fund, L.P, a former beneficial owner of more than 5% of our common stock. On March 24, 2003, Trident granted a waiver of compliance with such covenants for a six month period ending June 30, 2003 in consideration of the issuance of warrants to purchase 25,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$1.60 per share. On June 30, 2003, we again failed to comply with three financial covenants and Trident granted a waiver of compliance with such covenants until June 30, 2004. The warrants are immediately exercisable and terminate April 30, 2012. In addition, we were obligated to file a registration statement permitting the public resale of shares of our common stock issuable upon conversion of the Note and cause such registration statement to be declared effective during October, 2002. Failure to do so results in a penalty consisting of the issuance of shares each month equal to 0.1% of our outstanding shares. Trident waived the penalty: As part of the Restructuring, all of the outstanding balance of the Note has either been repaid or converted into shares of our common stock.

### Sale of Limited Partnership Interest in CSR-Waha Partners, L.P. to BPK Resources, Inc.

On January 15, 2003, the Company sold its 99% limited partnership interest (the "Interest") in CSR-WAHA Partners, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, to BPK Resources, Inc. for a purchase price of \$2,000,000. Of this amount, \$150,000 was received at closing, \$1,500,000 through the issuance of a promissory note due April 30, 2003, and the balance through the issuance to the Company of 600,000 shares of BPK Resources, Inc. common stock. Mr. Connally, one of our directors, is the Chief Executive Officer and a Director of BPK Resources, Inc. As part of the Restructuring, the 600,000 shares of BPK Resources, Inc. has been included in the transfer of the non-core assets to the Series A and certain of the Series B Preferred Stock holders.

#### Loans to BPK Resources, Inc.

Between August and October 2002, the Company provided loans to BPK Resources, Inc. in the aggregate principal amount of \$645,000. The loans accrue interest at rates of 10% and 12% per annum. Principal and accrued interest is payable on demand. During 2002, two of the Company's subsidiaries, Knox Miss. Partners, L.P. and CSR-WAHA Partners L.P., made short term loans to BPK Resources, Inc. All of these loans were included in the transfer of the noncore assets to the Series A and certain of the Series B Preferred Stock holders as part of the Restructuring in February 2004.

#### Knox Miss., LLC

Knox Miss., LLC serves as the general partner of Knox Miss. Partners, L.P. FEQ Investments, Inc., a principal stockholder of the Company, owns a 60% membership interest in Knox Miss., LLC. On March 23, 2002, the Company purchased a 99% limited partnership interest in Knox Miss. Partners, L.P. for \$2,705,000 and became a party to the Limited Partnership Agreement of Knox Miss. Partners, L.P. Under the terms of the partnership agreement, once capital is returned to all partners, any additional amounts available for distribution are allocated 75% to the limited partners and 25% to Knox Miss., LLC as the general partner.

During March 2003, the Company paid investment-banking fees of \$60,000 to FEQ Investments, Inc. The investment-banking fees related to the certain debt placements for the benefit of Knox Miss Partners, L.P.

All of our interest in Knox Miss., LLC was sold in the Restructuring in February 2004.

#### Purchase of BWP, LLC

On May 20, 2003, we purchased all of the issued and outstanding Class B Membership Interests of BWP Gas, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("BWP"), by issuing 3,300,000 shares of common stock and warrants to purchase an additional 1,650,000 shares and paying \$2,500,000 in cash. As the sole Class B Member, we serve as the sole manager of BWP. Oklahoma Hills Gas, LLC is the sole Class A Member. The BWP Operating Agreement provides for distributions of profits to be allocated 99% to the Class B Member and 1% to the Class A Member until all capital has been returned to the members. Thereafter, distributions are allocated 75% to the Class B Member and 25% to the Class A Member. HBA Gas, Inc. ("HBA") is the managing member Oklahoma Hills Gas, LLC, and was the sole Class B Member of BWP prior to the sale of its membership interest to the Company. Ernest Bartlett is the President of HBA and is also the president of FEQ Investments, Inc. ("FEQ"), a former principal stockholder of the Company.

All of our interest in BWP was included in the transfer of the non-core assets to the Series A and certain of the Series B Preferred Stock holders in the Restructuring in February 2004.

#### PHT Gas, LLC.

PHT Gas, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, served as the general partner of PHT Partners, L.P. until late February 2004. FEQ Investments, Inc., a former principal stockholder of the Company, owns a 75% membership interest in PHT Gas, LLC. On June 26, 2002, we purchased a 99% limited partnership interest (subsequently reduced to 94.1% as a result of the addition of additional limited partners) in PHT Partners, L.P. for \$1,150,000 and became party to the Limited Partnership Agreement of PHT Partners, L.P. Under the terms of the partnership agreement, once capital is returned to all partners, any additional amounts available for distribution are allocated 75% to the limited partners and 25% to PHT Gas, LLC as the general partner. In late February 2004 and in connection with the NSNV merger, PHT Gas, LLC resigned as the general partner of PHT Partners, L.P., and PHT Holding GP, LLC, a Texas limited liability company in which we own 100% of the outstanding membership interests, was named as the new general partner. As a result, PHT Gas, LLC relinquished all general partner management rights it held in PHT Partners, L.P., but retained its 25% back-in interest once capital is returned to all partners.

#### CSR, LLC

CSR, LLC serves as the general partner of CSR-Waha Partners, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership ("CSRWaha"). FEQ Investments, Inc., a former principal stockholder of the Company, owns a 75% membership interest in CSR, LLC. On August 9, 2002, we purchased a 99% limited partnership interest in CSRWaha for \$890,000 and became a party to the Limited Partnership Agreement of CSRWaha. Under the terms of the partnership agreement, once capital is returned to all limited partners, any additional amounts available for distribution are allocated 75% to the limited partners and 25% to CSR, LLC as the general partner. On January 15, 2003, we sold our interest in CSRWaha.

#### LS Gas, LLC

LS Gas, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, serves as the general partner Louisiana Shelf Partners, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership ("Louisiana Shelf"). FEQ Investments, Inc., a former principal stockholder of the Company, owns a 25% membership interest in LS Gas, LLC. On December 31, 2002, we purchased a 24% limited partnership interest in Louisiana Shelf for \$661,250 and became a party to the Limited Partnership Agreement of Louisiana Shelf Partners, L.P. Under the terms of the partnership agreement, once capital is returned to all limited partners, any additional amounts available for distribution are allocated 60% to the limited partners and 40% to LS Gas, LLC, as the general partner.

#### Transactions with SPH Investment, Inc.

Stephen P. Harrington, our former President and Treasurer and Chairman of the Board of Directors, is the sole officer and director of SPH Investments, Inc. ("SPH"), a former principal

stockholder of the Company. In June, 2002, the Company borrowed \$300,000 from SPH which was repaid as of December, 2002. In March, 2002, we conducted a private placement of \$4,150,000 principal amount of 8% unsecured convertible promissory notes in consideration of payment payable over a one year period. The resulting subscription receivable accrued interest at the rate of 2.69%. SPH purchased \$1,500,000 principal amount of these notes. In May, 2002, all of these notes were converted into an aggregate of 5,928,797 shares of common stock and 4,090,713 shares of series A Preferred Stock. As a result, 2,142,876 shares of common stock and 1,478,571 shares of Series A Preferred Stock were issued to SPH. In January 2003, SPH paid the full balance of its outstanding subscription agreements amounting to \$906,250. In January and May 2003, the Company borrowed \$250,000 and \$6,000 from SPH and issued various 10% demand notes. During 2003, the Company repaid the principal amounts of these notes along with \$9,800 accrued interest.

#### Loans to International Travel CD's, Inc.

In August and September of 2002, we loaned a total of \$475,000 to International CD's, Inc. ("ILCD") pursuant to unsecured 10% promissory notes. \$352,500 principal amount of the notes was repaid. On October 5, 2002, in full satisfaction of the \$122,500 balance due under the notes, we entered into an assignment and release agreement with ILCD pursuant to which ILCD assigned its rights under a secured note issued by an unrelated third party to the Company which was due October 31, 2003. At the time of the transaction, Gerald Harrington, Stephen P. Harrington's brother, was a director and officer of the Company and was the sole officer and director of ILCD. The remaining balance of the notes was included in the transfer of the noncore assets to the Series A and certain of the Series B Preferred Stock holders in the Restructuring in February 2004.

#### Transactions with FEQ Investments, Inc.

During 2002, we paid consulting and finder's fees in the amount of \$200,000 and \$260,000 to FEQ Investments, Inc., a former principal stockholder of the Company, and KAB Investments, Inc., an affiliate of FEQ Investments, Inc., respectively, in connection with the acquisition of certain oil and gas properties. In January 2003, we paid \$693,000 representing all accrued interest due on the converted \$9,857,149 promissory notes, to FEQ Investments, Inc. Prior to conversion, the holders of the notes assigned their rights to receive payment of such interest to FEQ Investments, Inc. We also loaned \$136,000 to FEQ Investments, Inc. pursuant to a 10% demand promissory note. In May 2003, FEQ Investments, Inc. paid the full balance of its outstanding promissory note amounting to \$139,204, which included \$3,204 of accrued interest.

On February 19, 2003, Knox Miss. Partners, L.P., a former subsidiary of the Company, borrowed \$1,200,000 from Gibralt USA, Inc. and issued a 12% promissory note due on the earlier of June 30, 2003 or our completion of an equity financing resulting in gross proceeds of at least \$2,000,000. We issued 75,000 shares of common stock to the lender. The loan is guaranteed by the Company and FEQ Investments Inc. This note, along with accrued interest, was repaid during 2003.

On January 7, 2003, Louisiana Shelf loaned FEQ Investments, Inc. (the former managing member of PHT Gas, LLC and CSR, LLC) \$1,220,000 and received a 10% promissory note. As of December 31, 2003, principal in the amount of \$5,000 remained outstanding along with \$59,638 in accrued interest. In the fourth quarter of 2003, Louisiana Shelf loaned an additional \$125,000 to FEQ which was outstanding at December 31, 2003.

During July and August of 2003, FEQ Investments, Inc. paid \$305,000 of its outstanding subscription agreement. As of December 31, 2003, \$175,000 remained outstanding along with \$17,008 in accrued interest. The subscription receivable along with accrued interest was included in the transfer of the non-core assets to the Series A and certain of the Series B Preferred Stock holders in the Restructuring in February 2004.

#### Sale of Non-core Assets

As part of the Restructuring, we sold certain non-core assets to the holders of the Series A Preferred Stock and certain holders of the Series B Preferred Stock in February 2004. Stephen P. Harrington, our former President, Treasurer, and Chairman of the Board of Directors, beneficially owned approximately 36% of the Series A Preferred Stock and FEQ Investments, Inc., and its affiliate, KAB Investments, Inc., beneficially owned approximately 20% of the Series A Preferred Stock. In addition, FEQ Investments, Inc. and Mr. Harrington owned 17,712.86 shares of the Series B Preferred Stock. A majority of our disinterested directors approved the transfer of the non-core assets to the Series A and certain of the Series B Preferred Stock holders.

#### Purchase of Securities from RAM Trading, Ltd.

On December 16, 2003, RAM Trading Ltd. ("RAM") entered into an agreement with Lancer Offshore, Inc. and Lancer Partners, L.P. to purchase 14,097,672 shares of common stock and 103,500.07 shares of our Series B Preferred Stock (collectively, the "Lancer Shares") for \$5,280,948. Concurrent with the execution of the foregoing agreement, we entered into an agreement with RAM to purchase the Lancer Shares for \$5,330,948, subject to RAM completing the purchase of the Lancer Shares. Both the RAM purchase of the Lancer Shares and our purchase of the Lancer Shares from RAM were consummated on February 26, 2004.

#### Item 13. Exhibits and Reports On Form 8-K

(a) Exhibits.

See "Index of Exhibits" below which lists the documents filed as exhibits herewith.

#### (b) Reports on Form 8-K.

We did not file any reports on Form 8-K during the last quarter of the period covered by this report.

#### Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

Our principal accountant for the last two fiscal years has been LJ Soldinger Associates.

#### **Audit Fees**

The aggregate fees billed by our principal accountant for professional services rendered for the audit of our annual financial statements on Form 10-KSB, the review of the financial statements included in our quarterly reports on Form 10-QSB and audit services provided in connection with statutory and regulatory filings were \$235,000 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003 and \$399,000 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002.

#### Tax Fees

The aggregate fees billed for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning rendered by our independent auditors were \$15,000 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002. The services comprising these fees include tax preparation, tax advice and tax planning services.

#### Audit-Related Fees and All Other Fees

There were no other fees billed by our independent auditors for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2003 or 2002.

The Audit Committee approved 100% of the fees paid to our principal accountant for auditrelated, tax and other fees. The Audit Committee pre-approves all non-audit services to be performed by our principal accountant in accordance with the Audit Committee Charter.

#### **SIGNATURES**

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

#### **Endeavour International Corporation**

Date: March 30, 2004 /s/ William L. Transier /s/ John N. Seitz
William L. Transier John N. Seitz
Co-Chief Executive Officer Co-Chief Executive Officer

In accordance with the Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signat	<u>ure</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
By:	/s/ William L. Transier William L. Transier	Co-Chief Executive Officer and Director (Co-Principal Executive Officer)	March 30, 2004
By:	/s/ John N. Seitz John N. Seitz	Co-Chief Executive Officer and Director (Co-Principal Executive Officer)	March 30, 2004
By:	/s/ John B. Connally III John B. Connally III	Director	March 30, 2004
By:	/s/ Nancy K. Quinn Nancy K. Quinn	Director	March 30, 2004
By:	/s/ Robert L. Thompson Robert L. Thompson	Chief Accounting Officer (Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)	March 30, 2004

### Continental Southern Resources, Inc. (A Development Stage Entity)

**Financial Statements** 

### Continental Southern Resources, Inc. (A Development Stage Entity)

#### Index to Financial Statements

Independent Auditors' Report	F-1
Consolidated Balance Sheets	F-2
Consolidated Statements of Operations	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	F-16
Notes to Financial Statements	F-18

#### **Independent Auditors' Report**

Board of Directors and Shareholders Continental Southern Resources, Inc. Houston, Texas

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Continental Southern Resources, Inc. (a development stage entity) as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the years then ended and for the period January 13, 2000 (date of inception) through December 31, 2003. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statements presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Continental Southern, Resources, Inc. as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, and the results of operations, changes in stockholders' equity and its cash flows for the years then ended and for the period January 13, 2000 (date of inception) through December 31, 2003 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

L J SOLDINGER ASSOCIATES LLC

Deer Park, Illinois March 16, 2004

### Continental Southern Resources, Inc. (A Development Stage Entity) Consolidated Balance Sheets

**Assets** 

<u>Assets</u>		ъ.		
•	.—	<u>Decem</u> 2003	2002	
Current Assets Cash and cash equivalents Notes receivable - related party	\$	56,680 837,928	\$ 329,768 483,117	
Other receivables		87,080	205,642	
Interest receivable – related party		158,382	53,800	
Marketable securities – related party		207,480	245,020	
Marketable securities		512,000	600,000	
Prepaid expenses and advance payments to operators		260,644	325,772	<u>!</u>
Other current assets		1,200,550		<u>.                                    </u>
Total Current Assets		3,320,744	2,243,119	)
Property, and equipment: Oil and gas properties using successful efforts:				
Developed oil and gas interests net		71,037		-
Undeveloped		6,428,227	15,077,643	}
Other, net		9,370	7,608	
Marketable securities - noncurrent		-	2,417,021	
Equity interests in oil and gas properties	<u> </u>	2,838,536	1,373,491 \$ 21,118,882	
	<u> </u>	12,667,914	\$ 21,110,002	_
Current Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity				
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$	5,235,725	\$ 1,932,052	
Accounts payable and accrued expenses – related party		-	787,808	\$
Deferred equity option		870,000	717.010	•
Convertible notes		3,242,654	737,839	,
Note payable – related party Other		3,500 20,143		<u>.</u>
	_			_
Total Current Liabilities		9,372,022	3,457,699	_
Minority Interest	_	29,505	85,773	<u>,                                    </u>
Commitments and Contingencies  Stockholders' Equity				
Preferred stock, Series A; \$.001 par value; authorized – 9,500,000 shares; shares issued and outstanding - 4,090,713 at 2003 and 2002				
(Liquidation preference: \$4,608,870)		4,091	4,091	1
Preferred stock, Series B; \$.001 par value; authorized – 500,000 shares; shares issued and outstanding - 143,427 at 2003 and 2002				
(Liquidation preference: \$15,585,675)		144	144	1
Preferred stock, Series C; \$.001 par value; authorized – 1,500,000 shares; shares issued and outstanding - 477,500 at 2003 and 0 at 2002		,		
(Liquidation preference: \$4,940,625)		478	-	-
Common stock; \$.001 par value; authorized - 150,000,000 shares; shares				
issued and outstanding - 37,144,668 at 2003 and 31,699,834 at				
2002; and 0 shares issuable at 2033 and 1,017,644 at 2002		37,145	32,718	
Additional paid-in capital		50,175,898	30,962,327	7
Other		(1,000)		-
Less stock subscription receivables		(250,000)	(2,480,000	-
Less stock subscription receivable – related party		(175,000)	(1,156,250	
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(489,036)	(999,750	
Deficit accumulated during the development stage	_	(46,036,333)	(8,787,870	
Total Stockholders' Equity	_	3,266,387	17,575,410	_
	<u>\$</u>	12,667,914	\$ 21,118,882	<u>2</u>

# Continental Southern Resources, Inc. (A Development Stage Entity) Consolidated Statements of Operations

Revenues Expenses Operating expenses Depletion and amortization Impairment of oil and gas properties Bad debt expense – related party General and administrative General and administrative – related party  Loss From Operations  Other (Income) Expense Equity interest in oil and gas partnerships Interest income Interest expense Gain on sale of oil and gas interest – related party Loss on marketable securities – related party Gain on marketable securities	For the Years Ended December 31, 2003 2002				January 13, 2000 (Inception) to December 31, 2003	
Revenues	\$	27,305	\$	16,142	\$	43,837
Expenses						
Operating expenses		920,494		258,560.		1,179,054
		1,496,725		-		1,496,725
		21,502,319		3,658,136		25,160,455
		1,800,000		550,601		2,350,601
		2,132,451		1,135,260		3,384,829
		129,000		90,000		219,000
			_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		27,980,989		5,692,557	.——	33,790,664
Loss From Operations		(27,953,684)		(5,676,415)		(33,746,827)
			٠,			
		1 217 217		27.722	ile	1045000
		1,217,317		27,722		1,245,039
·		(239,950)		(460,015)	,	(699,965)
· .		3,569,992		3,311,763		6,881,755
		(1,235,248)		-		(1,235,248)
± •		1,659,220		-		1,659,220
Gain on marketable securities		<u>-</u>		(164,989)		(164,989)
Total Other Expense		4,971,331		2,714,481		7,685,812
Loss Before Minority Interest		(32,925,015)		(8,390,896)	,	(41,432,639)
Minority Interest		82,260		4,052		86,312
Net Loss		(32,842,755)		(8,386,844)	V	(41,346,327)
Preferred Stock Dividends	<u>.                                    </u>	(4,405,708)		(284,298)		(4,690,006)
Net Loss to Common Stockholders	\$	(37,248,463)	\$	(8,671,142)		(46,036,333)
Net Loss Per Common Share - Basic and Diluted	\$	(1.06)	\$	(0:43)		
Weighted Average Number of Common Shares Outstanding - Basic and Diluted		35,076,435		20,174,261		

Shares   Amount   Shares   S		Preferre	ed Stock - A	Preferred Stock - B		Preferre	d Stock - C	Common Stock	
Issuance of common stock for services at \$0.063 per share on:		Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount
Issuance of common stock for services at \$0.063 per share on:	Balance at January 13, 2000								
Services at \$0.063 per share on:		-	\$ -	-	\$ -	-	\$ -	-	\$ -
March 13, 2000 320,000 320 April 12, 2000 16,000 16  Issuance of common stock for cash at \$0.063 per share on March 13, 2000 112  Services contributed by stockholders 112,000 112  Services contributed by stockholders 112,000 448,000 448  Issuance of common stock for cash at \$0.063 per share on:  Various dates 12,000 1,200  Services contributed by stockholders 12,000 1,648,000 1,648  Issued common stock at approximately 2002  Valued at 50.01 50.085 per share in February 2002  Valued at 50.01 50.085 to purchase 11,850,000 shares of common stock  May 31, 2002 automatic conversion of 11,450,000 warrants 11,450,000 warrants 11,450,000 warrants 11,450,000 warrants 11,450,000 shares of common stock at \$0.085 per share in June 2002 5,714,150 5,714	Issuance of common stock for								
April 12; 2000 16  Issuance of common stock for cash at \$0.063 per share on March 13, 2000 112,000 112  Services contributed by stockholders	services at \$0.063 per share on:								
Issuance of common stock for cash at \$0.063 per share on March 13, 2000   112,000	March 13, 2000	-	-	-	-	-	-	320,000	320
at \$0.063 per share on March 13, 2000 112  Services contributed by stockholders  Net loss  Balance at December 31, 2000 448  Issuance of common stock for cash at \$0.063 per share on: Various dates 1,200,000 1,200  Services contributed by stockholders 1,200 1,200  Services contributed by stockholders 1,200,000 1,200  Services contributed by stockholders 1,200,000 1,200  Services contributed by stockholders 1,200,000 1,200  Services contributed by stockholders 1,200 1,648,000 1,648  Issued common stock at approximately 5,0085 per share in February 2002  Valued at \$0.01 - \$0.085 to purchase 11,850,000 shares of common stock  May 31, 2002 automatic conversion of 11,450,000 warrants 1,450,000 warrants 1,450,000 warrants 1,450,000 shares of common stock at \$0.085 per share in June 2002 5,714,150 5,714	April 12, 2000	-	-	• -	-		-	16,000	. 16
at \$0.063 per share on March 13, 2000 112  Services contributed by stockholders  Net loss  Balance at December 31, 2000 448  Issuance of common stock for cash at \$0.063 per share on: Various dates 1,200,000 1,200  Services contributed by stockholders 1,200 1,200  Services contributed by stockholders 1,200,000 1,200  Services contributed by stockholders 1,200,000 1,200  Services contributed by stockholders 1,200,000 1,200  Services contributed by stockholders 1,200 1,648,000 1,648  Issued common stock at approximately 5,0085 per share in February 2002  Valued at \$0.01 - \$0.085 to purchase 11,850,000 shares of common stock  May 31, 2002 automatic conversion of 11,450,000 warrants 1,450,000 warrants 1,450,000 warrants 1,450,000 shares of common stock at \$0.085 per share in June 2002 5,714,150 5,714							* • .		
2000   112,000   112			•						
Net loss									
Net loss	2000	•	-	•	-	-	-	112,000	112
Net loss	Services contributed by stockholders	_		_	_	_	_	_	_
Balance at December 31, 2000	Services contributed by stockholders								
Balance at December 31, 2000	Net loss	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Issuance of common stock for cash at \$0.063 per share on:   Various dates									
\$0.063 per share on: Various dates 1,200,000 1,200  Services contributed by stockholders 1,200,000 1,200  Net loss	Balance at December 31, 2000	-	_	-	-	-	-	448,000	448
\$0.063 per share on: Various dates 1,200,000 1,200  Services contributed by stockholders 1,200,000 1,200  Net loss	•								
Various dates 1,200,000 1,200  Services contributed by stockholders									
Services contributed by stockholders									
Net loss       -<	Various dates	-		-	•		-	1,200,000	1,200
Net loss       -<	Complete and the date of the state of the state of		e e		• • • • •				
Balance at December 31, 2001 1,648,000 1,648  Issued common stock at approximately \$0.085 per share in February 2002 3,285,100 3,285  Issuance of warrants in February 2002  Valued at \$0.01 - \$0.085 to purchase 11,850,000 shares of common stock 11,450,000 11,450  May 31, 2002 automatic conversion of 11,450,000 warrants 11,450,000 11,450  Issuance of common stock at \$0.085 per share in June 2002 5,714,150 5,714	Services contributed by stockholders	· · · ·	-	-	-		-	-	
Balance at December 31, 2001 1,648,000 1,648  Issued common stock at approximately \$0.085 per share in February 2002 3,285,100 3,285  Issuance of warrants in February 2002  Valued at \$0.01 - \$0.085 to purchase 11,850,000 shares of common stock 11,450,000 11,450  May 31, 2002 automatic conversion of 11,450,000 warrants 11,450,000 11,450  Issuance of common stock at \$0.085 per share in June 2002 5,714,150 5,714	Net loss	· _	_		_	_	_	· · · <u> </u>	
Issued common stock at approximately \$0.085 per share in February 2002 3,285,100 3,285  Issuance of warrants in February 2002  Valued at \$0.01 - \$0.085 to purchase 11,850,000 shares of common stock	1101 1055						·		
Issued common stock at approximately \$0.085 per share in February 2002 3,285,100 3,285  Issuance of warrants in February 2002  Valued at \$0.01 - \$0.085 to purchase 11,850,000 shares of common stock	Balance at December 31, 2001		_				_	1.648.000	1,648
\$0.085 per share in February 2002 3,285,100 3,285  Issuance of warrants in February 2002  Valued at \$0.01 - \$0.085 to purchase 11,850,000 shares of common stock									
Issuance of warrants in February 2002 Valued at \$0.01 - \$0.085 to purchase 11,850,000 shares of common stock  May 31, 2002 automatic conversion of 11,450,000 warrants  11,450,000 11,450  Issuance of common stock at \$0.085 per share in June 2002  5,714,150 5,714	Issued common stock at approximately								
Valued at \$0.01 - \$0.085 to purchase 11,850,000 shares of common stock  May 31, 2002 automatic conversion of 11,450,000 warrants  11,450,000 11,450  Issuance of common stock at \$0.085 per share in June 2002  5,714,150 5,714	\$0.085 per share in February 2002	-	-		-			3,285,100	3,285
Valued at \$0.01 - \$0.085 to purchase 11,850,000 shares of common stock  May 31, 2002 automatic conversion of 11,450,000 warrants  11,450,000 11,450  Issuance of common stock at \$0.085 per share in June 2002  5,714,150 5,714								•	
11,850,000 shares of common stock									
May 31, 2002 automatic conversion of 11,450,000 warrants 11,450,000 11,450  Issuance of common stock at \$0.085 per share in June 2002 5,714,150 5,714									
11,450,000 warrants 11,450,000 11,450  Issuance of common stock at \$0.085  per share in June 2002 5,714,150 5,714	11,850,000 shares of common stock	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-
11,450,000 warrants 11,450,000 11,450  Issuance of common stock at \$0.085  per share in June 2002 5,714,150 5,714	May 21, 2002 automatic conversion of								
Issuance of common stock at \$0.085 per share in June 2002 5,714,150 5,714								11.450.000	11.450
per share in June 2002 5,714,150 5,714	11,430,000 warrants	-	-	-	-	-	-	11,430,000	11,430
per share in June 2002 5,714,150 5,714	Issuance of common stock at \$0.085								
		_	<u>-</u>	. <u>-</u>		-	_	5,714,150	5.714
Carryforward 22,097,250 22,097			<u> </u>					, ,,	7 7
Carryforward 22,097,250 22,097									
	Carryforward		-	-	•	-	-	22,097,250	22,097

	Additional Paid-In Capital	Stock Subscription Receivable	Other Equity	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Deficit Accumulated During the Development Stage	Total Stockholders' Equity	Total Comprehensive Loss
Balance at January 13, 2000 (Date of Inception)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Issuance of common stock for services at \$0.063 per share on: March 13, 2000 April 12, 2000	19,680 984	- -	- -	- -	 	20,000 1,000	
Issuance of common stock for cash at \$0.063 per share on March 13, 2000	6,888	-	-	-	-	7,000	
Services contributed by stockholders	20,000		-	-	-	20,000	
Net loss	<u> </u>			_	(49,184)	(49,184)	
Balance at December 31, 2000	47,552			<u>-</u>	(49,184)	(1,184)	
Issuance of common stock for cash at \$0.063 per share on: Various dates	73,800	-	-	-		75,000	
Services contributed by stockholders	30,000	-	-	-	-	30,000	
Net loss					(67,544)	(67,544)	;
Balance at December 31, 2001	151,352				(116,728)	36,272	
Issued common stock at approximately \$0.085 per share in February 2002	274,515	-		-	-	277,800	·
Issuance of warrants in February 2002 Valued at \$0.01 - \$0.085 to purchase 11,850,000 shares of common stock	933,200	-	-	• -		933,200	
May 31, 2002 automatic conversion of 11,450,000 warrants	(11,450)	-	-	-	-		
Issuance of common stock at \$0.085 per share in June 2002	455,486			· <u> </u>		461,200	
Carryforward	1,803,103	· <u>-</u>	· <u>-</u>		(116,728)	1,708,472	

	Preferred	l Stock - A	Prefer	ed Stock - B	Preferre	d Stock - C	Common Stock			
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount		
Carryforward	-	\$		\$ -	-	\$ -	22,097,250	\$ 22,097		
Cancellation of shares returned by a former officer	-	-	-		-	-	(432,000)	(432)		
Conversion of 100,000 warrants issued for consulting services	-	-	-	-	-	-	100,000	100		
Issuance of warrants in February–March 2002 valued at \$136,100 to purchase 6,499,713 shares of common stock and 539,000 shares of preferred stock	-		-	-	-	-	-			
Conversion of notes payable into 1.428571 shares of common and .9857141 shares of Series A preferred stock per \$1 unit of convertible note at May 31, 2002	4,090,713	4,091	-	-	. F	-	5,928,790	5,928		
Stock subscription receivable	· ,	-	-	-	, <b>-</b>	-	-	-		
Stock subscription receivable - related party	-	-		-	-	-	-	-		
Conversion of note payable at \$1.60 per share at May 31, 2002	-	-	-		-		2,281,250	2,282		
Issuance of common stock for interest on May 31, 2002	-	, <del>-</del>	-	-		-	2,206	2		
Issuance of common stock at \$2.25 per share in June 2002	-	-	-	-	٠.	-	711,108	712		
Offering costs related to \$2.25 offering	-	-	-	-	-	-		_		
Issuance of warrants valued at \$2.56 to purchase 150,000 shares of common stock as additional consideration for the April 2002 \$1,500,000					:					
private placement	-	-	-	-	-	-	<del>-</del>	-		
Additional financing costs to be amortized on \$1,500,000 convertible debt	· ·	<del>.</del>		. <u> </u>			<u>:</u>			
Carryforward	4,090,713	4,091		-	,	-	30,688,604	30,689		
	,,-			<del></del>				3		

	Additional Paid-In Capital	Stock Subscription Receivable	Other Equity	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Deficit Accumulated During the Development Stage	Total Total Stockholders' Comprehensive Equity Loss
Carryforward	\$ 1,803,103	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (116,728)	\$ 1,708,472
Cancellation of shares returned by a former officer	432	· _	-	<u>-</u>	-	tantin jakan kanal Tanta
Conversion of 100,000 warrants issued for consulting services	(100)		-		* \$4. ***	Grand Grand Grand State (1997) in the second se
Issuance of warrants in February – March 2002 valued at \$136,100 to purchase 6,499,713 shares of common stock and						
539,000 shares of preferred stock	136,100	-	-	•	-	136,100
Conversion of notes payable into 1.428571 shares of common and . 9857141 shares of Series A preferred			1.0			A Commence of the Commence of
stock per \$1 unit of convertible note at May 31, 2002	4,139,981	-	· · · · · ·	-	-	4,150,000
Stock subscription receivable	-	(2,480,000)	-	-		(2,480,000)
Stock subscription receivable - related party	-	(1,156,250)		· • .	<del>-</del> ,	(1,156,250)
Conversion of note payable at \$1.60 per share at May 31, 2002	3,647,718	-	-	-	- 1	3,650,000
Issuance of common stock for interest on May 31, 2002	13,223	· <u>-</u>	, -	-	· 	13,225
Issuance of common stock at \$2.25 per share in June 2002	1,599,288		· -	-	÷	- 1,600,000
Offering costs related to \$2.25 offering	(772,850)	-		-	-	(772,850)
Issuance of warrants valued at \$2.56 to purchase 150,000 shares of common						under der State
stock as additional consideration for the April 2002 \$1,500,000 private placement	383,451	-	-	-	-	383,451
Additional financing costs to be amortized on \$1,500,000 convertible debt	1,116,549			_		1,116,549
Carryforward	12,066,895	(3.636.250)		<del></del>	(116,728)	
Carrytorward	12,000,093	(3,636,250)			(110,728)	8,348,697

	Preferred Stock - A		Preferre	Preferred Stock - B			d Stock - C	Common Stock				
•	Shares		Amount	Shares		Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount		
Carryforward	4,090,713	\$	4,091	-	\$	-	<u>-</u>	\$ -	30,688,604	\$ 30,689		
Issuance of common stock at \$2.25 per share in July 2002	-		-		-	· <u>-</u> .	-	-	1,027,230	1,027		
Issuable common stock at \$2.25 per share in July 2002	-		-	-		-	· <u>-</u>	-	155,000	155		
Offering costs related to the \$2.25 offering	-		-	-		-	-	-	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Issuance of warrants to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock for investment banking fee related to the Waha/Lockridge interest acquisition in August 2002	-		-	-			-		-			
Cancellation of shares	· -			-		-	-	-	(16,000)	(16)		
Conversion of notes payable at \$100 per share on September 27, 2002	-		-	39,429		40			·	• -		
Conversion of notes payable into 1.428584 shares of common and 0.009867 shares of Series B preferred stock per \$1 unit of convertible note on September 30, 2002 of which 785,721 are issuable	_		_	5,427		5	_		785,721	786		
Issuance of warrants valued at \$3.10 to purchase 232,500 shares of common stock as additional consideration for the October 2002 issuance of \$1,550,000 in convertible debentures			-	_				-	_			
Additional financing costs to be amortized on \$1,550,000 convertible debenture			-	-			-	-	_	- 		
Issuance of common stock at \$3.25 per share in November 2002 of which 76,923 shares are issuable	-		<u>-</u>	-		-			76,923	77		
Offering costs related to \$3.25 offering	-		-	-		-	-	-	-	-		
Conversion of notes payable at \$100 per share at December 30, 2002	-		-	98,571		99	_	· _		-		
Preferred stock dividend	-		~	-		~	-	-	-	-		
COMPREHENSIVE LOSS												
Net Loss	-		-	-		-	-	-	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Unrealized gain (loss) on available-for- sale securities	· -					<u>.</u> .	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>		
Total Comprehensive Loss Balance, December 31, 2002	4,090,713	\$	4,091	143,427		144		\$ -	32,717,478	\$ 32,718		

	Additional Paid-In Capital	Stock Subscription Receivable	Other Equity	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss		Deficit Accumulated During the Development Stage	Total Stockholders' Equity	Total Comprehensive Loss
Carryforward	\$12,066,895	\$ (3,636,250)	\$ -	\$	<b>-</b> ·	\$ (116,728)	\$ 8,348,697	
Issuance of common stock at \$2.25 per share in July 2002	2,310,223	-	-		-	-	2,311,250	
Issuable common stock at \$2.25 per share in July 2002	348,595	-	-		-	-	348,750	
Offering costs related to the \$2.25 offering	(26,307)	-	· -		-		(26,307)	•
Issuance of warrants to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock for investment banking fee related to the Waha/Lockridge interest acquisition in August 2002	140,000	· -	_		_	·	140,000	
Cancellation of shares	16	-	-		-	-		•
Conversion of notes payable at \$100 per share on September 27, 2002	3,942,819	-	-		-	-	3,942,859	
Conversion of notes payable into 1.428584 shares of common and 0.009867 shares of Series B preferred stock per \$1 unit of convertible note on September 30, 2002 of which 785,721 are issuable	549,209		<u>-</u>		-		550,000	
Issuance of warrants valued at \$3.10 to purchase 232,500 shares of common stock as additional consideration for the October 2002 issuance of \$1,550,000 in convertible debentures	719,755	-			-		719,755	
Additional financing costs to be amortized on \$1,550,000 convertible debenture	830,245		-		.=		830,245	· .
Issuance of common stock at \$3.25 per share in November 2002 of which 76,923 shares are issuable	249,923	-			-	· <u>-</u>	250,000	
Offering costs related to \$3.25 offering	(26,096)		-		-	- -	. (26,096)	
Conversion of notes payable at \$100 per share at December 30, 2002	9,857,050	-			-		9,857,149	
Preferred stock dividend	-	-	-		-	(284,298)	(284,298)	
COMPREHENSIVE LOSS								
Net Loss	-	-			-	(8,386,844)	(8,386,844)	(8,386,844)
Unrealized gain (loss) on available-for- sale securities		-		(999	,750)	· -	(999,750)	(999,750)
Total Comprehensive Loss Balance, December 31, 2002	\$30,962,327	\$ (3,636,250)	\$ <sub>.</sub> -	\$ (999	,750)	\$ (8,787,870)	\$17,575,410	\$ (9,386,594)

Payment of subscription receivable		Preferred Stock - A		Preferre	d Stock - B	Preferred	Stock - C	Common Stock			
Payment of subscription receivable Payment of subscription receivable related party  Sastance of common stock at \$4.70 per share for consideration of loan made by Gibralt in February 2003  Issuance of warrants to Trident valued at \$3.13 to purchase 25,000 shares of common stock as consideration for Trident's waiver of certain loan coverants on the \$1.50,000 convertible note at March 24, 2003  Issuance of ommon stock for cash at \$2.00 per share to the former class B member of BWP and its designess to purchase 100% of the class B member of BWP and its designes valued at \$1.24 to purchase 1,050,000 shares of common stock for cash at \$2.00 per share to the former class B member of BWP and its designes valued at \$1.24 to purchase 1,050,000 shares of common stock as consideration for the purchase of lo0% of the class B member of BWP and its designes valued at \$1.24 to purchase 1,050,000 shares of common stock as consideration for the purchase of lo0% of the class B member of BWP and its designes valued at \$1.24 to purchase 1,650,000 shares of common stock as consideration for the purchase of lo0% of the class B member of BWP at May 20, 2003  Issuance of series C preferred stock for cash at \$1.000 per share in May and June 2003  Offering cost related to the series C preferred stock for cash at \$1.000 per share in May and June 2003  Offering cost related to the series C preferred stock Strain dividend on the series C preferred stock  Issuance of option to Sunrise Financial Group valued at \$2.07 to purchase 20,000 shares of common stock for consulting service in May 2003  Issuance of option to Sunrise Financial Group valued at \$2.07 to purchase 20,000 shares of common stock for consulting service in May 2003  Issuance of 150,000 shares of common stock for consulting service in May 2003  Issuance of 150,000 shares of common stock for consulting service in May 2003  Issuance of 150,000 shares of common stock for consulting service in May 2003  Issuance of 150,000 shares of common stock for consulting service in May 2003		Shares	A	mount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	
Payment of subscription receivable related party  stauence of common stock at \$4.70 per share for consideration of loan made by  Gibart in February 2003 75,000 75  Issuance of warrants to Trident valued at \$3.13 to purchase 25,000 shares of common stock as consideration for Trident's waver of certain loan covenants on the \$1,500,000 convertible note at March 24, 2003 8  Issuance of common stock for cash at \$2,000 per share to the former class B member of BPW and its designees to purchase 100% of the class B membership interest in BWP at May 20, 2003 3,300,000 3,300  Issuance of warrant to the former class B member of BWP and its designees valued at \$1.24 to purchase 16,500,000 shares of common stock as consideration for the purchase of 100% of the class B member of BWP and its designees valued at \$1.24 to purchase 16,500,000 shares of common stock as consideration for the purchase of 100% of the class B B membership interest in BWP at May 20, 2003  Issuance of series C preferred stock for cash at \$10.00 per share in May and June 2003  Issuance of series C preferred stock for cash at \$10.00 per share in May and June 2003  Offering cost related to the series C preferred stock offering  Conversion dividend on the series C preferred stock offering  Conversion dividend on the series C preferred stock offering  Conversion of victor of \$2.00 to purchase 250,000 shares of common stock for consulting service in May 2003  Amortization of cost of the option issued to Sunrise Financial Group valued at \$2.07 to purchase 250,000 shares of common stock for consulting service in May 2003  Amortization of cost of the option issued to Sunrise Financial Group Sunrise Financial	Carryforward	4,090,713	\$	4,091	143,427	\$ 144	-	\$ -	32,717,478	\$ 32,718	
Issuance of common stock at \$4.70 per share for consideration of lean made by Gibralt in February 2003 75,000 75  Issuance of warrants to Trident valued at \$3.31.0 to purchase 25,000 shares of common stock as consideration for Trident's waiver of certain loan covenants on the \$1,500,000 convertible note at March 24, 2003 75,000 7	Payment of subscription receivable			• • •	-		-	-	-	-	
share for consideration of loan made by Gibralt in February 2003  Issuance of warrants to Trident valued at \$3.13 to purchase 25,000 shares of common stock as consideration for Trident's waiver of certain loan covenants on the \$1,500,000 convertible note at March 24, 2003  Issuance of common stock for cash at \$2.00 per share to the former class B member of BWP and its designees to purchase 100% of the class B member- ship interest in BWP at May 20, 2003  Issuance of warrant to the former class B member of BWP and its designees valued at \$1.14 to purchase 1,500,000 shares of common stock as consideration for the purchase of 100% of the class B member of BWP and its designees valued at \$1.24 to purchase 1,500,000 shares of common stock as consideration for the purchase of 100% of the class B member of BWP and its designees valued at \$1.24 to purchase 1,500,000 shares of common stock as consideration for the purchase of 100% of the class B member of BWP and its designees valued at \$1.20 to per share in May and June 2003  Sasuance of series C preferred stock for cash at \$10.00 per share in May and June 2003  477,500  478  Offering cost related to the series C preferred stock offering  Conversion dividend on the series C preferred stock offering  Conversion dividend on the series C preferred stock offering  Conversion dividend on to Sunrise Financial Group valued at \$2.07 to purchase 250,000 shares of common stock for consulting service in May 2003  Amortization of cost of the option issued to Sunrise Financial Group  Susuance of 150,000 shares of common stock to Ram Trading, Ltd. For cash at \$2.03 per share at August 27, 2003  150.00  Toffering cost related to the common stock issuance to Ram Trading, Ltd. For cash at \$2.03 per share at August 27, 2003	Payment of subscription receivable - related party	-		-	-	-		-	-	-	
\$3.13 to purchase 25,000 shares of common stock as consideration for Trident's waster of certain loan covenants on the \$1,500,000 convertible note at March 24, 2003  Issuance of common stock for cash at \$2,00 per share to the former class B member of BWP and its designees to purchase 100% of the class B member, ship interest in BWP at May 20, 2003  Issuance of warrant to the former class B member of BWP and its designees valued at \$1.24 to purchase 100% of the class B member of BWP and its designees valued at \$1.24 to purchase 100% of the class B member of BWP and its designees valued at \$1.24 to purchase 100% of the class B member of BWP and its designees valued at \$1.24 to purchase 100% of the class B membership interest in BWP at May 20, 2003  Issuance of series C preferred stock for cash at \$10.00 per share in May and June 2003  Offering cost related to the series C preferred stock offering  Conversion dividend on the series C preferred stock  Issuance of potion to Sunrise Financial Group valued at \$2.07 to purchase 250,000 shares of common stock for consulting service in May 2003  Amortization of cost of the option issued to Sunrise Financial Group share in May 200, 300 shares of common stock to Ram Trading, Ltd. For cash at \$2.03 per share at August 27, 2003  Offering cost related to the common stock issuance to Ram Trading, Ltd. For cash at \$2.03 per share at August 27, 2003  Offering cost related to the common stock issuance to Ram Trading, Ltd. For cash at \$2.03 per share at August 27, 2003	share for consideration of loan made by			<u>-</u>	-	-	-	-	75,000	75	
\$2.00 per share to the former class B member of BWP and lis designees to purchase 100% of the class B member-ship interest in BWP at May 20, 2003  Issuance of warrant to the former class B member of BWP and lis designees valued at \$1.24 to purchase 1,650,000 shares of common stock of as consideration for the purchase of 100% of the class B membership interest in BWP at May 20, 2003  Issuance of series C preferred stock for cash at \$10.00 per share in May and June 2003  Offering cost related to the series C preferred stock offering  Conversion dividend on the series C preferred stock offering  Conversion dividend on the series C preferred stock offering  Conversion of the class B membership in the series C preferred stock offering  Conversion dividend on the series C preferred stock of th	\$3.13 to purchase 25,000 shares of common stock as consideration for Trident's waiver of certain loan covenants on the \$1,500,000 convertible note at	· · ·		-	-	-	-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. ·	
member of BWP and its designees valued at \$1.24 to purchase 1,650,000 shares of common stock as consideration for the purchase of 100% of the class B membership interest in BWP at May 20, 2003  Issuance of series C preferred stock for cash at \$10.00 per share in May and June 2003  Offering cost related to the series C preferred stock offering  Conversion dividend on the series C preferred stock  Issuance of option to Sunrise Financial Group valued at \$2.07 to purchase 250,000 shares of common stock for consulting service in May 2003  Amortization of cost of the option issued to Sunrise Financial Group shares of common stock to Ram Trading, Ltd. For cash at \$2.03 per share at August 27, 2003  Offering cost related to the common stock issuance to Ram Trading, Ltd.	\$2.00 per share to the former class B member of BWP and its designees to purchase 100% of the class B member-	-		-	-		· ·	.· 	3,300,000	3,300	
cash at \$10.00 per share in May and June 2003 - 477,500 478 -  Offering cost related to the series C preferred stock offering  Conversion dividend on the series C preferred stock  Issuance of option to Sunrise Financial Group valued at \$2.07 to purchase 250,000 shares of common stock for consulting service in May 2003  Amortization of cost of the option issued to Sunrise Financial Group 150,000 150  Offering cost related to the common stock issuance to Ram Trading, Ltd	member of BWP and its designees valued at \$1.24 to purchase 1,650,000 shares of common stock as consideration for the purchase of 100% of the class B membership interest in BWP at	-		•	-						
Conversion dividend on the series C preferred stock  Issuance of option to Sunrise Financial Group valued at \$2.07 to purchase 250,000 shares of common stock for consulting service in May 2003  Amortization of cost of the option issued to Sunrise Financial Group  Issuance of 150,000 shares of common stock to Ram Trading, Ltd. For cash at \$2.03 per share at August 27, 2003  Offering cost related to the common stock issuance to Ram Trading, Ltd.	cash at \$10.00 per share in May and	-		•	-	-	477,500	478	-	 	
Issuance of option to Sunrise Financial Group valued at \$2.07 to purchase 250,000 shares of common stock for consulting service in May 2003  Amortization of cost of the option issued to Sunrise Financial Group  Issuance of 150,000 shares of common stock to Ram Trading, Ltd. For cash at \$2.03 per share at August 27, 2003  Offering cost related to the common stock issuance to Ram Trading, Ltd.		-		-	-	-	· -		.· •	. · ·	
Group valued at \$2.07 to purchase 250,000 shares of common stock for consulting service in May 2003		-		-	-	-	-		-	. · ·	
to Sunrise Financial Group	Group valued at \$2.07 to purchase 250,000 shares of common stock for	-			-	-	-	-	-		
stock to Ram Trading, Ltd. For cash at \$2.03 per share at August 27, 2003 150,000 150  Offering cost related to the common stock issuance to Ram Trading, Ltd		_		-	-			-	<u>-</u>	-	
issuance to Ram Trading, Ltd.	stock to Ram Trading, Ltd. For cash at	-		-		-	-	-	150,000	150	
Carryforward 4,090,713 4,091 143,427 144 477,500 478 36,242,478 36,243				-					<u> </u>	. <u> </u>	
	Carryforward	4,090,713		4,091	143,427	144	477,500	478	36,242,478	36,243	

	Additional Paid-In Capital	Stock Subscription Receivable	Other Equity	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Deficit Accumulated During the Development Stage	Total Total Stockholders' Comprehensive Equity Loss
Carryforward	\$30,962,327	\$(3,636,250)	\$ -	\$ (999,750)	\$ (8,787,870)	\$17,575,410
Payment of subscription receivable	•	1,781,250	-	-	-	1,781,250
Payment of subscription receivable - related party	-	1,430,000	-	-	-	1,430,000
Issuance of common stock at \$4.70 per share for consideration of loan made by Gibralt in February 2003	352,425	-	-		-	352,500
Issuance of warrants to Trident valued at \$3.13 to purchase 25,000 shares of common stock as consideration for Trident's waiver of certain loan covenants on the \$1,500,000 convertible note at March 24, 2003	78,227		-	-		78,227
Issuance of common stock for cash at \$2.00 per share to the former class B member of BWP and its designees to purchase 100% of the class B membership interest in BWP at May 20, 2003	6,596,700	<u>.</u>	_			6,600,000
Issuance of warrant to the former class B member of BWP and its designees valued at \$1.24 to purchase 1,650,000 shares of common stock as consideration for the purchase of 100% of the class B membership interest in BWP at May 20,	2,046,000	<u>.</u>	-			2,046,000
Issuance of series C preferred stock for cash at \$10.00 per share in May and June 2003	4,774,523	-		-	-	4,775,001
Offering cost related to the series C preferred stock offering	(307,202)	-		-		(307,202)
Conversion dividend on the series C preferred stock	2,763,250	-	-	-	-	2,763,250
Issuance of option to Sunrise Financial Group valued at \$2.07 to purchase 250,000 shares of common stock for consulting service in May 2003	516,400		(516,400)	<del>;</del>	-	
Amortization of cost of the option issued to Sunrise Financial Group	-	-	516,400		· · · -	516,400
Issuance of 150,000 shares of common stock to Ram Trading, Ltd. For cash at \$2.03 per share at August 27, 2003	304,350	-	-	-	-	304,500
Offering cost related to the common stock issuance to Ram Trading, Ltd.	(60,000)		·		-	(60,000)
Carryforward	48,027,000	(425,000)	-	(999,750)	(8,787,870)	37,855,336

•	Preferre	d Stock - A	Preferre	i Stock - B	Preferre	d Stock - C	Common Stock			
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount		
Carryforward	4,090,713	\$ 4,091	143,427	\$ 144	477,500	\$ 478	36,242,478	\$ 36,243		
Issuance of option to Stern & Co., Inc. valued at \$1.67 to purchase 25,000 shares of common stock for assistance in obtaining insurance in July 2003	3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	-			
Issuance of option to Rhodes Ventures, Ltc valued at \$0.46 to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock for consulting service at July 15, 2003		-	-	-	-	-				
Amortization of deferred compensation related to the option issued to Rhodes Ventures, Ltd.	-	-	-	-	-		•			
Issuance of warrant to Trident valued at \$1.89 to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock as consideration for Trident's second waiver of loan covenant and extension of maturity date of the \$1,500,000 convertible note on July 29, 2003	s -	-	-			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Issuance of warrant to Trident valued at \$1.66 to purchase 150,000 shares of common stock as consideration for Trident's increasing of the original \$1,500,000 to \$2,100,000 on July 29, 2003			<u>-</u> .	-	,					
Additional financing expense to be amortized on the additional \$600,000 convertible note issued by Trident	-	-	-	-		-	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Issuance of 102,190 shares of common stock to a director valued at \$2.12 for directorship and legal services in August 2003	· -		-	-	· .	-	102,190	102		
Issuance of warrant to Michael Marcus valued at \$1.08 to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock for Marcus' extension of the \$1,550,000 convertible notes at October 17, 2003	· -	11.	. · · -	-	· -			2 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Issuance of 650,000 shares of common stock to Ram Trading, Ltd. in exercising the call option to repurchase the 7 limited partnership units in Louisiana Shelf Partners, LP from Ram Trading, Ltd. valued at \$1.07 at October 20, 2003		·.					650,000	. 650		
Carryforward	4,090,713	4,091	143,427	144	477,500	\$ 478	36,994,668	36,995		
	.,,						.,,,,,,,	,,,,,		

	Additional Paid-In Capital	Stock Subscription Receivable	Other Equity	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Deficit Accumulated During the Development Stage	Total Stockholders' Equity	Total Comprehensive Loss
Carryforward	\$48,027,000	\$ (425,000)	\$ -	\$ (999,750)	\$ (8,787,870)	\$37,855,336	
Issuance of option to Stern & Co., Inc. valued at \$1.67 to purchase 25,000 shares of common stock for assistance in obtaining insurance in July 2003	41,700	-	· -	· -		41,700	
Issuance of option to Rhodes Ventures, Ltd valued at \$0.46 to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock for consulting service at July 15, 2003			(23,100)				•
Amortization of cost of the option issued to Rhodes Ventures, Ltd.	, <del>-</del>	-	22,100	•		22,100	
Issuance of warrant to Trident valued at \$1.89 to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock as consideration for Trident's second waiver of loan covenants and extension of maturity date of the \$1,500,000 convertible note on July 29, 2003	189,000					189,000	
Issuance of warrant to Trident valued at \$1.66 to purchase 150,000 shares of common stock as consideration for Trident's increasing of the original \$1,500,000 to \$2,100,000 on July 29, 2003	249,000	-	_			249,000	
Additional financing expense to be amortized on the additional \$600,000 convertible note issued by Trident	351,000	-	-	· -	-	351,000	
Issuance of 102,190 shares of common stock to a director valued at \$2.12 for directorship and legal services in August 2003	216,498	-	-		-	216,600	
Issuance of warrant to Michael Marcus valued at \$1.08 to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock for Marcus' extension of the \$1,550,000 convertible notes at October 17, 2003	53,900					53,900	
Issuance of 650,000 shares of common stock to Ram Trading, Ltd. In exercising the call option to repurchase the 7 limited partnership units in Louisiana Shelf Partners, LP from Ram Trading, Ltd. valued at \$1.07 at October 20, 2003	694,850				<u>-</u>	695,500	
Carryforward	49,846,048	(425,000)	(1,000)	(999,750)	(8,787,870)	39,674,136	

	Preferred Stock - A		Preferre	d Stoc	k - B	Preferred	Stoc	k - C	Common Stock			
	Shares		Amount	Shares Amount		mount	Shares	A	mount	Shares		Amount
Carryforward	4,090,713	\$	4,091	143,427	\$	144	477,500	\$	478	36,994,668	\$	36,995
Issuance of 150,000 shares of common stock to Ram Trading, Ltd. for cash at \$2.20 per share at October 28, 2003	:		-	:	• •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			-	150,000	. ,	150
Preferred stock dividend	-		-	-		-	-			<u>-</u>		• -
COMPREHENSIVE LOSS	-		-	-		-			-	<b>`-</b>		-
Net Loss	-		-	-	,	-	-					-
Unrealized gain (loss) on available-for- sale securities			·			<u>-</u>	·		. · · . 	-		
Total Comprehensive Loss												
Balance, December 31, 2003	4,090,713	\$	4,091	143,427	\$	144	477,500	\$	478	37,144,668	<u>\$</u>	37,145

	Additional Paid-In Capital	Stock Subscription Receivable	Oth	er Equity	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss		Deficit Accumulated During the Development Stage	Total Stockholders' Equity	Total Comprehensive Loss
Carryforward	\$49,846,048	\$ (425,000)	\$	(1,000)	\$	(999,750)	\$ (8,787,870)	\$ 39,674,136	
Issuance of 150,000 shares of common stock in Ram Trading, Ltd. For cash at \$2.20 per share at October 28, 2003	329,850	· -	•	-		-		330,000	
Preferred stock dividend	-	-				-	(4,405,708)	(4,405,708)	
COMPREHENSIVE LOSS								•	
Net Loss	•					-	(32,842,755)	(32,842,755)	(32,842,755)
Unrealized gain (loss) on available-for- sale securities		· 				510,714		510,714	510,714
Total Comprehensive Loss							•		\$ (32,332,041)
Balance, December 31, 2003	\$50,175,898	\$ (425,000)	\$	(1,000)	\$	(489,036)	\$(46,036,333)	\$ 3,266,387	

January 13, 2000

# Continental Southern Resources, Inc. (A Development Stage Entity) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

		For the Ye	,	(Inception) to December 31,	
		2003	2002		2003
Cash Flows from Operating Activities			 	_	
Net loss	\$	(32,842,755)	\$ (8,386,844)	\$	(41,346,327)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash					
used in operating activities					
Minority interest (loss)		(82,260)	85,773		3,513
Shares issued for services rendered	•	216,600	-		237,600
Option issued for services tendered		41,700			41,700
Warrant issued for loan extension		78,227			78,227
Collection incentives		-	136,100		136,100
Contributed services		_	53,750		103,750
Depreciation and depletion		1,497,360	9,612		1,507,610
Amortization of deferred compensation		538,500	· •		538,500
Impairment of oil and gas properties		21,502,317	3,358,136		24,860,453
Bad debt expense – related party		1,800,000	550,601		2,350,601
Amortization of discount on marketable securities		_	(343,367)		(343,367)
Amortization of discount on note payable		2,857,315	2,152,048		5,009,363
Amortization of deferred revenue		(26,857)	_,,		(26,857)
Amortization of loan costs		263,147	74,538		337,685
Interest expense paid by stock issuance		_	13,225		13,225
Equity loss in limited partnership		1,217,317	-27,772		1,245,089
Realized loss on marketable securities		1,659,220	•		1,659,220
Realized gain on sale of oil and gas interest		(1,235,248)	<u>-</u>		(1,235,248)
Realized gain on share exchange		-	(164,990)		(164,990)
Changes in assets and liabilities			. , ,		` , ,
(Increase) Decrease in assets					
Other receivables		(128,520)	(106,942)		(235,462)
Prepaid expenses and advance payments to operators		(70,398)	(150,160)		(220,558)
Other current assets		(1,200,550)	(5,000)		(1,210,700)
Increase in liabilities		( , , , ,	( ) /		(, , ,
Accounts payable and accrued expenses		(178,605)	1,253,135		1,092,295
Net Cash Used in Operating Activities		(4,093,490)	 (1,442,613)		(5,568,578)
. 5				_	~
Cash Flows From Investing Activities					
Notes receivable – related party		(176,000)	-		(176,000)
Notes receivable		(10,000)	(1,428,117)		(1,438,117)
Repayment of notes receivable - related party		1,316,000	-		1,316,000
Repayment of notes receivable		152,500	792,500		945,000
Purchases of oil and gas interests and drilling costs		(2,917,507)	(17,073,118)		(19,990,625)
Investment in Limited Partnership		(2,828,369)	(1,401,263)		(4,229,632)
Acquisition of Limited Partnership interest, net of cash acquired		(2,350,000)	_		(2,350,000)
Proceeds from sale of oil and gas interests		146,821	-		146,821
Purchase of marketable securities		(5,031)	(4,304,036)		(4,309,067)
Proceeds from sale of marketable securities		114,086	-		114,086
Purchases of equipment		(2,397)	(2,651)		(15,255)
Net Cash Used in Investing Activities		(6,559,897)	 (23,416,685)	_	(29,986,789)
		<del></del>	 		

### Continental Southern Resources, Inc. (A Development Stage Entity) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

	For the Years Ended December 31,		January 13, 2000 (Inception) to December 31,
	2003	2002	2003
		ŧ, e,	
Cash Flows From Financing Activities			
Advances from stockholder	-	300,000	300,000
Repayments to stockholder	-	(300,000)	(300,000)
Repayment of loan - related party	(1,399,340)	-	(1,399,340)
Repayment of loan	(1,200,000)	=	(1,200,000)
Proceeds from borrowings	1,763,500	15,435,800	17,199,300
Proceeds from borrowings – related party	1,254,000	<b>-</b> ·	1,254,000
Proceeds from deferred equity option	870,000	-	870,000
Loan costs	-	(245,000)	(245,000)
Receipts of subscription receivable	1,430,000		1,430,000
Receipts of subscription receivable – related party	1,924,340	· -	1,924,340
Proceeds from common and preferred stock issued and			
issuable, net of issuance costs	5,737,799	9,958,948	15,778,747
Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities	10,380,299	25,149,748	35,612,047
Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(273,088)	290,450	56,680
Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning of Period	329,768	39,318	· .
Cash and Cash Equivalents, End of Period	\$ 56,680	\$ 329,768	\$ 56,680

### Continental Southern Resources, Inc. (A Development Stage Entity) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

#### Note 1 - Description of Business

Continental Southern Resources, Inc. (the "Company" or "CSOR"), formerly known as Expressions Graphics, Inc., was incorporated under the laws of the state of Nevada on January 13, 2000. In 2004, the Company restructured its business and changed its name to Endeavour International Corporation (see Note 21).

#### Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

#### Basis of Presentation

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared on the accrual basis of accounting in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("US GAAP") and have been presented on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business.

#### Principles of Consolidation and Ownership Interest in Investees

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include all of the accounts of the Company and its five subsidiaries consisting of EGI Louisiana, Inc. (100% owned), a Nevada corporation incorporated in March 2002, Knox Miss. Partners, L.P. (99%), a Delaware limited partnership formed in March 2002, PHT Partners, L.P. (94.8%), a Delaware limited partnership formed in June 2002, BWP Gas, LLC (99%), a Delaware limited liability company formed in February 2003 and CSOR Acquisition Corporation (100%), a Delaware corporation incorporated in December 2003. The Company also has a 25.0% interest in Louisiana Shelf Partners, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership formed in December 2002. The various interests that the Company owns in its investees are accounted for under two broad methods: consolidation and equity method. The applicable accounting method is generally determined based on the Company's voting interest in the affiliate company.

#### Consolidation

Affiliate companies in which the Company directly or indirectly owns more than 50% of the outstanding voting interest are accounted for under the consolidation method of accounting. Under this method, an affiliate company's results of operations are reflected within the Company's Consolidated Statement of Operations. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

#### Equity Method

The Company uses the equity method to account for all of its limited partnership interests that range from 5% to 50%.

Under the equity method of accounting, the Company's proportionate share of the investees' net income or loss is included in "Partnership Investment Losses" in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Any excess investment is evaluated each reporting period for impairment and is amortized over the estimated period of the remaining oil and gas reserves.

#### Development Stage Enterprise

The Company is a Development Stage Enterprise, as defined in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 7 "Accounting and Reporting for Development Stage Enterprises." Under SFAS No. 7, certain additional financial information is required to be included in the financial statements for the period from inception of the Company to the current balance sheet date.

#### **Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)**

#### Oil and Gas Accounting

The Company uses the successful efforts method of accounting for oil and gas producing activities. Costs to acquire mineral interests in oil and gas properties, drill and equip exploratory wells that find proved reserves, and drill and equip development wells are capitalized. Costs to drill exploratory wells that do not find proved reserves, associated geological and geophysical costs, and associated costs of carrying and retaining unproved properties are expensed.

Unproved oil and gas properties that are individually significant are periodically assessed for impairment of value, and a loss is recognized at the time of impairment by providing an impairment allowance. Other unproved properties are amortized based on the Company's experience of successful drilling and average holding period. Capitalized costs of producing oil and gas properties, after considering estimated salvage values, are depreciated and depleted by the unit-of-production method. Support equipment and other property and equipment are depreciated over their estimated useful lives.

The Company reviews the carrying values of its long-lived assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that such carrying values may not be recoverable. If, upon review, the sum of the undiscounted pretax cash flows is less than the carrying value of the asset group, the carrying value is written down to estimated fair value. Individual assets are grouped for impairment purposes at the lowest level for which there are identifiable cash flows that are largely independent of the cash flows of other groups of assets, generally on a field-by-field basis. The fair value of impaired assets is determined based on quoted market prices in active markets, if available, or upon the present values of expected future cash flows using discount rates commensurate with the risks involved in the asset group. The long-lived assets of the Company, which are subject to evaluation, consist primarily of oil and gas properties. Impairments are provided if the net capitalized costs of gas and oil properties at the field level exceed their realizable values based upon expected future cash flows.

Upon the sale or retirement of a complete unit of a proved property, the cost and related accumulated depreciation, depletion, and amortization are eliminated from the property accounts, and the resultant gain or loss is recognized. Upon the retirement or sale of a partial unit of proved property, the cost is charged to accumulated depreciation, depletion, and amortization with a resulting gain or loss recognized in income.

On the sale of an entire interest in an unproved property for cash or cash equivalent, gain or loss on the sale is recognized, taking into consideration the amount of any recorded impairment if the property had been assessed individually. If a partial interest in an unproved property is sold, the amount received is treated as a reduction of the cost of the interest retained.

#### Revenue Recognition

Revenue is recognized at the time title passes on oil and gas quantities, less any royalties due. Revenues related to natural gas are recognized using the entitlement method of accounting for gas imbalances. Any entitled quantities that are in excess of sales quantities are recorded as a receivable at the lower of the current market price or the market price at the time the imbalance occurred. Any entitled quantities that are lower than the sales quantities are recorded as deferred revenue at the market price at the time the imbalance occurred.

#### Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Management believes that it is reasonably possible the following material estimates affecting the financial statements could significantly change in the coming year (1) estimates of proved oil and gas reserves, (2) estimates as to the expected future cash flow from proved oil and gas properties, and (3) estimates of future dismantlement and restoration costs.

The Company's business makes it vulnerable to changes in crude oil and natural gas prices. Such prices have been volatile in the past and can be expected to be volatile in the future.

By definition, proved reserves are based on current oil and gas prices. Price declines may reduce the estimated quantity of proved reserves and increase annual amortization expense.

#### Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand and investments in money market funds. The Company considers all highly liquid instruments with an original maturity of 90 days or less at the time of purchase to be cash equivalents.

#### Concentrations of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash deposits at financial institutions or unsecured loans. At various times during the year, the Company may exceed the federally insured limits. To mitigate this risk, the Company places its cash deposits only with high credit quality institutions. Management believes the risk of loss is minimal.

#### Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are recorded at cost, less accumulated depreciation. Furniture and fixtures are depreciated using the straight-line method over their estimated useful life of seven years.

#### Capitalized Interest

The Company policy is to capitalize interest on expenditures for significant exploration and development projects while activities are in progress to bring the assets to their intended use.

#### Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts reflected in the consolidated balance sheets for cash and equivalents, short-term receivables and short-term payables approximate their fair value due to the short maturity of the instruments. The carrying value of the investment in equity securities approximates fair value based on their market trading price. The carrying value of the note receivable with detachable warrants reflects a discount for the value of warrants. The carrying value of the convertible notes is recorded at the value of the underlying collateral.

#### Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes pursuant to SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes." Deferred taxes are provided on a liability method whereby deferred tax assets are recognized for deductible temporary differences, and deferred tax liabilities are recognized for taxable temporary differences. Temporary differences are the differences between the reported amounts of assets and liabilities in the financial statements and their tax basis. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when, in the opinion of management, it is more likely than

#### Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

not that some portion of, or all of, the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted for the effects of changes in tax laws and rates on the date of enactment.

#### Dismantlement, Restoration and Environmental Costs

Effective January 1, 2003, the Company adopted SFAS No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations" using a cumulative effect approach to recognize transition amounts for asset retirement obligations, asset retirement costs and accumulated depreciation. SFAS No. 143 requires liability recognition for retirement obligations associated with tangible long-lived assets, such as producing well sites, offshore production platforms, and natural gas processing plants. The obligations included within the scope of SFAS No. 143 are those for which a company faces a legal obligation. The initial measurement of the asset retirement obligation is to record a separate liability at its fair value with an offsetting asset retirement cost recorded as an increase to the related property and equipment on the consolidated balance sheet. The asset retirement cost is depreciated using a systematic and rational method similar to that used for the associated property and equipment.

The Company previously estimated costs of dismantlement, removal, site reclamation, and other similar activities in the total costs that are subject to depreciation, depletion, and amortization. The Company did not record a separate asset or liability for such amounts.

#### Stock-Based Compensation Arrangements

The Company applies the intrinsic value method of accounting prescribed by Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting For Stock Issued To Employees," and related interpretations, in accounting for its stock-based grants to employees. Under the intrinsic value method of accounting, compensation expense is recorded on the date of grant only if the current market price of the underlying stock exceeds the exercise price. The Company applies the disclosure provisions specified in SFAS No. 148, "Accounting For Stock Based Compensation – Transition and Disclosure – an Amendment of SFAS 123," The Company applies SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," in accounting for stock-based grants to non-employees.

The net loss does not include any stock-based director compensation cost, as all the options granted had an exercise price higher than the market value of the stock on the date of grant. However, the modification of options has triggered variable accounting under APB 25 and FASB interpretation No. 44. The Company will be required to record compensation expense if the modified option price is lower than the market price of the stock at the end of a reporting period until the options expire or are exercised. Since the modified option exercise price for the 500,000 options was higher than the market price of the stock on option modification date, no compensation expense was recorded under APB 25. The Company applies SFAS 148 for the disclosure requirements for options granted to the directors. Under SFAS 148, the modification of the terms for the 500,000 option requires the Company to disclose additional compensation expense, which equals the difference of the modification date on the option modification date and the value of the original option on the option modification date.

Had compensation expense for the year ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 been determined under the fair value provisions of SFAS No. 123, as amended by SFAS 148, the Company's net loss and net loss per share would have been the following:

#### Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

		Year Ended December 31,					
		2003		2002			
Net loss to common stockholders, as reported Add:	\$ (3	37,248,463)	\$	(8,671,142)			
Total stock-based director compensation expense determined under fair-value-based method for all awards, net of tax		(826,461)		(1,304,638)			
Pro forma net loss	<u>\$ (3</u>	88,074,924)	<u>\$</u>	(9,975,780)			
Loss per share:							
Basic – as reported	\$	(1.06)	\$	(0.42)			
Basic – pro forma	\$	(1.09)	\$	(0.49)			

These pro forma amounts may not be representative of future disclosures since the estimated fair value of stock options is amortized to expense over the vesting period and additional options may be issued in future years. The estimated fair value of each option granted was calculated using the Black-Scholes Method. The following summarizes the weighted average of the assumptions used in the method.

	2003	2002
Risk free rate	1.63 – 3.84%	. 1.23%
Expected years until exercise	3.0 - 5.0	2.0
Expected stock volatility	100%	110%
Dividend yield	-	-

#### Loss Per Share

Loss per common share is calculated in accordance with SFAS No. 128, "Earnings Per Share." Basic loss per common share is computed by dividing net loss attributable to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted loss per share is computed similarly to basic loss per share except that the denominator is increased to include the number of additional common shares that would have been outstanding if potentially dilutive common shares had been issued and if the additional common shares were dilutive. Shares associated with stock options, warrants and convertible debt are not included because their inclusion would be antidilutive (i.e., reduce the net loss per share).

The common shares potentially issuable arising from these instruments, which were outstanding during the periods presented in the financial statements, consisted of:

	Decembe	December 31,			
	2003	2002			
Options	1,025,000	500,000			
Warrants	2,757,500	782,500			
Convertible Debt	4,320,000	1,414,423			
	8,102,500	2,696,923			

#### Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

#### Segment Information

Under SFAS No. 131, "Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information," the Company has determined it has one reportable operating segment being the acquiring, exploration and development of natural gas and oil properties. The Company's operations are conducted in two geographic segments as follows:

	20	003	2002			
	Revenue	Long-lived Assets	Revenue	Long-lived Assets		
United States Thailand	\$ 27,305	\$8,054,710 1,692,460	\$ 16,142	\$15,321,780 1,136,962		
	\$ 27,305	\$9,747,170	\$ 16,142	\$16,458,742		

#### Impairment of Loans

The Company uses SFAS No. 114, "Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan," amended by SFAS No. 118, for measuring the impairment of certain debt investments. Under SFAS No. 114, impaired loans are measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate or at the loan's observable market price or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. The Company used the fair value of the loan collateral to measure the impairment of the loans and ceased accruing interest income on the loans.

#### Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2003, the FASB issued Financial Interpretation No. 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities – An Interpretation of ARB No. 51" (FIN 46 or Interpretation). The primary objective of the Interpretation is to provide guidance on the identification of, and financial reporting for, entities over which control is achieved through means other than voting rights; such entities are known as variable interest entities ("VIEs"). The Interpretation requires an enterprise to consolidate a VIE if that enterprise has a variable interest that will absorb a majority of the entity's expected losses if they occur, receive a majority of the entity's expected residual returns if they occur or both. The adoption of FIN 46 is not expected to have an impact on the Company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

In April 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 149, "Amendment of Statement No. 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." This statement amends and clarifies financial accounting and reporting for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and for hedging activities under SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." SFAS No. 149 requires that contracts with comparable characteristics be accounted for similarly. The statement is effective for contracts entered into or modified after June 30, 2003 and for hedging relationships designated after June 30, 2003. The provisions of SFAS No. 149 generally are to be applied prospectively only. The adoption of SFAS No. 149 did not have a material impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

In May 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity. SFAS No. 150 establishes standards for classification and measurement by an issuer of certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. The statement requires that an issuer classify a financial instrument that is within its scope as a liability (or asset in some circumstances). Many of those instruments were previously classified as equity. The adoption of the effective provisions of SFAS No. 150 did not have a material impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

#### Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

On December 17, 2003, the Staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission (or SEC) issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104 ("SAB 104"), "Revenue Recognition," which supersedes Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 101, "Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements" ("SAB 101"). SAB 104's primary purpose is to rescind the accounting guidance contained in SAB 101 related to multiple-element revenue arrangements that was superseded as a result of the issuance of EITF 00-21, "Accounting for Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables." Additionally, SAB 104 rescinds the SEC's related "Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements Frequently Asked Questions and Answers" issued with SAB 101 that had been codified in SEC Topic 13, "Revenue Recognition." While the wording of SAB 104 has changed to reflect the issuance of EITF 00-21, the revenue recognition principles of SAB 101 remain largely unchanged by the issuance of SAB 104, which was effective upon issuance. The adoption of SAB 104 did not have a material effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

#### Note 3 - Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company is in the development stage and has significant debt obligations to repay in future years. Additionally, the Company will need significant funds to meet its cash calls on its various interests in oil and gas prospects to explore, produce, develop, and eventually sell the underlying natural gas and oil products under its interests and to acquire additional properties. On February 26, 2004, the Company closed a private equity offering in which it raised net proceeds of \$46,000,000 (see Note 21). The Company will use the proceeds to repay certain liabilities described in Note 12 and the balance for its working capital requirements.

#### Note 4 - Note Receivable - Related Party

During 2002, the Company loaned a total of \$475,000 to International Travel CD's, Inc. ("ILCD") for which it received various 10% unsecured promissory notes that were due 45 days from the date of issuance. On October 5, 2002, the Company entered into an Assignment and Release Agreement with ILCD whereby the Company agreed to purchase and assume the assignment of a secured promissory note between ILCD and Snipes Productions, LLC ("the Secured Note") for full satisfaction of the remaining unpaid balance of the ILCD promissory notes amounting to \$122,500. The Secured Note's maturity date of December 31, 2002 was extended to October 31, 2003 and interest is equal to 35% of the aggregate principal balance. As of December 31, 2003 and 2002, the outstanding principal balance was \$122,500 while the unpaid interest on the Secured Note was \$64,841 and \$16,417, respectively. The note receivable and accrued interest were included in the transfer of the non-core assets to the Series A and certain of the Series B Preferred Stock holders in February 2004 (see Note 21).

In August and October 2002, the Company loaned the aggregate of \$425,000 and \$220,000 to BPK Resources, Inc. and its subsidiary, CSR – Hackberry Partners, L.P., respectively. The Company received various demand promissory notes with annual interest rates of 10% and 12%. The outstanding balance of these notes was \$0 and \$25,000 as of December 31, 2003, and \$250,000 and \$45,000 as of December 31, 2002. Total unpaid accrued interest on these notes totaled \$24,371 and \$12,851 at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively. These note receivables were included in the transfer of the non-core assets to the Series A and certain of the Series B Preferred Stock holders in February 2004 (see Note 21).

During 2003 and 2002, Knox Miss and CSR Waha made short-term unsecured advances of \$5,617 and \$18,000, respectively, to BPK Resources, Inc. These advances remain outstanding as of December 31, 2003.

During 2002, PHT Partners made a short-term unsecured advance of \$50,000 to PH Gas, LLC. This advance was paid on September 8, 2003 along with \$3,438 of accrued interest.

#### Note 4 - Note Receivable – Related Party (Continued)

On January 15, 2003, the Company sold its 99% limited partnership interest in CSR-WAHA Partners, LP, a Delaware Limited Partnership to BPK and in return, received a cash payment of \$150,000, a \$1,500,000 promissory note due on April 30, 2003, and 600,000 shares of the common stock of BPK. This resulted in a gain of \$1,235,248. On April 14, 2003, the Company agreed to extend the due date of the \$1,500,000 promissory note to June 30, 2004. BPK issued 100,000 shares of its common stock to the Company in consideration for the extension. As of December 31, 2003, \$670,000 of principal remained outstanding along with \$106,669 in accrued interest. The note receivable and accrued interest were included in the transfer of the non-core assets to the Series A and certain of the Series B Preferred Stock holders in February 2004 (see Note 21).

On February 21, 2003, Knox Miss Partners, L.P. ("Knox Miss") loaned \$10,000 to Touchstone Resources, LTD. ("Touchstone") for which it received a 10% unsecured demand promissory. As of December 31, 2003, the principal remained outstanding with accrued interest of \$858.

On March 4, 2003, the Company loaned \$136,000 to FEQ Investments, Inc. ("FEQ") for which it received a 10% unsecured demand promissory note. The note was paid on May 29, 2003.

On May 30, 2003, the Company loaned \$30,000 to PH Gas, L.P. in exchange for a demand promissory note. This note was paid on June 4, 2003.

On November 20, 2003, Knox Miss made a short-term unsecured advance of \$2,250 to Louisiana Shelf Partners, L.P. ("LA Shelf"). This advance remains outstanding as of December 31, 2003.

#### Note 5 - Note Receivable

On November 1, 2002, the Company loaned \$152,500 to IP Services Inc. in exchange for a 10% demand promissory note. This note along with \$10,319 in accrued interest was paid in June 2003.

On June 13, 2003, Knox Miss Partners, L.P. received a promissory note in exchange for a \$10,000 loan from an unrelated party. As of December 31, 2003, the principal remained outstanding along with \$551 in accrued interest.

#### Note 6 - Oil and Gas Properties

Hell Hole Bayou

During 2002, the Company acquired various working interests in the leases underlying the North Hell Hole Prospect (the "Prospect"). The purpose of the Prospect was to explore, develop and produce certain oil and gas interests it possesses in a contracted area known as Hell Hole Bayou located in Vermillion Parish, Louisiana. The Company acquired these interests from various leaseholders, including Touchstone Resources USA, Inc. ("Touchstone USA"). The Company owned an aggregate working interest of 37.9% and an additional 10% back-in interest.

During 2002 through April 2003, it was determined by the Company's outside engineer and operator that the initial hole and subsequent sidetrack wells were dry. Management decided not to pursue additional exploration within these lease areas and, consequently, these leases were allowed to lapse. Management also decided not to pursue additional exploration within the remaining three leases. Consequently, these three leases were allowed to lapse. The Company has recorded impairment charges of \$3,635,136 in 2002, and \$11,384,452 in 2003. The aggregate amount of these impairments is \$15,019,588, which is comprised of all drilling costs incurred to date plus 100% of the lease acquisition costs for the entire Hell Hole Prospect.

#### Note 6 - Oil and Gas Properties (Continued)

Knox Miss. Partners, L.P. – Limited Partnership Interest

On March 23, 2002, the Company entered into a limited partnership agreement with Knox Miss, LLC and formed Knox Miss. Partners, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership. The Company is the sole limited partner with a 99% interest and Knox Miss, LLC is the general partner. As of December 31, 2003, the Company contributed \$5,544,500 and the general partner contributed \$27,325 to the limited partnership. Knox Miss. Partners, L.P. was formed to acquire interests in gas, oil and mineral leases of properties located in Mississippi.

During 2002, Knox Miss entered into exploration agreements with SK Exploration, Inc., SKH Energy Partners II, LP and Clayton Williams Energy, Inc. ("Clayton") to jointly cooperate and participate in the exploration and development of oil, gas and leases in the Livingston Transform Area, Longview and Osborn prospects which cover several counties in Mississippi (see Note 20 – legal proceedings for contingency).

In the first quarter of 2004, the Company sold its 99% limited partnership interest in Knox Miss Partners, L.P. (see Note 21).

CSR-WAHA Partners, L.P.

On June 27, 2002, the Company entered into a limited partnership agreement with CSR, LLC and formed CRS-WAHA Partners, L.P. ("CSR-WAHA") of which the Company was the sole limited partner with a 99% interest and CSR, LLC is the general partner. Through December 2002, the Company and CSR, LLC contributed cash of \$750,000 and \$500, respectively. In 2002, the partnership purchased a working interest of 12-1/2% in the Waha/Lockridge oil and gas prospect located in Reeves County, Texas for \$358,038.

On January 15, 2003, the Company sold its 99% limited partnership interest in CSR-WAHA to BPK (see Note 4).

BWP Gas, LLC

On May 20, 2003, the Company entered into a Membership Purchase Agreement with BWP Gas, LLC ("BWP") whereby the Company acquired all of the Class B membership interest of BWP from HBA Gas, Inc. ("HBA") (the "Acquisition"). The Company became the sole Class B member and the managing member with a 99% interest in BWP. Oklahoma Hills Gas, LLC ("Oklahoma Hills") is the Class A member with a 1% interest. HBA is the managing member of Oklahoma Hills and Ernest Bartlett is the president of HBA and also the president of FEQ. FEQ is a principal holder of the Company's Series A and Series B Preferred stock as well as interests in certain entities which serve as the general partner of certain oil and gas limited partnerships in which the Company has interests. In consideration for the Acquisition, the Company issued 3,300,000 shares of the Company's common stock and warrants to purchase and additional 1,650,000 common shares at an exercise price of \$2.00 per share expiring in May 2006 to HBA and its designees. The shares were valued at \$6,600,000. The warrants were valued at \$2,046,000 using the Black-Scholes Method. The Company paid an investment banker \$172,500 in connection with the Acquisition. The Company also contributed \$2,500,000 to BWP at the time of the Acquisition in order for BWP to complete its funding commitment under the exploration agreement, which was defined below. Therefore, the total purchase price of the Acquisition amounted to \$11,318,500. BWP's sole asset at the time of the Acquisition was a 4.5% working interest in the Mary #2-34Well ("Mary Well") in the Potato Hills Deep Prospect ("Potato Hills") located in Latimer and Pushmataha Counties, Oklahoma. Subsequent to the Acquisition, the Company contributed an additional \$974,000 to BWP.

BWP has entered into an exploration agreement ("Exploration Agreement") with GHK Company, LLC ("GHK") and its affiliate, which was amended in May 2003, to jointly cooperate and participate in the exploration and development of certain oil and gas leases in the Potato Hills area. Under the Exploration Agreement, BWP also has the right to participate in a 4.5% interest of all additional wells drilled in Potato Hills and the right to purchase up to

#### Note 6 - Oil and Gas Properties (Continued)

a 10% interest in all wells identified by GHK in the future. In the event that the total costs for the drilling of the Mary No. 2-34 Well as reflected in the AFE exceed one hundred and ten percent (110%) of the budgeted amount, BWP is obligated to pay its proportionate share of such costs. BWP's working interest in Potato Hills is subject to various terms and specifications including restrictions, overriding royalty interests, specific drilling depths, future wells, specific locations, and other parties with priority in recovering their costs in certain net profits in the interests. GHK is the operator.

We have legal proceedings with GHK relating to performance of the parties under the Exploration Agreement. See Note 20.

The Company reduced the value of its investment in Mary Well to approximately \$71,000 as of December 31, 2003 and recorded a \$1,496,725 and \$10,117,867 of depletion and impairment, respectively, related to the Mary Well based on its January 2004 reserve and economic study.

After the Acquisition, BWP invested \$276,277 to acquire a 5% working interest in Indian Prospect located in Roger Mills County, Oklahoma, with GHK as the operator. BWP's share of drilling cost in the India Prospect was \$403,372 as of December 31, 2003. However, GHK has not assigned the Indian Prospect leases to the Company as of this time (see Note 20).

The following pro forma presentation assumes the acquisition of BWP took place on January 1, 2003 and shows the pro forma effect on loss from operations. Since BWP commenced operation in April 2003, there is no pro forma impact on the Company's statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2002.

	Year Ending				Year Ended		
	 December 31,	<u> 2003 (1</u>	unaudited)	December 31,2002			
	Historical		Pro Forma	Historical			
Revenue	\$ 27,305	. \$	27,305	\$	16,142		
Loss from operations	(27,953,684)		(27,953,684)		(5,676,415)		
Other (income) expense	4,971,331		6,170,663		2,714,481		
Interest expense	3,569,992		4,769,324		3,311,763		
Net loss	(32,842,755)		(34,042,087)		(8,386,844)		
Net loss to common stockholders	(37,248,463)		(38,447,795)		(8,671,142)		
Net loss per common share – basic and diluted	(1.06)		(1.10)		(0.43)		

Following is the condensed balance sheet of BWP at the date of Acquisition:

Cash Unproved oil and gas property	\$ 150,000 4,500,000
Total Assets	\$ 4,650,000
Oil and gas interest payable	\$ 2,500,000
Net Assets Acquired	\$ 2,150,000

#### Note 6 - Oil and Gas Properties (Continued)

In February 2004, all of the Company's limited membership interest in BWP together with other non-core assets was disposed of in the various Restructuring transactions (see Note 21).

As of December 31, 2003, oil and gas properties consisted of the following:

		Accumulated Total Cost of Depletion and Oil and Gas Impairment Properties Allowance			_	Net Capital Assets	
Unproved properties acquisition costs Proved properties acquisition costs Well and development costs Drilling in progress	\$	17,266,752 11,468,500 60,637 4,540,555	\$	11,241,897 11,397,463 60,637 4,137,183	\$	6,024,855 71,037 - 403,372	
Net	\$	33,336,444	\$	26,837,180	-\$	6,499,264	

As of December 31, 2002, oil and gas properties consisted of the following:

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Total Cost of Oil and Gas Properties		Impairment Allowance			Net Capital Assets
Unproved properties acquisition costs Drilling in progress	\$	16,128,840 2,703,939	\$	1,222,332 2,532,804	\$	14,906,508 171,135
Net capitalized oil and gas properties	\$	18,832,779	. \$	3,755,136	\$	15,077,643

#### Note 7 – Equity Interests in Entities with Oil and Gas Properties

#### PHT Partners, L.P.

On June 26, 2002, the Company entered into a limited partnership agreement with PHT Gas, LLC and formed PHT Partners, L.P. ("PHT"). The Company has a 94.77% limited partnership interest and PHT Gas, LLC is the general partner. As of December 31, 2003, the Company has contributed \$1,721,607 to PHT. PHT has invested \$1,692,460 including additional capital calls in APICO, LLC, a limited liability company, in return for 883 units out of a total of 4,100 units outstanding.

The business of APICO, LLC is (i) to farm-in to certain concessions (the "Concessions") in Phu Horm Gas Field Project located in Khorat and Udon in the Kingdom of Thailand, which are controlled by Amerada Hess Limited (Thailand), which is acting as the operator; (ii) to acquire and own property interests and other rights in the Concessions; (iii) to participate in exploring the Concessions; (iv) in developing and operating oil and gas wells in the Concessions; (v) in financing its operations, in selling production from such wells and in selling interests in the Property and/or the Concessions; (vii) and to take all other actions necessary, appropriate or advisable in connection with such business.

The Company is not subject to capital calls in connection with its limited partnership interest in PHT. However, as explained below, PHT is subject to cash calls from its investment in APICO, LLC. If PHT does not meet its cash calls, then the Company's investment in PHT may become impaired. Pursuant to the APICO membership

#### Note 7 – Equity Interests in Entities with Oil and Gas Properties (Continued)

agreement, PHT and the other APICO members will be called upon from time to time for additional contributions so as to meet the reasonable capital requirements of APICO. If PHT or any other member fails to make required capital contributions or meet the required cash calls in the amounts and at the times specified in the membership agreement, then they would be in default. If the default is not cured within 45 days, then APICO has the right to repurchase the defaulting members' shares for 1% of their original purchase price. As of December 31, 2003, PHT has funded its proportional share of additional capital calls.

At the discretion of PHT Gas, LLC, any available cash shall be distributed 99% to the limited partners of PHT to the extent of its unreturned capital balance and 1% to PHT Gas, LLC until all unreturned capital balances have been returned and then 80% to the limited partners and 20% to PHT Gas, LLC thereafter. Distributions in liquidation of the partnership shall be made in accordance with the capital accounts subject to the above distributions. In general, profits shall be allocated after giving effect to certain regulatory allocations and cumulative prior allocations 75% to the limited partners and 25% to PHT Gas, LLC. Losses in general shall be allocated after giving effect to regulatory allocations and certain proportionate allocations to all partners with a positive capital account in proportion to the extent of their balances and then entirely to PHT Gas, LLC.

#### Louisiana Shelf Partners, L.P.

On December 31, 2002, the Company entered into a limited partnership agreement with LS Gas, LLC and formed Louisiana Shelf Partners, L.P. ("LSP") of which the Company is a limited partner with an approximate 25% interest and LS Gas, LLC is the general partner. The Company contributed \$240,000 to LSP in 2002 and \$2,032,500 in 2003. As of December 31, 2003, LSP acquired various geological and geophysical data and interests in oil, gas and mineral leases located in Cameron Parish, Louisiana for an aggregate purchase price of \$4,740,039. Pursuant to the partnership agreement, the Company and the other LSP members will be called upon from time to time for additional contributions so as to meet the reasonable capital requirements of LSP.

The initial test well drilled during 2003 was determined to be a dry hole. As a result, all the drilling costs incurred on this well in the amount of \$3,366,597 were written off during the third and fourth quarters of 2003.

Management has decided not to pursue additional exploration within two of the four leases in Cameron Parish offshore Louisiana. Consequently, these leases were allowed to lapse in March 2004. The Company has recorded impairment charges of \$991,138 in the fourth quarter of 2003 consisting of all the lease acquisition costs related to these leases.

On October 8, 2003, LSP entered into a limited partnership agreement with PHT Gas, LLC and formed PHT Vicksburg Partners, LP ("PHT Vicksburg") of which LSP is a limited partner with an approximate 15% interest and PHT Gas, LLC is the general partner. As of December 31, 2003, LSP contributed \$112,800 to PHT Vicksburg. Pursuant to the partnership agreement, LSP and other PHT Vicksburg members will be called upon from time to time for additional contributions to meet the reasonable capital requirements of PHT Vicksburg. In October 2003 and subsequently in January 2004, PHT Vicksburg acquired various oil, gas and mineral leases located in the East Coastal Filed Prospect in Starr and Hidalgo counties, Texas for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$518,000.

On October 8, 2003, PHT Vicksburg entered into an Exploration agreement with Touchstone Resources USA, Inc. to jointly cooperate and participate in the exploration and development of oil and gas leases in the contract area in the East coastal Prospect. Touchstone USA is the operator. As of December 31, 2003, PHT Vicksburg's share of drilling costs was approximately \$429,000.

The following table summarizes the Company's interests in oil and gas non-public limited partnerships accounted for under the equity method of accounting as of December 31, 2003 and 2002.

#### Note 7 – Equity Interests in Entities with Oil and Gas Properties (Continued)

	December 31, 2003			December 31, 2002			
	Carrying Value	Excess of Carrying Value Over Net Assets		lue		Excess of Carrying Value Over Net Assets	
PHT investment in APICO Louisiana Shelf Partners	\$ 1,692,460 1,146,076	\$	238,029	\$	1,136,962 236,529	\$	278,263
	\$ 2,838,536	\$	238,029	\$	1,373,491	\$	278,263

The following table summarizes financial information for the limited partnerships accounted for under the equity method of accounting at December 31, 2003 and 2002 and has been compiled from the financial statements of the respective entities:

	Dec	cember 31, 2003	December 31, 2002		
Total Assets	\$	11,545,378	\$	6,547,014	
Total Liabilities	\$ 373,270		\$	381,502	
		Year Ended ember 31, 2003	Year Ended December 31, 2002		
Results of Operations:	<del></del>		+		
Revenue	\$	-	\$	-	
Loss from operations	\$	(4,962,026)	\$	(130,689)	
Net Loss	\$	(4,888,378)	\$	(127,146)	

#### Note 8 - Marketable Securities - Related Parties

In connection with the February 2002 acquisition of various working interests in the North Hell Hole Prospect, the Company purchased, for the sum of \$2,000,000, a 10% secured convertible promissory note in the principal amount of \$2,000,000 and a detached warrant to purchase 1,063,830 shares of common stock at an exercise price of US\$1.88 from Touchstone Resources, Ltd. ("Touchstone"), a Canadian Exchange listed company and the parent company of Touchstone USA, Inc. The secured convertible promissory note, with a maturity date of August 22, 2004, had an initial conversion price of US\$1.88. The fair value of the note receivable was \$787,234 and the fair value of the warrants on the date the note was issued, valued by using the Black-Scholes Method, was \$1,212,766. Consequently, a discount in the amount of \$1,212,766 was recorded in connection with this note. In addition, as described below, an impairment charge was recorded against this note. At December 31, 2003 and 2002, this note is reflected in these financial statements at a carrying value of \$0 and \$800,000. The face value of this note is \$2,000,000. The fair market value of the warrant was \$0 and \$617,021 as of December 31, 2003 and 2002.

In June 2002 the Company purchased, for the sum of \$1,600,000, an additional 10% convertible promissory note in the principal amount of \$1,600,000 and a detached warrant to purchase 2,000,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of US\$1.00 until December 28, 2002 from Touchstone. The secured convertible promissory note, which matured December 28, 2002, had an initial conversion price of US\$.80. The fair values of the note receivable was \$1,220,000 and the fair value of the warrants on the date the note was issued, valued by using the Black-Scholes Method, was \$380,000. Consequently, a discount in the amount of \$380,000 was recorded in connection with this note. On March 20, 2003, the Company renegotiated the \$1,600,000 promissory note receivable from Touchstone. The principal amount of the new note increased to \$1,725,586, which included accrued interest of \$125,586. The note earns interest at 10% per annum and is due February 28, 2005. The note could have been converted at any time

#### **Note 8 - Marketable Securities - Related Parties (Continued)**

into shares of Touchstone at one share for each \$0.62 of principal amount. Interest due may also be satisfied by the issuance of shares of Touchstone valued as at the date of issuance. In addition, as described below, an impairment charge was recorded against this note. At December 31, 2003 and 2002, this note is reflected in these financial statements at a carrying value of \$0 and \$1,000,000. The face value of this note is \$1,725,586. The fair market value of the warrant was \$0 at December 31, 2003 and 2002. The original expiration date to purchase 2,000,000 shares of stock in Touchstone was extended until February 28, 2005.

The Company's marketable convertible debt securities and warrants can be converted into and exercised for shares of Touchstone, which had a readily determinable fair market value. Management initially determined the appropriate classification of its investment using SFAS No. 115 "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities" at the time of purchase, and re-evaluates such determinations at each balance sheet date.

Under SFAS No. 114 "Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan," amended by SFAS No. 118, management has evaluated the collectibility of the loan from Touchstone and believes that Touchstone may not be able to repay the loans. Therefore, the Company has measured and recorded an impairment charge of \$550,601 in 2002 and \$1,800,000 in 2003 on the loans and accrued interest. The loans had a significant discount which reduced their carrying value. In connection with the impairment charge the Company has stopped amortizing the loan discount and accruing interest as of the fourth quarter of 2002. Consequently the carrying value of the loans at December 31, 2003 is equal to 0% of the \$2,000,000 and the \$1,725,586 face values of the notes based on the fair market value of the underlying loan collateral. The Company recognized a loss of \$1,592,766 in regards to the Touchstone warrants.

In August 2002, the Company purchased 819,000 shares of common stock of BPK Resources, Inc. for \$660,030 and 20,000 shares of common stock of ILCD for \$44,005.

In October 2002, the Company exchanged 500,000 shares of common stock of BPK Resources, Inc. for 400,000 shares of common stock of Trimedia Entertainment, Inc. (formerly US Patriot, Inc.). As a result of the exchange, the Company realized a gain of \$164,989.

In January 2003, the Company received 600,000 shares of the common stock of BPK as part of the consideration for the Company's sale of its 99% limited partnership interest in CSR-WAHA Partners, LP to BPK.

In March 2003, the Company sold 141,500 shares of BPK Resources, Inc. The Company incurred a loss of \$24,454 in regards to the transaction.

In April 2003, the Company sold 23,000 shares of BPK Resources, Inc. The Company incurred a loss of \$4,589 in regards to the transaction.

In October 2003, the Company sold 20,000 shares of International Travel CD's, Inc. The Company incurred a loss of \$37,411 in regards to the transaction.

As of December 31, 2003, the Company owned 864,500 shares of BPK common stock with a fair market value of \$207,480. The Company and BPK have one common director who is also president of BPK.

The marketable securities reflected in these financial statements are deemed by management to be "available-for-sale" and, accordingly, are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses reported in other comprehensive income and reflected as a separate component within the Statement of Stockholders' Equity. Realized gains and losses on securities available-for-sale are included in other income/expense and, when applicable, are reported as a reclassification adjustment, net of tax, in other comprehensive income. Gains and losses on the sale of available-for-sale securities are determined using the specific-identification method.

#### **Note 8 - Marketable Securities - Related Parties (Continued)**

Available-for-sale securities consist of the following at December 31, 2003:

		Current	
		Gross	•
		Unrealized	
	<u>Cost</u>	Loss	Fair Value
Stock	\$ 1,208,516	\$ (489,036)	\$ 719,480
Total Current	\$ 1,208,516	\$ (489,036)	\$ 719,480

In February 2004, as part of the Restructuring, all of the Company's shares in BPK and Trimedia Entertainment, Inc., which were held as of December 31, 2003, were included in the transfer of the non-core assets to the Series A and certain of the Series B Preferred Stock holders (see Note 21).

Available-for-sale securities consist of the following at December 31, 2002:

	 		Current		
			Gross		
			Unrealized		
	 Cost	Loss		Fa	ir Value
Stock	\$ 869,025		(24,005)	\$	845,020
Total Current	\$ 869,025		(24,005)	. \$	845,020

			Long Term				
April			Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Loss	Allowance for Impairment	Fair-Value	
Convertible debt	Due	2/28/2005	\$ 1,416,134	\$ -	\$(416,134)	\$ 1,000,000	
Convertible debt	Due	08/22/2004	934,467	-	(134,467)	800,000	
Warrants	Expires	2/28/2005	380,000	(380,000)	•	•	
Warrants	Expires	08/22/2004	1,212,766	(595,745)	·	617,021	
Total Non-Current			\$ 3,943,367	\$ (975,745)	\$ (550,601)	\$ 2,417,021	

#### Note 9 - Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consisted of the following at December 31:

		2003	 2002
Furniture and fixture Less accumulated depreciation	\$	5,048 (678)	\$ 2,651 (43)
	\$	4,370	\$ 2,608

Depreciation expense for the year ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 was \$636 and \$9,612, respectively.

#### **Note 10 - Income Taxes**

Deferred income taxes result from the net tax effects of temporary timing differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities reflected on the financial statements and the amounts recognized for income tax purposes. The tax effects of temporary differences and net operating loss carryforwards that give rise to significant portions of deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows at December 31:

	2003	2002
Deferred tax asset		
Allowance for impairment on marketable securities	\$ 847,700	\$ 217,800
Allowance for impairment on oil and gas properties	4,001,500	1,485,300
Allowance for bad debt	711,800	-
Deferred compensation	85,700	-
Tax benefit arising from net operating loss carryforward	8,815,600	1,937,300
	14,462,300	3,640,400
Less valuation allowance	(14,106,700)	(2,640,500)
	355,600	999,900
Deferred tax liability		
Intangible drilling costs	(199,300)	(999,900)
Installment sale gain	(156,300)	
Net deferred tax asset	\$	\$ -

Income tax benefit (liability) consists of the following:

	December 31,			
	2003	2002		
Deferred				
Federal	\$ 4,354,600	\$ 578,600		
State	936,500	124,400		
Federal and state benefit of net operating loss carryforward	8,815,600	1,937,500		
	14,106,700	2,640,500		
Less valuation allowance	(14,106,700)	(2,640,500)		
Net deferred tax asset	_\$			

#### **Note 10 - Income Taxes (Continued)**

As of December 31, 2003, the Company had losses which resulted in net operating loss carryforwards for tax purposes amounting to approximately \$22,200,000 that may be offset against future taxable income. These NOL carryforwards expire beginning 2018 through 2021. However, these carryforwards may be significantly limited due to changes in the ownership of the Company as a result of future equity offerings.

Recognition of the benefits of the deferred tax assets and liabilities will require that the Company generate future taxable income. There can be no assurance that the Company will generate any earnings or any specific level of earning in future years. Therefore, the Company has established a valuation allowance for deferred tax assets (net of liabilities) of approximately \$14,106,700 and \$2,640,500 as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

The following table presents the principal reasons for the difference between the Company's effective tax rates and of United States federal statutory income tax rate of 35%.

	December 31,		
	2003	2002	
Federal income tax benefit at statutory rate	11,505,500	\$ 3,034,900	
State income tax benefit (net of effect of federal benefit)	1,233,700	300,800	
Non-deductible expenses	(1,273,000)	(723,000)	
Change in valuation allowance	(11,466,200)	(2,612,700)	
Income Tax Benefit	\$	\$	
Effective Income Tax Rate	0 %	0 %	

#### Note 11 - Accounts Payable and Accrued Expenses

The Company had the following accounts payable and accrued expenses outstanding at December 31:

		2003		2002	
Accrued Interest	\$	242,897	\$	910,399	
Accounts Payable		307,317		151,787	
Preferred Dividend		1,926,756		284,298	
Payables for Oil and Gas Interests		2,221,774		1,164,135	
Other Accrued Expenses		536,981		209,241	
	\$ .	5,235,725	\$	2,719,860	

#### Note 12 - Notes Payable

#### Related Party

In February 2002, the Company received subscription agreement commitments for promissory notes aggregating \$10,000,000, which were originally to be funded by August 5, 2003. As incentive to accelerate the funding of their commitments, the Company issued options to the lenders. If the lenders funded their commitments by March 7, 2002, they would have the option to invest an additional \$4,000,000 in the Company within 120 days of the original

#### **Note 12 - Notes Payable (Continued)**

funding, at the same terms and conditions as the initial \$10,000,000 investment. The fair value of the warrants, as determined by an independent valuation, amounted to \$130,000 and was expensed in full upon their issuance. In February 2002 the full \$10,000,000 was funded to the Company. In consideration for the funds received, the Company issued two promissory notes totaling \$9,857,149. Interest accrued at 8% per annum. The fair value of these two notes was determined by an independent valuation to be \$8,847,000 and a corresponding discount of \$1,010,149 was recorded by the Company. The discount has been amortized over the term of the note using the effective interest rate method.

The Company also issued the lenders 3,285,100 shares of common stock, and warrants to purchase an aggregate of 11,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an aggregate exercise price of \$2. The common stock and warrants had an ascribed value of approximately \$.10 per share. The Company issued warrants to purchase 450,000 shares of common stock, at an aggregate exercise price of \$1, to a registered broker dealer as payment of a commission for the offering. All warrants issued in connection with this offering were automatically deemed exercised at May 31, 2002, the date the Company obtained all required approvals of its shareholders to amend the Company's Articles of Incorporation to increase the authorized shares of its common stock to at least 90,000,000 shares.

In December 2002, the lender and its assignees exchanged their entire principal of \$9,857,149 in promissory notes into 98,571 shares of the Company's Series B Preferred Stock. The original principal amount of the promissory note converted into a number of units ("Units") equal to the original principal amount of the promissory note divided by the conversion price of \$100.00 per Unit. Each Unit consisted of 1 fully-paid share of Series B Preferred Stock. This class of stock is explained in more detail under Note 15 – Stockholder's Equity. At December 31, 2002, \$255,174 had been amortized to interest expense. Upon conversion of the note, the balance of unamortized discounts was recorded as interest expense. The accrued interest the Company owed on the note of approximately \$693,000 as of December 31, 2002 was assigned by the lender to FEQ Investments, Inc.

In June 2002, the lender exercised its option to invest \$4,000,000 in the Company. The investor funded \$2,500,000 and assigned the option to invest the remaining \$1,500,000 to various investors. As consideration for the full amount of \$4,000,000, the Company issued a note for \$3,942,860 and will issue the lender and its assignees an aggregate 5,714,150 shares of common stock. The common stock and note were valued at \$461,200 and \$3,538,800, respectively, as determined by an independent valuation. The related discount of \$404,060 was being amortized over the term of the loan. On September 30, 2002, the lender and its assignees exchanged their entire principal of \$3,942,860 in promissory notes into 39,429 shares of the Company's Series B Preferred Stock. The original principal amount of the promissory notes were converted into a number of units equal to the original principal amount of the promissory note divided by the conversion price of \$100.00 per Unit. Each Unit consisted of 1 fully-paid share of Series B Preferred Stock. The remaining subscription receivable amounted to \$1,000,000 at December 31, 2002. This class of stock is explained in more detail under Note 15 – Stockholders' Equity. The amount amortized to interest expense through September 30, 2002 was \$38,174. Upon conversion of the note, the balance of the unamortized discounts was recorded as interest expense.

As the result of the above described transactions these lenders owned in excess of 50% of the Company's common stock at December 31, 2002 in addition to the preferred stock they received upon conversion of their notes.

During January and May 2003, the Company borrowed \$250,000 and \$6,000 from SPH Investments, Inc. and issued various 10% demand notes. During the second quarter 2003, the Company made principal payments of \$176,000 and paid the remaining \$80,000 of principal along with \$9,800 in accrued interest in the third quarter of 2003.

In January 2003, the Company borrowed \$100,000 from SPH Investments, Inc. Profit Sharing Plan and issued a 10% demand note. This note with accrued interest of \$3,956 was repaid in June 2003.

#### **Note 12 - Notes Payable (Continued)**

In January 2003, the Company borrowed \$85,000 from 1025 Investments, Inc. and issued various 10% demand notes. The Company paid \$45,000 in principal in January 2003 and the remaining \$40,000 in March 2003 along with \$235 in accrued interest.

#### Other

In April 2002 the Company entered into a loan agreement to borrow \$1,500,000 from Gemini Growth Fund, LP ("Gemini"), a Delaware limited partnership. Gemini subsequently changed their name to Trident Growth Fund, L.P. ("Trident"). The note is a 12% secured convertible promissory note secured by substantially all of the assets of the Company. The note was to originally mature on October 21, 2003 but was extended as noted below, however the Company has the option to redeem the note at 100% of par at any time up to the maturity date. Trident has the option to convert the principal amount of the note into common stock of the Company. As additional incentive to make the \$1,500,000 loan, Trident was issued a warrant to purchase 150,000 shares of the Company's common stock. The warrant expires on the earlier of April 30, 2012 or the date all of the convertible notes are converted to common stock. The initial conversion price of the note and warrant is the lesser of \$2.00 or 60% of the closing bid price of the Company's common stock for the first trading session subsequent to the effective date of a reverse split of the Company's common stock which took place on May 31, 2002. However, the conversion price was reduced to \$1.60 as a result of a subsequent private offering and is subject to other adjustments according to the provisions of the note. The Company paid loan commitment and origination fees of 1% and 4%, respectively, which were recorded as loan costs of which \$45,000 was amortized to interest expense in 2002. On July 29, 2003, the loan was amended to extend the maturity date to July 31, 2004 and increase the principal amount to \$2,100,000. As consideration for Trident's increasing of the original loan to \$2,100,000, the Company granted Trident a warrant to purchase 150,000 shares of the common stock at an exercise price of \$1.60 per share. The warrant is exercisable immediately and will expire on July 23, 2008. Interest is payable in cash unless Trident elects to have the interest paid in common stock of the Company. In February 2004, \$1,500,000 of principal was repaid on the note and \$600,000 of principal was converted into 375,000 shares of the common stock of the Company (see Note 21).

Repayment of the principal amount of the note has been guaranteed by a subsidiary of the Company. As described in the loan agreement, the Company is required to comply with various financial covenants. Any failure to comply with such covenants may be deemed a default on the loan by Trident. The Company did not meet three of the financial loan covenants as of December 31, 2002; however, Trident waived the specific covenants for six months. In consideration for this waiver, the Company granted Trident a warrant to purchase 25,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.60 per share. The warrant is exercisable immediately and will expire on April 30, 2012. As of June 30, 2003, the Company failed to meet the loan covenants again and Trident waived the covenant for until June 30, 2004. As consideration for Trident's second waiver of the loan covenants and extension of the maturity date of the original \$1,500,000 note, on July 29, 2003 the Company granted Trident a warrant to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock at an initial exercise price of \$1.60 per share. The warrant is exercisable immediately and will expire on April 30, 2012. These warrants were valued at \$189,000 using the Black-Scholes Method and will be expensed over the extension of the notes. As of December 31, 2003, the Company had amortized \$49,700 to expense.

The Company has allocated the proceeds from issuance of the convertible Trident promissory note and warrants based on a fair value basis for each item. Consequently, the convertible Trident promissory note was recorded with discounts of \$632,451 based on the ascribed value of the warrants as determined by using the Black-Scholes Method. The note discounts for the warrant will be amortized over the period from the date of issuance to the maturity date of the note. Beneficial conversion discounts of \$1,467,549 were recorded since the Trident promissory note was convertible into common shares of stock at a rate of \$1.60 per share while the prevailing common stock share price was \$3.10 and \$2.10 on April 5, 2003 and July 29, 2003, respectively, when the original \$1,500,000 and additional \$600,000 note was made. These discounts are being amortized over the term of the loan. As of December 31, 2003 and 2002, the Company amortized \$1,161,636 and \$531,018 of the discount.

#### Note 12 - Notes Payable (Continued)

Under the terms of the loan agreement, the Company was required to register all shares of its common stock issuable upon conversion of the note or exercise of the warrants by October 2002. The Company would have been subject to a monthly penalty of either 25,000 shares of its common stock or \$10,000, at the option of the lender. However, the Company was granted a waiver until June 30, 2004 regarding the requirement.

During October 2002, the Company issued two unsecured 12% convertible promissory notes to a lender in the amount of \$750,000 and \$800,000, respectively. Both notes matured on October 15, 2003. In the event of default, the notes bear interest at 15% per annum. The lender has the option to convert the principal amount of the note into common stock of the Company at a conversion price of \$3.25. The lender was issued a warrant to purchase a total of 232,500 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$5.00 per share as an additional incentive to make the loans. The warrant expires on October 15, 2005. Interest is payable in cash or common stock of the Company. On October 17, 2003, the loan was amended to extend the maturity date to June 30, 2004 and reduce the conversion price from \$3.25 to \$2.50. On February 26, 2004, the loan was amended again to reduce the conversion price from \$2.50 to \$1.75. The notes with outstanding principal of \$1,550,000 and accrued interest were then converted into 1,026,624 shares of the Company's common stock (see Note 21). As consideration for the lender's extension of the note, the Company granted the lender a warrant to purchase 50,000 shares of the common stock at an exercise price of \$5.00 per share. The warrants are exercisable immediately and will expire on October 17, 2006. These warrants were valued at \$53,900 using the Black-Scholes Method and will be expensed over the extension of the notes. As of December 31, 2003, the Company had amortized \$15,900 to expense.

The Company has allocated the proceeds from issuance of the convertible promissory notes and warrants based on a fair value basis of each item. Consequently, the convertible promissory notes were recorded with a discount of \$341,349 and \$378,406, respectively, based on the ascribed value of the warrants as determined by using the Black-Scholes Method. The note discount for the warrant will be amortized over the period from the date of issuance to the stated maturity date of the note. An additional beneficial conversion discount of \$408,651 and \$421,594 was recorded for the \$750,000 and \$800,000 promissory notes, respectively, since the notes were convertible into common shares of stock at a rate of \$3.25 per share while the prevailing common stock share price was \$4.90 and \$5.05, respectively. This discount will also be amortized over the term of the loan. As of December 31, 2003 and 2002, the Company had amortized a total discount of \$750,000 and \$800,000, and \$107,923 and \$99,528, respectively, for the \$750,000 and \$800,000 notes.

In February 2003, Knox Miss Partners, L.P. borrowed \$1,200,000 from Gibralt US, Inc. and issued a promissory note bearing interest at 12%. The note was payable the earlier of June 30, 2003 or upon closing of \$2,000,000 of equity financing by the Company. The lender also received 75,000 shares of common stock in the Company for making the loan. The loan was guaranteed by the Company and FEQ Investments. This note was paid in July 2003.

In April 2003, PHT Partners, L.P. borrowed \$190,000 from Louisiana Shelf Partners, L.P. and issued a 10% demand note. The note was paid in May 2003.

During June 2003, PHT Partners, L.P. borrowed \$143,900 from Louisiana X Investors, LLC and issued a 10% demand note. This note was paid in July 2003.

In July 2003, BWP borrowed \$370,000 from Louisiana X Investors, LLC and issued a 10% demand note. The note was paid in July 2003.

In July 2003, Knox Miss issued a promissory note for \$200,000 to Louisiana X Investors, LLC. The note was paid in July 2003.

### Note 13 - Limited Partnership Interest Transferred Under Contractual Arrangements/Deferred Equity Option

The Company entered into a security purchase agreement on August 27, 2003 with RAM Trading, Ltd. ("RAM"), whereby RAM purchased 150,000 shares of the Company's common stock and 7 of the Company's 25.25 limited partnership units in Louisiana Shelf Partners, L.P. The total purchase price was \$1,000,000 of which \$304,500 was allocated to the sale of the common stock. The remaining \$695,500 of the purchase price was treated as a deferred equity option. The agreement provided the Company a call option to purchase the limited partnership interest back from RAM and RAM a put option to sell the interest back to the Company. In accordance with Staff Accounting Bulletin ("SAB") Topic 5E: Accounting for Divestiture of a Subsidiary or Other Business Operation, SAB 101, "Revenue Recognition" and Financial Accounting Standard ("FAS") No. 48, "Revenue Recognition When Right of Return Exists," the sale of the interest was not recognized for accounting purposes. The carrying value of the limited partnership was not affected by the transaction nor was a gain or loss was reported from the sale of the limited partnership interest. The cost basis of this interest has been reclassified to a separate line in the balance sheet labeled "Limited Partnership Interest Transferred Under Contractual Arrangement". The Company paid \$60,000 investment banking fee related to this transaction. The Company exercised its call option to buy back the limited partnership interest from RAM on October 20, 2003 and issued 650,000 shares of the Company's common stock in full payment of the option. The exercise of the call option resulted in an increase in the Company's equity of \$695,500 and a corresponding decrease in the deferred equity option.

The Company entered into a security purchase agreement on October 28, 2003 with RAM, whereby RAM purchased 150,000 shares of the Company's common stock and 10 of the Company's 99 limited partnership units in Knox Miss Partners, L.P. The total purchase price was \$1,200,000 of which \$330,000 was allocated to the sale of the common stock. The remaining \$870,000 of the purchase price was treated as a deferred equity option. The agreement provided the Company a call option to purchase the limited partnership interest back from RAM and RAM a put option to sell the interest back to the Company. In accordance with Staff Accounting Bulletin ("SAB") Topic 5E: Accounting for Divestiture of a Subsidiary or Other Business Operation, SAB 101: Revenue Recognition and Financial Accounting Standard ("FAS") No. 48: Revenue Recognition When Right of Return Exists, the sale of the interest was not recognized for accounting purposes. The carrying value of the limited partnership was not affected by the transaction nor was a gain or loss was reported from the sale of the limited partnership interest. The cost basis of this interest has been reclassified to a separate line in the balance sheet labeled "Limited Partnership Interest Transferred Under Contractual Arrangement". The Company paid \$60,000 investment banking fee related to this transaction. The security purchase agreement was amended on December 10, 2003 to increase the number of shares issuable upon exercise of the option to 835,000. The Company exercised its call option to buy back the limited partnership interest from RAM on February 10, 2004 and issued 835,000 shares of the Company's common stock in full payment of the option. The exercise of the call option will result in an increase in the Company's equity of \$870,000 and a corresponding decrease in the deferred equity option.

### Note 14 - Private Placement Offerings — Subscription Receivable / Notes Payable

In March 2002 in a private placement offering, the Company received subscription commitments for funding an aggregate of \$3,550,000 to be collected over a period of approximately twelve months. As of December 31, 2002, the Company had collected \$1,410,000, reduced the receivable by \$53,750 for services provided by two officers through June 30, 2002 and had outstanding subscription receivables of \$2,086,250, of which \$906,250 was due from an entity affiliated with the president of the Company. As of December 31, 2003, the Company had collected the remaining \$2,086,250 along with \$60,486 in accrued interest. The subscription receivables bore interest at 2.69%. An additional \$600,000 was subscribed to and paid in May 2002. Concurrently with this offering the Company issued an option to an investor as an inducement to accelerate funding its commitment. The option granted the investor a right to invest an additional \$550,000 in the Company, at the same terms and conditions as its initial investment within 180 days from the date it funded its original investment. The fair value of the option was

### Note 14 - Private Placement Offerings - Subscription Receivable / Notes Payable (Continued)

calculated in an independent valuation to be \$6,100, which was expensed upon issuance. The investor exercised the option in September 2002.

In exchange for the cash proceeds and subscriptions receivable, the Company issued unsecured convertible promissory notes which were to mature one year from issuance and bore interest at 8% per annum. These notes were converted to common stock and shares of Series A preferred stock on May 31, 2002 when the Company's shareholders approved the amendments to the Company's Articles of Incorporation to increase the Company's authorized shares of common stock to 150,000,000, authorize approximately 10,000,000 shares of undesignated preferred stock, par value \$.001 per share, and the Board of Directors authorized the issuance of shares of Series A preferred stock (the "May 2002 Article Amendment"). The original principal amount of the promissory notes converted into a number of Units equal to the original principal amount of the promissory note divided by the conversion price of \$1.00 per Unit. Each Unit consisted of approximately 1.428571 fully-paid shares of common stock and approximately .9857141 fully-paid shares of Series A Preferred Stock. The conversion of the \$4,150,000 notes resulted in the issuance of 5,928,790 of common shares and 4,090,713 of Series A preferred shares.

#### Note 15 - Stockholders' Equity

Common Stock

The Common Stock is \$.001 par value common stock, 150,000,000 shares authorized. As of December 31, 2003, 37,144,668 common shares were issued and outstanding.

Series A Preferred Stock

The Series A Preferred Stock is to pay dividends of 8% of the original issuing price per share per annum, which are cumulative prior to any dividends on the common stock or any series of stock to be created. The holders of each share of Series A Preferred Stock are entitled to be paid out of available funds prior to any distributions to holders of common stock, in the amount of \$1.00 per outstanding share plus all accrued dividends. The outstanding Series A preferred stockholders are entitled to vote as a separate class and are entitled to elect a majority of the directors that constitute the board at any time. The holders of the common shares are entitled to elect the remaining directors.

The Company may, upon approval of its Board (assuming the recusal from any such vote of all members of the Board elected by the Holders of the Series A preferred stock), redeem all or a portion of the outstanding shares of Series A preferred stock at a cost of the liquidation preference and all accrued and unpaid dividends.

#### Series B Preferred Stock

The Series B Preferred Stock is to pay dividends of 8% of the original issuing price per share per annum, which are cumulative prior to any dividends on the common stock and on parity with the payment of any dividend or other distribution on the Series A Preferred Stock and any other series of preferred stock that has similar characteristics. The holders of each share of Series B Preferred Stock are entitled to be paid out of available funds prior to any distributions to holders of common stock in the amount of \$100.00 per outstanding share plus all accrued dividends.

At any time after the earlier of (i) five business days after the date on which the Company sells, assigns, transfers or refinances certain of its working interests in an exploration project located in Vermillion, Louisiana, and receives net proceeds equal to at least \$10,000,000, or (ii) the third anniversary of the initial issuance date of the Series B Preferred Stock, the Company may, upon approval of its Board redeem all or a portion of the outstanding shares of Series B preferred stock at a cost of the liquidation preference and all accrued and unpaid dividends.

#### Note 15 - Stockholders' Equity (Continued)

Series C Convertible Preferred Stock

The Series C Preferred Stock is to pay dividends of 6% of the original issue price (\$10.00) per share per annum, which are cumulative prior to any dividends on the common stock and on parity with the payment of any dividend or other distribution on the Series A Preferred Stock, Series B Preferred Stock, and any other series of preferred stock that has similar characteristics. The holders of each share of Series C Convertible Preferred Stock are entitled to be paid out of available funds prior to any distributions to holders of common stock in the amount of \$10.00 per outstanding share plus all accrued dividends. The holders of each share of Series C Preferred Stock may convert their shares to common stock at an initial conversion price of \$2.00. This conversion price may be adjusted and reset if common stock is sold for less than the conversion price in effect at the time of the sale in addition to other criteria as set forth in the Certificate of Designation of Series C Preferred Stock "(Certificate of Designation"). If these reset provisions are triggered then additional preferred stock dividends related to the beneficial conversion feature will be recorded.

The Company may redeem all or a portion of the outstanding shares of Series C Convertible Preferred Stock at a cost of the liquidation preference and all accrued and unpaid dividends. The redemption may occur at any time at the Company's sole discretion upon thirty days' written notice to the holders of the Series C Convertible Preferred Stock provided that (i) the average of the closing bid prices of the Corporation's Common Stock during the twenty trading days preceding the date of the "Redemption notice" as reported on the primary market or exchange on which the Corporation's Common Stock is then trading equals or exceeds \$6.00 per share, and (ii) the shares of Common Stock issuable upon conversion of the Series C Convertible Preferred Stock are subject to an effective registration statement permitting the public resale of such shares under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Act"). In the event that the Corporation redeems less than all of the outstanding Series C Convertible Preferred Stock, it shall redeem such shares pro rata among all the holders of the Series C Convertible Preferred Stock.

During the period from January 13, 2000 (inception) to December 31, 2000, the Company issued 112,000 shares of its common stock for \$7,000 and 336,000 shares of its common stock as compensation to its officers. The Company valued the shares issued for compensation at \$21,000, which was the fair market value as of the issuance date.

During 2001, the Company received \$75,000 in proceeds from the issuance of 1,200,000 shares of common stock.

In February 2002, as partial consideration for the \$10,000,000 private placement, the Company issued 3,285,100 shares of common stock and warrants to purchase 11,000,000 shares of common stock with an aggregate exercise price of \$2.00 valued at \$875,200, which were exercised May 31, 2002. The Company issued a warrant to purchase 450,000 shares of common stock, at an aggregate exercise price of \$1, to a registered broker dealer as payment of a commission for services rendered in connection with the \$10,000,000 private offering. The warrants were converted into 450,000 common shares of stock on May 31, 2002. The Company recorded this non-cash item as a loan cost of \$45,000 based on the ascribed value of the warrants, which was charged to interest expense. The above common stock and warrants had an ascribed value of \$.02 per share.

From February through August 2002, as consideration for services rendered in connection with the acquisition of the interest in Hell Hole Bayou, the Company issued to an unrelated party a warrant to purchase 300,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$2.25 per share valued at \$3,000. This warrant will expire on February 20, 2005. As additional consideration the Company issued a warrant to purchase 100,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an aggregate exercise price of \$1.00 valued at \$10,000. The warrant was automatically deemed exercised upon the May 2002 Article Amendment. The ascribed value of the warrants, totaling \$13,000, increased the cost of the Prospect interest purchased and was a non-cash item.

#### **Note 15 - Stockholders' Equity (Continued)**

In April 2002, as additional incentive for the Gemini loan of \$1,500,000, the Company issued to Trident a warrant to purchase 150,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$1.60 per share exercisable immediately. The warrant will expire on the earlier of April 30, 2012 or the note conversion date.

In April and May 2002, the Company issued and sold mandatory convertible promissory notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$3,650,000 to three accredited investors pursuant to Rule 506. The maturity date of the notes was one year from the date of the notes. The offering generated gross proceeds to the Company of \$3,650,000. The Company expensed a 10% commission with respect to this offering. Concurrent with the May 2002 Article Amendment, the Company effected a 1 for 5 reverse stock split of common stock shares and automatically converted the principal amount of those notes into an aggregate of 2,281,250 shares of common stock.

In April and September 2002, the Company cancelled 448,000 shares of common stock, which were tendered to the Company for cancellation by former officers of the Company when it was named Expression Graphics, Inc.

Upon the May 2002 Article Amendment, the Company converted \$4,150,000 of debt into 5,928,790 shares of common stock and 4,090,713 shares of Series A preferred stock.

During June and July 2002, the Company sold 1,893,338 shares of common stock in a private placement for \$2.25 per share of which 155,000 shares were issuable as of December 31, 2002. The Company recorded \$772,850 in offering costs and \$26,307 in legal costs related to the private placement.

As consideration for services rendered in connection with the acquisition of the interest in Waha/Lockridge, the Company issued to an unrelated party a warrant to purchase 100,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$2.25 per share valued at \$140,000. This warrant will expire on August 7, 2005.

In September 2002, the Company authorized and designated 500,000 shares of Preferred Stock, as Series B Preferred Stock par value \$.001 per share.

In September 2002, the Company converted \$3,942,859 in promissory notes for 39,429 shares of the Company's Series B Preferred Stock. As of December 31, 2003, subscription receivable in the amount of \$250,000 remained outstanding, which was included in the transfer of the non-core assets to the Series A and certain of the Series B Preferred Stock holders in February 2004 (see Note 21).

In September 2002, an investor exercised its option to invest an additional \$550,000 in the Company, at the same terms and conditions as its initial investment. The investor issued the Company a subscription note for \$550,000 and the Company in turn issued a note for \$550,000. This \$550,000 was immediately converted into a number of units equal to the original principal amount of the promissory note divided by the conversion price of \$1.00 per unit. Each unit consisted of 1.428584 shares of common stock and approximately 0.009867 shares of Series B preferred stock. Accordingly, the \$550,000 note was converted into 785,721 issuable shares of common stock and 5,427 issued Series A preferred stock. As of December 31, 2003, \$175,000 of the subscription receivable remained outstanding. The subscription receivable and accrued interest were included in the transfer of the non-core assets to the Series A and certain of the Series B Preferred Stock holders in February 2004 (see Note 21).

In October 2002, as additional incentive for a lender to loan a total of \$1,550,000 to the Company, the Company issued to the lender a warrant to purchase a total of 232,500 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$5.00 per share exercisable at any time through the expiration date of October 2005.

In November 2002, the Company sold 76,923 shares of common stock in a private placement for \$3.25 per share of which 76,923 shares were issuable as of December 31, 2002. The Company recorded \$25,000 in offering costs and \$1,096 in legal costs related to the raise.

#### Note 15 - Stockholders' Equity (Continued)

In December 2002, the Company converted \$9,857,149 in promissory notes into 98,571 shares of the Company's Series B Preferred Stock.

In May 2003, the Company issued to the former Class B member of BWP and its designee (consisting of creditors and consultants of BWP) 3,300,000 shares of common stock and 1,650,000 warrants at an exercise price of \$2.00 per share expiring in three years as consideration for its purchase of the 100% of the Class B Membership in BWP.

In May 2003, the Company authorized and designated 1,500,000 shares of Preferred Stock, as Series C Convertible Preferred Stock with a par value \$.001 per share.

Between May and July 2003, the Company sold 477,500 shares of Series C Convertible Preferred Stock in a private placement for \$10.00 per share. The Company is required to register the resale of all shares of the common stock upon conversion of the Series C Preferred Stock, within 90 days of the date of termination of the offering. The Company recorded \$307,200 in offering costs related to this offering. In February 2004, the Company's Series C Preferred shareholders converted all of their 477,500 shares of Series C Preferred Stock into 2,808,824 shares of the Company's common stock (see Note 21).

As discussed in Note 14, the Company entered into two securities purchase agreements with RAM during 2003. In connection with these agreements the Company has issued 950,000 shares of the Company's common stock to RAM in consideration of proceeds of \$1,330,000.

In December 2003, RAM entered into an agreement with Lancer Offshore, Inc. and Lancer Partners, L.P. to purchase 14,097,672 shares of common stock and 103,500.07 shares of Series B Preferred Stock (collectively, the "Lancer Shares") for \$5,280,948. Concurrent with the execution of the foregoing agreement, the Company entered into an agreement with RAM to purchase the Lancer Shares for \$5,330,948 subject to RAM completing the purchase of the Lancer Shares which was finalized February 2004.

The preferred dividends accrued at December 31, 2003 amounted to \$1,926,756 in total, of which \$518,157, \$1,242,975, and \$165,625 pertained to Series A, B and C, respectively.

The preferred dividends accrued at December 31, 2002 amounted to \$284,298 in total, of which \$190,900 and \$93,398 pertained to Series A and B, respectively.

Since the Company's common stock price exceeded the initial conversion price of the Series C Preferred Stock there was a beneficial conversion feature recorded as a preferred stock dividend in the amount of \$2,763,250 as of December 31, 2003.

#### Note 15 - Stockholders' Equity (Continued)

Stock Warrants

The Company had the following outstanding warrants to purchase its common stock at December 31, 2003 and 2002:

		December	31, 2003		December	r 31, 2002
<b>Expiration Date</b>	Exerc	ise Price	Shares	Exerci	se Price	Shares
2/20/2005	\$	2.25	300,000	\$	2.25	300,000
8/7/2005 10/18/2005	\$ \$	2.25 5.00	100,000 112,500	\$ \$	2.25 5.00	100,000 112,500
10/30/2005 5/20/2006	\$ \$	5.00 2.00	120,000 1,650,000	\$	5.00	120,000
10/17/2006 7/23/2008	\$ \$	5.00 1.60	50,000 150,000	•	- '	-
4/30/2012	\$	1.60	275,000	\$	1.60	150,000
		•	2,757,500			782,500

These warrants were issued in connection with the acquisition of Hell Hole, Potato Hills and Waha/Lockridge interests, the issuance and extension of convertible promissory notes and the waiver of certain loan convenants to the convertible noteholder.

The weighted average grant-date fair value of warrants granted during 2003 and 2002 was \$1,739,372 and \$916,058, respectively.

#### Stock Options

The Company had the following outstanding common stock options to purchase its securities at December 31:

	2003			2002			
Description of Series	Number of Options issued		cise Price r Share	Number of Options issued		cise Price er Share	
Expire July 2004	50,000	\$	5.00	-	•	-	
Expire August 2008 Expire May 2006	500,000 250,000	\$ \$	2.30 3.00	500,000	, \$	5.00	
Expire July 2006	25,000	\$	3.00	·		- · · · -	
Expire August 2008	200,000	\$	5.00	·		, <b>~</b>	
Common Stock	1,025,000			500,000			

#### **Note 15 - Stockholders' Equity (Continued)**

The following tables summarize the Company's stock option activity and related information:

e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	••	Number of Shares
Balance as of December 31, 2001		
Granted	*	500,000
Balance as of December 31, 2002	, A	500,000
Granted	• •	525,000
Balance as of December 31, 2003		1,025,000

	OPTION	NS OUTSTANDING	ł	OPTIONS EXE	RCISABLE
Range of Exercise Prices	Number of Outstanding Shares at December 31, 2003	Weighted Average Remaining Contract Life	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable at December 31, 2003	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$ 5.00 \$ 3.00 \$ 2.30	475,000	0.5 3.3 4.6	\$ 5.00 \$ 3.00 \$ 2.30	50,000 475,000 500,000	\$ 5.00 \$ 3.00 \$ 2.30
	OPTION	NS OUTSTANDING	ł	OPTIONS EXE	RCISABLE
Range of Exercise Prices	Number of Outstanding Shares at December 31, 2002	Weighted Average Remaining Contract Life	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable at December 31, 2002	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$ 5.00	500,000	2.0	\$ 5.00	500,000	\$ 5.00

The weighted average grant-date fair value of options granted during 2003 and 2002 was \$348,917 and \$1,304,638, respectively.

In December 2002, the Company granted 100,000 options to each of the five directors of the Company for a total of 500,000 options as director compensation. The options, with an exercise price of \$5.00 per share subject to adjustment, are exercisable immediately and expire on December 4, 2004. On August 8, 2003, the Company modified the terms of the 500,000 options granted to its directors in 2002 by reducing the option exercise price from \$5.00 to \$2.30 per share and extending the option expiration date from November 4, 2004 to August 4, 2008.

In May 2003, the Company granted 250,000 options to Sunrise Financial Group, Inc. ("Sunrise") as compensation for the consulting service Sunrise provided for a minimum of five months. The option, which is fully vested at December, 31, 2003, is exercisable at \$3.00 per share and expires in three years. The option was valued at \$516,400 and expensed.

#### Note 15 - Stockholders' Equity (Continued)

In July 2003, the Company issued options to purchase 25,000 shares of the Company's common stock for \$3.00 per share to designees of Stern & Co., Inc. in connection with their assistance in obtaining D&O insurance. The options are exercisable immediately and expire in three years. The options were valued at \$41,700 using the Black Scholes Method and expensed.

In July 2003, the Company granted 50,000 options to Rhodes Ventures, S.A ("Rhodes") as compensation for the consulting service Rhodes will provide for twelve months. The option is exercisable at \$5.00 per share and expires in one year. The option was valued at \$23,100 and charged to deferred compensation. As of December 31, 2003, \$22,100 has been charged to expense.

In August 2003 the Company issued 100,000 shares of common stock and granted options to purchase 200,000 shares of common stock to a newly appointed director as director compensation. The options vest immediately at an exercise price of \$3.00 per share and will expire on August 3, 2008.

All stock options have been granted pursuant to stock option plans that were not subject to shareholder approval.

### Note 16 - Related Party Transactions - Not Described Elsewhere / Concentrations

In 2003, the Company relied upon Touchstone USA to provide it with additional reserve assessment analysis and engineering services in connection with the exploration and development of its prospects.

The president of Touchstone USA is the managing member of PHT Gas, LLC, which was the general partner of PHT Partners, LP as of December 31, 2003.

In 2002, Mr. Harrington, the former President of the Company, initially provided services to the Company without compensation. In addition, an affiliate of the president provided accounting services to the Company without charge. For the three months ended March 31, 2002 the value of these services was de minimis. In accordance with the accounting treatment proscribed in the SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin Topic 5-T, the Company recorded as expense an amount representing the value of these services provided prospectively.

In June 2002, the Company borrowed funds in the amount of \$300,000 from an affiliate of the president of the Company. The loan was repaid as of December 31, 2002.

During the first three months of 2002, two officers of the Company offset their compensation, valued at \$53,750, against liabilities they had owed to the Company. No further offset was allowed by the Company.

In August 2002, the Company purchased 819,000 shares representing approximately 7% of ownership in BPK Resources, Inc. The Company and BPK Resources have one common director who is also president of BPK Resources. As of December 31, 2003, the Company held 864,500 shares of BPK Resources common stock.

The Company acquired 20,000 shares of common stock of ILCD, and in August 2002 the Company also loaned ILCD a total of \$475,000. At the time of both of these transactions, the president of the Company was also the president of ILCD (see Note 5).

During 2002, the Company and its subsidiary Knox-Miss entered into long-term consulting agreements of \$6,000 and \$3,000 per month, respectively, with ESC Consulting, Inc. At the time the contract was executed the president of ESC Consulting was also the president of FEQ Investments, Inc. (the former managing member of PHT Gas, LLC and CSR, LLC). Subsequently, the president of FEQ Investments resigned and a new president was appointed.

### Note 16 - Related Party Transactions – Not Described Elsewhere / Concentrations (Continued)

During 2002, the Company paid investment-banking fees of \$200,000 and \$260,000, respectively, to FEQ Investments, Inc. and KAB Investments, Inc. (a company under common ownership with FEQ Investments, Inc.). The investment banking fees related to the acquisition of certain oil and gas properties and certain convertible debt placements. As of December 31, 2002, \$385,000 of these fees were capitalized as costs related to the acquisition of oil and gas properties. During 2002, \$75,000 was recorded as prepaid loan fees which were amortized of the life of the loans.

During March 2003, the Company paid investment-banking fees of \$60,000 to FEQ. The investment-banking fees related to the certain debt placements for the benefit of Knox Miss Partners, L.P.

In January 2003, LSP loaned FEQ (the former managing member of PHT Gas, LLC and CSR, LLC) \$1,220,000 and received a 10% promissory note. As of December 31, 2003, principal in the amount of \$5,000 remained outstanding along with \$59,638 in accrued interest. In the fourth quarter of 2003, LSP loaned an additional \$125,000 to FEQ which was outstanding at December 2003.

In January 2003, SPH paid the full balance of its outstanding subscription agreements amounting to \$906,250.

In May 2003, FEQ paid the full balance of its outstanding promissory note amounting to \$139,204, which included \$3,204 of accrued interest.

In June 2003, Shannon M. Harrington Trust paid the full balance of its outstanding subscription agreement amounting to \$76,834, which included \$1,834 of accrued interest.

In June 2003, SPH Investments Profit Sharing Plan paid the full balance of its outstanding subscription agreement amounting to \$25,611, which included \$611 of accrued interest.

In June 2003, Tara J. Harrington Trust paid the full balance of its outstanding subscription agreement amounting to \$76,834, which included \$1,834 of accrued interest:

In June 2003, W. Stephen Harrington Trust paid the full balance of its outstanding subscription agreement amounting to \$76,834, which included \$1,834 of accrued interest.

During July and August, FEQ paid \$305,000 of its outstanding subscription agreement. As of December 31, 2003, \$175,000 remained outstanding along with \$17,008 in accrued interest.

hait satish tale sati

During 2003, the Company paid legal fees in cash and common stock amounting to \$21,158 to Joseph Fioravanti. The legal fees related to various professional services rendered to the Company. Mr. Fioravanti is a current member of the Company's board of directors.

#### Note 17 - Supplementary Cash Flow Disclosures

Cash paid during the period for interest and income taxes was as follows:

	Decemb	per 31,
and the specific of the second	2003	2002
Interest paid	\$ 210,204	\$ 132,081
Income taxes paid	\$ -	\$ <u>-</u> :

#### Non-Cash Investing and Financing Transactions

As consideration for services rendered in connection with the Prospect and the notes payable (see Note 7 and 13) the Company issued warrants. As a result, \$13,000 and \$45,000 were recorded as additional interest in the Prospect and as loan costs, respectively.

The Company recorded a reduction in the amount of its unrealized loss on the investment in marketable securities of \$510,714 for the year ended December 31, 2003. The Company recorded an unrealized loss in the amount of \$999,750 in connection with the Company's investment in marketable securities and warrants for the year ended December 31, 2002.

The Company incurred loan costs of \$40,000 and \$75,000 which were deducted from the proceeds of the loans from Trident in 2003 and 2002, respectively.

In 2002, loan costs of \$80,000 and \$75,000 were deducted from the proceeds of the \$800,000 and \$750,000 loan, respectively.

The Company recorded discounts on the Trident note payable of \$600,000 and \$1,500,000 due to the value of attached warrants and the beneficial conversion feature on the promissory note in 2003 and 2002, respectively (see Note 12).

In 2002, the Company recorded a discount of \$800,000 and \$750,000, respectively, on two notes due to the value of attached warrants and the beneficial conversion feature on the promissory notes (see Note 12).

Two officers offset their salaries in the amount of \$53,750 against subscriptions receivable for the Company's stock during the six months ended June 30, 2002.

The Company acquired various oil and gas interests of which the Company owed \$52,996 as of December 31, 2003 and \$1,164,135 as of December 31, 2002.

As consideration for 2002 services rendered in connection with the acquisition of interest in Waha/Lockridge, the Company issued warrants. The value of the warrants, which was \$140,000, was recorded as other capitalized costs in the Waha/Lockridge Prospect.

In 2002, the Company converted the \$3,942,826 and \$9,857,149 notes payable to Lancer into shares of the Company's preferred Series B stock (see Note 12).

The Company recorded \$1,642,458 and \$284,298 in dividends in 2003 and 2002, respectively.

#### **Note 17 - Supplementary Cash Flow Disclosures (Continued)**

The Company recorded a dividend in the amount of \$2,763,250 related to the beneficial conversion feature included in the Series C preferred stock issued in 2003.

The Company issued common stock and warrants to acquire its interest in BWP in 2003.

In 2003, the Company recorded \$47,000 as the value of the stock granted by BPK for the extension of the maturity date of the note receivable owed by BPK.

In 2003, the Company recorded \$242,900 as the value of the warrants granted to two lenders for the extension of the maturity dates of the loans from those lenders.

#### **Note 18 - Operating Leases**

In September 2002, the Company entered into a lease agreement for office space. The lease period is for 61 months commencing on November 1, 2002. Rent will be \$19,370 in year one, \$20,115 in year two, \$20,860 in year three, \$21,605 in year four, and \$22,350 in year five.

#### Note 19 - Comprehensive Loss

Excluding net loss, the Company's source of comprehensive loss is from the net unrealized loss on its marketable debt securities, which are classified as available-for-sale. The following summarizes the components of comprehensive loss:

	Year Ended December 31,					
		2003		2002		
Nation 1	; on	(22.842.755)	<del>-</del>	£ (0.29(.044)		
Net loss	\$	(32,842,755)	j	\$ (8,386,844)		
Unrealized loss		(465,032)		(999,750)		
Reclassification adjustment for loss realized in net loss above		975,746	*, ·			
Unrealized gain (loss), net		510,714	-	(999,750)		
Comprehensive loss	\$	(32,332,041)	 	(9,386,594)		

#### Note 20 - Commitments and Contingencies

#### General

The oil and gas industry is subject to regulation by federal, state and local authorities. In particular, gas and oil production operations and economics are affected by environmental protection statutes, tax statutes and other laws and regulations relating to the petroleum industry. The Company believes it is in compliance with all federal, state

#### Note 20 - Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)

and local laws, regulations applicable to the Company and its properties and operations, the violation of which would have a material adverse effect on the Company or its financial condition.

#### Operating Hazards and Insurance

The gas and oil business involves a variety of operating risks, including the risk of fire, explosions, blow-outs, pipe failure, abnormally pressured formation, and environmental hazards such as oil spills, gas leaks, ruptures or discharges of toxic gases, the occurrence of any of which could result in substantial losses to the Company due to injury or loss of life, severe damage to or destruction of property, natural resources and equipment, pollution or other environmental damage, cleanup responsibilities, regulatory investigation and penalties and suspension of operations.

There can be no assurance that insurance, if any, will be adequate to cover any losses or exposure to liability. Although the Company believes these policies provide coverage in scope and in amounts customary in the industry, they do not provide complete coverage against all operating risks. An uninsured or partially insured claim, if successful and of significant magnitude, could have a material adverse effect on the Company and its financial condition via its contractual liability to the Prospect.

#### Potential Loss of Oil and Gas Interests/ Payments Due

If the Company does not pay its share of future AFE invoices it may have to forfeit all of its rights in certain of its interests in the Prospect and any related profits. If one or more of the other members of the Prospect fail to pay their share of the Prospect costs, the Company may need to pay additional funds to protect its investment.

#### Legal Proceeding

On or about May 3, 2003, Knox Miss filed a complaint in the District Court Of Harris County, Texas, 234th Judicial District against Clayton Williams Energy, Inc. (the "Defendant") as a result of Defendant's breach of that certain Exploration and Development Agreement dated on or about May 23, 2002 (the "Agreement"). Under the Agreement, Knox Miss has the right to participate for a 50% share of certain leases acquired by Defendant during the term of the Agreement. Although Knox Miss had elected to participate in the acquisition of certain additional leases and paid in excess of \$1.7 million to Defendant between July and December 2002 in payment of its share of the acquisition costs, in April 2003, Defendant notified Knox Miss that it would not permit Knox Miss to participate, alleging that the foregoing payments were not received within the time frame set forth in the Agreement. Knox Miss seeks a declaratory judgment establishing its right under the Agreement to participate in the acquisition of the leases at issue and damages arising from Defendant's breach of the Agreement together with attorney's fees, interest and court costs. Defendant has denied all allegations.

On October 31, 2003, Defendant filed a counterclaim against Knox Miss and a third party petition against PHT Gas, LLC alleging that Knox Miss breached the Agreement by assigning an overriding royalty interest to PHT Gas, LLC in the area of mutual interest subject to the Agreement to PHT Gas, LLC. Defendant seeks a declaratory judgment establishing its rights under the Agreement and an order of specific performance compelling Knox Miss to convey the royalty interest to Defendant together with attorney's fees. Knox Miss has not answered the counterclaim and the parties are in settlement discussions with respect to both claims. As part of the Restructuring, all of the Company's interests and obligations in Knox Miss were sold in February 2004.

On or about March 4, 2004, the GHK Company, LLC, GHK/Potato Hills Limited Partnership, and Brian F. Egolf (collectively "Plaintiffs") commenced an action against Endeavour International Corporation ("Endeavour"), f/k/a Continental Southern Resources, Inc., (collectively "defendants") as well as BWP Gas, L.L.C. ("BWP") and HBA Gas, Inc. ("HBA") in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. In the petition, Plaintiffs allege that CSOR intended to acquire a

#### **Note 20 - Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)**

majority of the membership interests in BWP and that HBA in turn entered into an agreement to assign Plaintiff 2.5 million common shares of CSOR stock upon compliance by Plaintiffs with certain contractual obligations including but not limited to completion and initial commercial production of the Mary #2-34 well, along with the presentation of a developments plan and the commencement of the next exploration or development well in the Potato Hills Deep Prospect. Plaintiffs further allege in their petition that BWP, HBA and Endeavour are alter egos of each other and jointly and severally liable to Plaintiffs for failing to deliver Plaintiffs the CSOR common stock. Plaintiffs seek delivery of the stock as well as a temporary restraining order, a primary and permanent injunction (i) enjoining all dilutions of Plaintiff rights pertaining to CSOR stock; (ii) enjoining Endeavour from all future stock issuances and transfers of assets not in the ordinary course of business and (iii) prohibiting the alienation or encumbrance of the CSOR stock that is allegedly in Plaintiff's possession. Management intends to litigate vigorously and believes it has good and valid defenses. However, the action has just begun and counsel is unable to opine on the outcome of the litigation.

#### **Note 21 - Subsequent Events**

On February 4, 2004, the Company issued a private placement offering of 125,000 shares of the Company's common stock, \$.001 par value per share at \$2.00 per share.

The Company exercised its call option to buy back the Knox Miss limited partnership interest from RAM on February 10, 2004 and issued 835,000 shares of the Company's common stock in full payment of the option.

On February 9, 2004, the Company issued a 3% promissory note to 1025 Investments, Inc. for \$10,000.

On February 17, 2004, PHT issued a 3% promissory note to 1025 Investments, Inc. for \$24,000.

On February 27, 2004, the Company announced that it has completed a series of mutually interdependent transactions that will significantly expand the scope and objectives of the company under the leadership of a new management team.

In an offering of common stock (the "Offering") that closed on February 26, 2004, the Company issued 25,000,000 shares of common stock at \$2.00 per share in a private placement. The estimated net proceeds of the Offering were \$46,000,000 after deduction of placement agent commissions of \$2,500,000, financial advisory fees of \$1,250,000 and offering expenses, estimated to be \$250,000. In addition, warrants to purchase 700,000 shares of common stock at \$2.00 per share were issued to the placement agent. The net proceeds were used for the purchase of 14,097,672 shares of the Company's common stock and 103,500.07 shares of the Company's Series B Preferred Stock for \$5,330,948 (see Note 15), for repayment of the principal amount of Trident note in the amount of \$1,500,000, and with the remainder to be used for general corporate purposes, including potential acquisitions. The Company is required to use its best efforts to file a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") within six months of closing of the Offering to permit the public resale of the offered shares and the common stock reserved for issuance upon exercise of the placement agent warrants. If the Company offers equity securities in a private placement at a price or conversion price, as the case may be, less than \$2.00 per share (other than shares reserved for issuance upon exercise of outstanding warrants or options, restricted stock or options granted pursuant to an incentive plan approved by the Company's board of directors, restricted stock or options issued to employees, directors, consultants or others for services rendered to the Company, or shares issued in connection with an acquisition), each holder of shares will have the opportunity to participate in any such Offering to maintain its relative percentage ownership interest in the Company. These rights will terminate at the earlier of (a) the effective date of a registration statement covering the public resale of the shares or (b) twelve months after closing of the Offering. In addition, certain of the Company's existing security holders that hold in the aggregate 11.2 million

#### **Note 21 - Subsequent Events (Continued)**

common shares or warrants to purchase common shares have registration rights to have their shares included in the registration statement described above to permit the public resale of their shares.

Concurrent with the closing of the Offering, the Company acquired (the "Merger") NSNV, Inc. ("NSNV"), through a merger with a newly created subsidiary of the Company CSOR Acquisition Corporation, resulting in NSNV becoming a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. NSNV was a private company owned by William L. Transier, John N. Seitz and PGS Exploration (UK) Limited ("PGS"), a United Kingdom corporation that is a provider of geophysical services. The former shareholders of NSNV received an aggregate of 12,500,000 common shares of the Company in the merger, representing approximately 18.9% of the Company's outstanding common stock immediately after the closing of the merger. Subsequent to the Merger, the Company was renamed "Endeavour International Corporation" ("Endeavour").

The Merger is intended to provide the Company with the following competitive advantages: (i) a pre-eminent seismic and geological database of the North Sea region and (ii) a proven and experienced management team comprised of Messrs. Transier and Seitz, each pursuant to three-year employment agreements, and certain other former executives of Ocean Energy, Inc. and Anadarko Petroleum Corporation. On December 16, 2003, NSNV and PGS entered into an agreement where, in exchange for certain consideration including, among other things, 18.5% of the outstanding stock of NSNV and a three-year product and service commitment, PGS agreed to grant NSNV the right to use 79,200 square kilometers of 3-D seismic and related data in the North Sea region, including the 3D Mega Merge and North Sea Digital Atlas databases. Under the agreement, NSNV is required to purchase products and services from PGS or certain of its affiliates that have an aggregate invoice value over three years of at least \$4.5 million. PGS has also agreed to provide NSNV with product discounts and certain consultancy services during the term of the agreement.

Upon consummation of the Merger, the Company's sole officer, Stephen P. Harrington, and directors Humbert Power, Thomas Michael Curran and Gary Krupp resigned and William L. Transier and John N. Seitz became the Co-Chief Executive Officers and Directors.

In connection with the Merger, the shareholders of NSNV and the new management of the Company executed 12 month lock-up agreements covering each individual's respective holdings of the Company's common stock. In addition, all shareholders of the Company holding 500,000 or more shares of common stock prior to February 26, 2004 and the Company's former sole officer executed lock-up agreements. These shareholders will be subject to lock-up agreements for their common shares as set forth in the following table:

 Month	·		Outstanding Sh bject to Lock-u	
*				
1 - 6			95%	
. 7 – 9		•	85%	
10 - 12			75%	

The lock-up agreements will terminate at the earlier of (i) the registration of the shares of (ii) 12 months after February 26, 2004.

Simultaneous with the consummation of the Merger and the Offering, the Company restructured various financial and shareholder related items (the "Restructuring"). Specifically, the Company completed the following:

- Repaid \$1,500,000 principal amount of the Company's outstanding convertible notes;
- Issued 1,026,624 shares of the Company's common stock in exchange for the \$1,550,000 principal balance and accrued interest due under the Michael P. Marcus convertible debenture at a conversion price of \$1.75;

#### **Note 21 - Subsequent Events (Continued)**

- Issued 375,000 shares of the Company's common stock in exchange for the \$600,000 principal balance and accrued interest due under the Trident convertible debenture at a conversion price of \$1.60;
- Issued 2,808,824 shares of the Company's common stock upon conversion of all of the outstanding Series C Preferred Stock, and accrued dividends, at a conversion price of \$1.70 per share;
- Purchased all outstanding shares of Series A Preferred Stock and 20,212.86 shares of Series B Preferred Stock in exchange for certain of the Company's non-core assets (see below); and
- Purchased 14,097,672 shares of common stock and 103,500.07 shares of Series B Preferred Stock from RAM Trading, Ltd. for \$5,330,948 in cash.

The Company also sold the following non-core assets to the holders of the Series A Preferred Stock and certain holders of the Series B Preferred Stock:

- 100% of the ownership interest in BWP Gas, LLC.;
- 864,560 shares of restricted common stock of BPK Resources, Inc.;
- 400,000 shares of common stock of Trimedia Group, Inc.;
- Note receivable due from CSR Hackberry, LLC with a principal balance of \$25,000;
- Note receivable due from Snipes, LLC in the principal amount of \$122,500;
- Subscription receivable due from FEQ Investments in the principal amount of \$175,000;
- Subscription receivable due from GWR Trust in the principal amount of \$250,000; and
- Note receivable due from BPK Resources, Inc. with a principal balance of \$670,000.

The following is a calculation of the purchase price:

Shares of common stock issued	12,500,000
Price per share of the Offering	\$2.00
Fair value of stock issued	25,000,000
Add: Capitalized merger costs	525,000
Purchase Price	\$25,525,000

Capitalized merger costs are estimated to be professional expenses for legal and accounting services.

Unaudited Pro Forma Information - The following table sets forth summary unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial and operating data which are presented to give effect to the Merger, the Offering and the Restructuring. The information does not purport to be indicative of actual results, if any of these transactions had been in effect for the periods indicated, or of future results. The information was prepared assuming the Merger, the Offering and the Restructuring were assumed to occur on January 1, 2003. Since NSNV commenced operation in December 2003, there is no pro forma impact on the Company's statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2002.

#### Note 21 - Subsequent Events (Continued)

#### **Unaudited Pro Forma Information:**

Revenues         S         -           Net loss available to common shareholders         (16,889,617)           Basic and diluted loss per share         \$ (0.31)           December 31, 2003           Current assets         \$ 45,910,102           Oil and gas properties - costs not being amortized         -           Marketable securities - noncurrent         -           Equity interests in oil and gas properties         2,838,536           Other assets         3,509,370           Intangible assets         8,925,000           Goodwill         16,745,745           Total assets         \$ 77,928,753           Current liabilities         \$ 3,801,501           Long-term liabilities         \$ 3,500,000           Minority interest         29,505           Shareholders' equity         70,597,747           Total liabilities and shareholders' equity         \$ 77,928,753		Year Ended December 31, 2003
Basic and diluted loss per share         \$ (0.31)           December 31, 2003           Current assets         \$ 45,910,102           Oil and gas properties - costs not being amortized         -           Marketable securities - noncurrent         -           Equity interests in oil and gas properties         2,838,536           Other assets         3,509,370           Intangible assets         8,925,000           Goodwill         16,745,745           Total assets         \$ 77,928,753           Current liabilities         \$ 3,801,501           Long-term liabilities         \$ 3,500,000           Minority interest         29,505           Shareholders' equity         70,597,747	Revenues	S -
Current assets         December 31, 2003           Current assets         \$ 45,910,102           Oil and gas properties - costs not being amortized         -           Marketable securities - noncurrent         -           Equity interests in oil and gas properties         2,838,536           Other assets         3,509,370           Intangible assets         8,925,000           Goodwill         16,745,745           Total assets         \$ 77,928,753           Current liabilities         \$ 3,801,501           Long-term liabilities         3,500,000           Minority interest         29,505           Shareholders' equity         70,597,747	Net loss available to common shareholders	(16,889,617)
Current assets       \$ 45,910,102         Oil and gas properties - costs not being amortized       -         Marketable securities - noncurrent       -         Equity interests in oil and gas properties       2,838,536         Other assets       3,509,370         Intangible assets       8,925,000         Goodwill       16,745,745         Total assets       \$ 77,928,753         Current liabilities       \$ 3,801,501         Long-term liabilities       3,500,000         Minority interest       29,505         Shareholders' equity       70,597,747	Basic and diluted loss per share	\$ (0.31)
Oil and gas properties - costs not being amortized       -         Marketable securities - noncurrent       -         Equity interests in oil and gas properties       2,838,536         Other assets       3,509,370         Intangible assets       8,925,000         Goodwill       16,745,745         Total assets       \$ 77,928,753         Current liabilities       \$ 3,801,501         Long-term liabilities       3,500,000         Minority interest       29,505         Shareholders' equity       70,597,747	Current assets	<del></del>
amortized         -           Marketable securities - noncurrent         -           Equity interests in oil and gas properties         2,838,536           Other assets         3,509,370           Intangible assets         8,925,000           Goodwill         16,745,745           Total assets         \$ 77,928,753           Current liabilities         \$ 3,801,501           Long-term liabilities         3,500,000           Minority interest         29,505           Shareholders' equity         70,597,747		φ 43,710,102
Equity interests in oil and gas properties       2,838,536         Other assets       3,509,370         Intangible assets       8,925,000         Goodwill       16,745,745         Total assets       \$ 77,928,753         Current liabilities       \$ 3,801,501         Long-term liabilities       3,500,000         Minority interest       29,505         Shareholders' equity       70,597,747		· -
Other assets       3,509,370         Intangible assets       8,925,000         Goodwill       16,745,745         Total assets       \$ 77,928,753         Current liabilities       \$ 3,801,501         Long-term liabilities       3,500,000         Minority interest       29,505         Shareholders' equity       70,597,747	Marketable securities - noncurrent	-
Other assets       3,509,370         Intangible assets       8,925,000         Goodwill       16,745,745         Total assets       \$ 77,928,753         Current liabilities       \$ 3,801,501         Long-term liabilities       3,500,000         Minority interest       29,505         Shareholders' equity       70,597,747	Equity interests in oil and gas properties	2,838,536
Goodwill         16,745,745           Total assets         \$ 77,928,753           Current liabilities         \$ 3,801,501           Long-term liabilities         3,500,000           Minority interest         29,505           Shareholders' equity         70,597,747		3,509,370
Total assets \$ 77,928,753  Current liabilities \$ 3,801,501  Long-term liabilities \$ 3,500,000  Minority interest \$ 29,505  Shareholders' equity 70,597,747	Intangible assets	8,925,000
Current liabilities\$ 3,801,501Long-term liabilities3,500,000Minority interest29,505Shareholders' equity70,597,747	Goodwill	16,745,745
Long-term liabilities3,500,000Minority interest29,505Shareholders' equity70,597,747	Total assets	\$ 77,928,753
Minority interest 29,505 Shareholders' equity 70,597,747	Current liabilities	\$ 3,801,501
Shareholders' equity 70,597,747	Long-term liabilities	3,500,000
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Minority interest	29,505
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity \$ 77,928,753	Shareholders' equity	70,597,747
	Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 77,928,753

During the first quarter of 2004, the Company sold all of its limited partnership units in Knox Miss for \$5,000,000 and received \$500,000 in cash and a \$4,500,000 short-term note that is secured by a pledge of the limited partnership interest.

Subsequent to the Merger, the Company issued restricted shares of common stock to its new management, directors and employees as follows:

		Number of Shares	Vesting Period
Inducement Grants		1,600,000	One third on January 1, 2005; One third on each January 1 thereafter
Inducement Grants		731,250	January 1, 2005
Grants under the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan	(1)	500,000	One third on January 1, 2005; One third on each January 1 thereafter
Grants under the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan	(1) _	278,375	January 1, 2005
en e	.=	3,109,625	

<sup>(1)</sup> The 2004 Stock Incentive Plan is subject to the approval by a majority of our shareholders at the Company's 2004 Annual Shareholder Meeting.

#### **Note 21 - Subsequent Events (Continued)**

In addition to the above restricted share grants, the Company granted options to purchase 2,140,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$2.00 to employees and directors. One third of these options vest on January 1,2005 and an additional one-third on each January 1 thereafter. These options were granted under the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan which is subject to the approval by a majority of our shareholders at the Company's 2004 Annual Shareholder Meeting.

As consideration for services rendered in connection with the purchase of the shares of common stock and Series B Preferred stock from RAM, the Company issued to an unrelated party 300,000 shares of its common stock.

#### Note 22 - Supplemental Oil and Gas Disclosures - Unaudited

Oil and Gas Reserves

The determination of oil and gas reserves is highly complex and interpretive. The estimates are subject to continuing changes as additional information becomes available.

Proved oil and gas reserves are the estimated quantities of crude oil, natural gas, and natural gas liquids, which geological and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty to be recoverable in future years from known reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions. Proved development oil and gas reserves are those reserves expected to be recovered through existing wells with existing equipment and operating methods. The reserve data is based on studies prepared by a petroleum engineer. All proved developed reserves of oil and gas are located in Oklahoma.

The following table presents estimates of the Company's net proved developed gas reserves:

	Decemb	er 31,
	2003	2002
Proved developed reserves (mcf), beginning of year	<u>-</u> -	-
Purchase of proved developed reserves (mcf), in place	60,000	-
Production	(8,000)	· -
Extension of reservoir	-	• • •
Revisions of previous estimates		
Proved developed reserves (mcf), end of year	52,000	- 1
Equity in reserves in equity method investees	_	

Standardized Measure of Discounted Future Net Cash Flows (Unaudited)

SFAS No. 69, "Disclosure about Oil and Gas Producing Activities", prescribes guidelines for computing a standardized measure of future net cash flows and changes therein relating to estimated proved reserves. The Company has followed these guidelines, which are briefly discussed below.

Future cash inflows and future production and development costs are determined by applying year-end prices and costs to the estimated quantities of oil and gas to be produced. Estimated future income taxes are computed using

#### Note 22 - Supplemental Oil and Gas Disclosures - Unaudited (Continued)

current statutory income tax rates for where production occurs. The resulting future net cash flows are reduced to present value amounts by applying a 10% annual discount factor.

The assumptions used to compute the standardized measure are those prescribed by the Financial Accounting Standards Board and, as such, do not necessarily reflect the Company's expectations for actual revenues to be derived from those reserves nor their present worth. The limitations inherent in the reserve quantity estimation process, as discussed previously, are equally applicable to the standardized measure computations since these estimates are the basis for the valuation process.

The following summary sets forth the Company's future net cash flows relating to proved gas reserves based on the standardized measure prescribed in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 69.

	December 31,					
		2003	2	2002		
Future cash inflows Future production costs Future development costs	\$	189,586 (73,839)	\$	-		
Future development costs Future income tax expense		<u>-</u>				
Future net cash flows (undiscounted)		115,747		-		
Annual discount of 10% for estimated timing		(44,710)				
Standardized measure of future net	\$	71,037	\$	-		
Equity in standardized measure of equity method investees	\$	· -	\$	-		

#### Changes in Standardized Measure (Unaudited)

The following are the principal sources of change in the standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows at December 31:

	 2003	 2002
Standardized measure, beginning of period	\$ -	\$ -
Net changes in prices and production costs		-
Future development costs	-	-
Revisions of previous quantity estimates	-	-
Extension of reservoir	-	-
Sale of reserves in place	-	-
Accretion of discount	-	-
Changes in income taxes, net	-	-
Purchased reserves	 71,037	 
Standardized measure, end of period	\$ 71,037	\$ 
Equity in standardized measure of equity method investees	\$ _	\$ _

Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combining
Financial Statements of
Endeavour International Corporation
(formerly Continental Southern Resources, Inc.)
To reflect the Offering, Merger and Restructuring

### Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combining Financial Statements of Endeavour International Corporation (formerly Continental Southern Resources, Inc.)

The accompanying pro forma financial statements are presented in accordance with Article 11 of Regulation S-X. The following unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial information reflects adjustments to give affect to the following:

- The issuance by Continental Southern Resources, Inc. (the "Company" or "CSOR") of 25 million shares of common stock at \$2.00 per share in a private placement (the "Offering").
- The Company's restructuring of various financial and shareholder related items (the "Restructuring") as follows:
  - Repayment of \$1,500,000 principal amount of our outstanding convertible notes;
  - Issuance of 1,026,624 shares of our common stock in exchange for the \$1,550,000 principal balance and accrued interest due under the Michael P. Marcus convertible debenture at a conversion price of \$1.75;
  - Issuance of 375,000 shares of our common stock in exchange for the \$600,000 principal balance and accrued interest due under the Trident convertible debenture at a conversion price of \$1.60;
  - Issuance of 2,808,824 shares of our common stock upon conversion of all of the outstanding Series C Preferred Stock, and accrued dividends, at a conversion price of \$1.70 per share;
  - Purchase of all outstanding shares of Series A Preferred Stock and 20,212.86 shares of Series B Preferred Stock in exchange for certain of our non-core assets;
  - Purchase of 14.1 million shares of common stock and 103,500.07 shares of Series B
     Preferred Stock from RAM Trading, Ltd. for \$5,330,948 in cash; and
  - Sale of the Company's entire limited partnership interest in Knox Miss. Partners, L.P. for \$500,000 in cash and a \$4.5 million short-term note.
- The acquisition (the "Merger") of NSNV, Inc. ("NSNV"), whereby the former shareholders of NSNV received an aggregate of 12.5 million common shares of the Company. Subsequent to the Merger, the Company was renamed Endeavour International Corporation ("Endeavour").
- The income statement data assume that the Offering and Restructuring transactions were consummated on January 1, 2003. The income statement data assume that the Merger transactions were consummated on October 16, 2003, the inception of NSNV. The balance sheet data assume that all transactions were consummated on December 31, 2003.

The unaudited pro forma condensed combining financial data are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations or the financial position which would have occurred had the transactions been consummated at January 1, 2003, nor are they necessarily indicative of future results of operations or financial position. The unaudited pro forma combined financial data should be read in conjunction with the historical consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto of CSOR and NSNV.

# Endeavour International Corporation (formerly Continental Southern Resources, Inc.) Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combining Balance Sheet As of December 31, 2003

	Historical Continental				Pro Forma			Endeavour – Pro Forma for
	Southern		4	Ine	tor the		F	the Offering,
	Resources, Inc.	The Offering Adjustments	Fro Forma for the Offering	Kestructuring Adiustments	Restructuring and Offering	Historical	The Merger Adjustments	Kestructuring, and Merger
			0	Accorts	9		Carron Carro	100
				ASSCES				•
Current Assets:								
Cash	\$ 56,680	\$ 46,250,000 (A) \$	\$46,306,680	\$ (5,943,007) (B), (D), (E)	\$40,363,673	\$ 1,000	<del>\$</del>	\$ 40,364,673
Notes Receivable	847,928		847,928	3,652,072 (C), (D)	4,500,000	1	4.	4,500,000
Marketable Securities	719,480		719,480	(719,480) (C)	•	ı		
Interest Receivables	225,186		225,186	(225,186) (C), (D)	•		•	i
Other Current Assets	1,471,470		1,471,470	(1,338,401) (B), (E)	133,069	1,000,000	(87,640) (M)	1,045,429
Total Current Assets	3,320,744	46,250,000	49,570,744	(4,574,002)	44,996,742	1,001,000	(87,640)	45,910,102
Oil and Gas Properties,								
Costs Not Being								
Amortized	6,428,227		6,428,227	(6,428,227) (C), (D)				
Equity Interests in Entities								
with Oil and Gas		•					p-	
Properties:	2,838,536		2,838,536		2,838,536	1		2,838,536
Intangible Assets	•		,			1,238,335	7,686,665 (H)	8,925,000
Goodwill	•		).		•	1	16,745,745 (H)	16,745,745
Other Assets	80,407		80,407	(71,037) (E)	9,370	3,500,000	- 1	3,509,370
Total Accets	6 10 C22 C1 3	000 050 37 3	0.50 017 014	\$ (11,023,366)	047 044 640	366 066 3 3	000 440 400	47 000 157
Total Assets	\$ 12,007,914	3 40,230,000	\$30,917,914	\$ (11,073,200)	347,844,048	\$ 5,739,333	974,344,70	\$ 11,928,133

# Endeavour International Corporation (formerly Continental Southern Resources, Inc.) Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combining Balance Sheet As of December 31, 2003

Historical	Southern	•	Inc. Ad		Current Liabilities: Accounts Payable and	Accrued Expenses \$ 5,235,725 \$ Convertible Debentures 3,242,654	Deferred Equity Option 870,000 Other Current Liabilities 23,643	9,3	Other Liabilities	Total Liabilities 9,372,022	Minority Interest 29,505	Stockholders' Equity: Preferred Stock, Series	A, B and C 4,713	Common Stock 37,145 Additional Paid In	50,175,898	Less: Stock Subscription		Other Equity (490,036)	Deficit Accumulated During the	Development Stage (46,036,333)	Total Stockholders' 3,266,387 4	Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity \$ 12,667,914 \$ 4
		The Offering	Adjustments			250,000 (A)		250,000		250,000		•		25,000 (A)	45,975,000 (A)						46,000,000	\$ 46,250,000
		Pro Forma for	the Offering	Liabiliti		\$ 5,485,725 3,242,654	870,000	9,622,022	1	9,622,022	29,505		4,713	62,145	96,150,898		(425,000)	(490,036)		(46,036,333)	49,266,387	\$58,917,914
	The	Restructuring	Adjustments	Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	14.	\$ (4,168,329) (B), (C), (E), (F) \$ 1,317,396 (3,242,654) (B)	(870,000) (G) (23.643) (C). (D)	(8,304,626)		(8,304,626)			(4,693) (C), (E), (F)	(8,752) (B),(E) (F), (G)	(4,430,960) (B), (E), (F), (G)		425,000 (C)	489,036 (C)		761,729 (B), (C), (D)	(2,768,640)	\$ (11,073,266)
j.	Fro Forma for the	Restructuring	and Offering	uity	•.	\$ 1,317,396	1 1	1, 317,396	•	1,317,396	29,505		20	53,393	91,719,938		ı	(1,000)	٠.	(45,274,604)	46,497,747	\$47,844,648
		Historical	NSNV			\$ 2,146,745	, ,	2,146,745	3,500,000	5,646,745	•		•	1,000	1,227,221	-	(1,000,000)	•	-	(135,631)	92,590	\$ 5,739,335
		The Merger	Adjustments			\$ 337,360 (H)		337,360		337,360				11,675 (H)	23,860,104 (H)					135,631 (H)	24,007,410	\$24,344,770
Endeavour –	the Offering,	Restructuring,	and Merger			\$ 3,801,501		3,801,501	3,500,000	7,301,501	29,505		20	99,098	116,807,263		(1,000,000)	(1,000)		(45,274,604)	70,597,747	\$ 77,928,753

## Endeavour International Corporation (formerly Continental Southern Resources, Inc. Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combining Statement of Operations For the Year Ended December 31, 2003

Historical Continental Southern The Resources, Offering Inc. Adjustments \$ 27,305 \$	penses: Operating Expenses 920,494 Depletion and Amortization 1,496,725	Impairment of oil and gas properties 21,502,321 Bad Debt Evanage - Related	Party 1,800,000  General and Administrative 2,261,451  Total Expenses 27,980,991	Loss from Operations (27,953,686)	Other (Income) Expense: Equity interest in oil and gas		(239,950)	nterest Expense Sain on Sale of Oil and Gas	Interest (1,235,248) Loss on Sale of Marketable	1,659,220	Total Other (Income)	4,971,331	Loss Before Minority Interest (32,925,017)	82,260	(32,842,757)	Preferred Stock Dividends (4,405,708)	Net Loss to Common \$(37,248,465)	Net Loss Per Share – Basic and Diluted \$ (1.06)	Weighted Average Number of Common Share Outstanding – Basic and Diluted 35,076,435 25,000,000 (A)
Pro Forma for Hthe Offering \$ 27,305	920,494 1,496,725	21,502,321	1,800,000 2,261,451 27,980,991	(27,953,686)		1,217,317	(239,950)	5,509,992	(1,235,248)	1,659,220		4,971,331	(32,925,017)	82,260	(32,842,757)	(4,405,708)	\$(37,248,465)	\$ (0.62)	A) 60,076,435
The Restructuring Adjustments \$\\$ (27,305)(1)\$	(920,494)(I), (N) (1,496,725)(I)	(10,117,867)(1)	(297,249) (I), (N) (12,832,335)	12,805,030			1,408 (I), (N)	(3,554,547)(E), (I), (J), (N)				(3,553,139)	16,358,169	(77,459)(I), (N)	16,280,710	4,245,836 (I), (K)	\$ 20,526,546		(8,752,224)(I), (J), (K)
Pro Forma for the Restructuring and Offering \$	1 1	11,384,454	1,800,000 1,964,202 15,148,656	(15,148,656)		1,217,317	(238,542)	15,445	(1,235,248)	1,659,220		1,418,192	(16,566,848)	4,801	(16,562,047)	(159,872)	\$(16,721,919)	\$ (0.33)	51,324,211
Historical NSNV	5,181		130,510	(135,691)			1	ı		!		1	(135,691)	,	(135,691)	•	\$ (135,691)	\$ (1.36)	100,000
The Merger Adjustments	32,007 (L)		32,007	(32,007)						. !		1	(32,007)		(32,007)		\$ (32,007)	Ī	2,539,178 (L)
Endeavour – Pro Forma for the Offering, Restructuring, and Merger \$	37,188	11,384,454	1,800,000 2,022,712 15,316,354	(15,316,354)		1,217,317	(238,542)	15,445	(1,235,248)	1,659,220		1,418,192	(16,734,546)	4,801	(16,729,745)	(159,872)	(16,889,617)	\$ (0.31)	53,963,389

#### **Endeavour International Corporation**

#### (formerly Continental Southern Resources, Inc).

#### Notes to Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed

#### **Combining Statements**

- (A) To record the Offering by the issuance of 25,000,000 shares of common stock for proceeds of \$46,000,000, net of placement agent commissions of \$2,500,000, financial advisory fees of \$1,250,000 and accrued offering expenses of \$250,000.
- (B) To record the repayment of \$1,500,000 principal amount of our outstanding convertible notes and the conversion of all remaining convertible notes into common stock.
- (C) To record the sale of BWP Gas LLC and various other non-core assets to the holders of the Series A Preferred Stock and certain holders of the Series B Preferred Stock as follows:
  - Cash of \$5,589;
  - Accounts receivable of \$10,276;
  - Notes receivable of \$817,500;
  - Interest receivables of \$223,778;
  - Marketable securities of \$719,480;
  - Oil and gas properties not being amortized of \$679,649;
  - Developed oil and gas interests of \$71,037;
  - Accounts payable of \$844,950;
  - Deferred revenue of \$20,143; and
  - Subscription receivables of \$425,000.
- (D) To record the sale of all the limited partnership units in Knox Miss. Partners, L.P. for \$5.0 million. The \$5.0 million was payable \$500,000 in cash and by the issuance of a \$4.5 million short-term note that is secured by a pledge of the limited partnership interest. The assets and liabilities sold included the following:
  - Cash of \$6,470;
  - Notes receivable of \$30,428;
  - Interest receivable of \$1,408;
  - Oil and gas properties not being amortized of \$5,748,578;
  - Note payable of \$3,500; and
  - Payables for oil and gas interest of \$2,018,913.
- (E) To record the purchase and retirement of 14.1 million shares of common stock and 103,500.07 shares of Series B Preferred Stock from RAM Trading, Ltd. for \$5,330,948 in cash, and issuance of 300,000 shares of our common stock issued as compensation for related legal and consulting services.
- (F) To record the issuance 2,808,824 shares of our common stock upon conversion of all of the outstanding Series C Preferred Stock, and accrued dividends of \$165,625, at a conversion price of \$1.70 per share, net of deferred expenses of \$712,910.

# Endeavour International Corporation (formerly Continental Southern Resources, Inc). Notes to Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed

#### **Combining Statements**

- (G) To record the Company's exercise of its call option to buy back the Knox Miss limited partnership interest from RAM Trading Ltd. and issuance of 835,000 shares of common stock in full payment of the option.
- (H) To record the preliminary allocation of the purchase price of the Merger, including estimated merger costs to property and goodwill. The following is a calculation and allocation of the purchase price to the acquired assets and liabilities based on their relative fair values.

Calculation of Purchase Price:

Shares of common stock to be issued	12,500,000					
Common stock price of the Offering	\$	2.00				
Fair value of stock issued	25,	000,000				
Add: Estimated merger cost		525,000_				
Purchase price	\$ 25,	525,000				

#### Allocation of Purchase Price:

Equity	\$ 24,100,000
Working Capital	(1,570,745)
Intangible assets	8,925,000
Goodwill	16,745,745

The purchase price allocation is subject to change in the fair value of the acquired's working capital on the effective date and the actual merger costs incurred. These items will not be known until the effective date of the merger. Management does not believe the final purchase price allocation will differ materially from the preliminary purchase price allocation.

- (I) To reverse the revenues and expenses of BWP Gas LLC and the other non-core assets sold.
- (J) To reverse the historical interest expense of the convertible notes that were retired or converted.
- (K) To reverse the historical preferred stock dividends of the Series A, Series B and Series C preferred stock that were converted to common or surrendered as payment for BWP Gas LLC and other non-core assets.
- (L) To adjust the historical amortization of the intangible asset of NSNV to the amortization of the fair value of the intangible asset recorded in the purchase price allocation over its useful life of 10 years.
- (M) To reverse the prepaid legal fees related to the Merger incurred in 2003 by CSOR.
- (N) To reverse the expenses of Knox Miss Partners, L.P.